Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES Washington, D.C.

IN THE MATTER OF:

:Docket No.

Phase II Distribution

:2012-6

of the 2004-2009

:CRB CD

Cable Royalty Funds

:2004-09

: (Phase II)

IN THE MATTER OF:

:Docket No.

Phase II Distribution

:2012-7

of the 1999-2009

:CRB SD

Satellite Royalty Funds

:1999-2009

: (Phase II)

Volume 3

Wednesday,

December 10, 2014

Room LM-403

Madison Building

Library of Congress

101 Independence Avenue, SE

Washington, DC

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:15 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE SUZANNE M. BARNETT

THE HONORABLE JESSE FEDER

THE HONORABLE DAVID R. STRICKLER

Copyright Royalty Judges

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Worldwide Subsidy Group, d/b/a Independent Producers Group

BRIAN BOYDSTON, ESQ. Pick & Boydston, LLP 10786 Le Conte Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90024 (213) 624-1996

On Behalf of the Settling Devotional Claimants:

MATTHEW J. MacLEAN, ESQ. CLIFFORD HARRINGTON, ESQ. VICTORIA N. LYNCH, ESQ. Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1122 (202) 663-8000

ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, ESQ. BEN STERNBERG, ESQ. Lutzker & Lutzker, LLP 1233 20th Street, NW Suite 703 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 408-7600

On Behalf of the Motion Picture Association of America

GREGORY O. OLANIRAN, ESQ.
LUCY HOLMES PLOVNICK, ESQ.
WHITNEY NONNETTE, ESQ.
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP
1818 N Street N.W.
8th Floor
Washington D.C. 20036
(202) 355-7900

NEAL R. GROSS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| WITNESS | DIRECT | CROSS REDIREC | CT RECROSS |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| DENISE VERNON By Mr. Boydston By Mr. Olaniran By Mr. MacLean Voir Dire on | | 118 19/84 91 | 127 |
| Raul Galaz By Mr. Boydston By Mr. MacLean By Mr. Olaniran | | 253 280 | |
| Jane Saunders By Mr. Olaniran By Mr. Boydston | | 326 | |
| EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF | <u>ON</u> | <u>ID</u> <u>RECD</u> |
| IPG 113 Letter to Cable Clai 126 Letter to Children control 12 First Mira 13 Owner/Agen 14 McNeely De 15 Valle Decl 16 17 BBC Repres 18 Cochran De 20 Fair Decla 21 AFI Award 22 Dragonball 23 Intelisand 24 Beast Wars 25 Beast Wars 26 Martha Ste 27 Cosgrove D Jacobs Dec | mants #2 Feed the ounsel cle Produ t List claration aration entation claration Z o Declara Copyrigh Copyrigh wart Livi eclaratio | tion it it ing Copyright | 20 121 124 135 154 156 167 170 171 172 160 162 172 180 194 195 200 201 204 204 206 206 208 209 210 210 213 214 216 216 218 218 220 |
| 29 Notice Reg | arding Pr | rograming l Productions | 238 |

| 30 | Agreement between Worldwide | | |
|---|--|----|------|
| | Subsidy Group and Litton | | |
| | Syndication | | 239 |
| 31 | Declaration of Steven Hoyt | | 242 |
| 32 | | | 251 |
| 33 | Declaration of Jonathan Plowman | | 256 |
| 34 | Contract between Fintage WSG | | |
| | and Televisa | | 259 |
| 35 | | | 0.61 |
| 2.0 | and TV Azteca | | 261 |
| 36 | List entitled Claimants | | |
| | Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA | | 280 |
| | CIII Ougii EGEDA | | 200 |
| MPAA | | | |
| | | | |
| 307 | Feed the Children | | |
| | Notice re: Representation | | 34 |
| 356 | | 43 | 44 |
| 357 | | 43 | 47 |
| | Claim for 2013 | | |
| 358 | 5 | 78 | 83 |
| | from Galaz and Vernon | | |
| 341 | | | 344 |
| | and program lists | | |
| | | | |
| Sett | ling Devotional Claimants | | |
| <u>~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ </u> | <u> </u> | | |
| Atta | chment F to SDC Exhibit 602 | | |
| Ema | il: Ms. Vernon to Mr. Kowalski | | 105 |

| 1 | P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S |
|----|---|
| 2 | 9:17 a.m. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. |
| 4 | We beg your pardon for the late start. |
| 5 | All that animated discussion in the judges' room |
| 6 | was an economics lecture. |
| 7 | (Laughter.) |
| 8 | Mr. Boydston, you were examining Ms. |
| 9 | Vernon, I believe. |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: I was, Your Honor. |
| 11 | And I ask Ms. Vernon if she would take |
| 12 | the stand. |
| 13 | In addition to that, I thought before |
| 14 | we get started I was going to hand out the |
| 15 | Exhibit 122, which I have already done, to |
| 16 | counsel. And I have three copies here. Shall I |
| 17 | go ahead and do that? |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Is that the one that |
| 19 | we asked you to try to get legible copies of? |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: No, this is the one |
| 21 | that we didn't have all together. Well, we had |
| 22 | one copy and we found a few of those and we got a |

whole bunch of paper. 1 JUDGE BARRETT: Understood. 2 MR. BOYDSTON: By my estimate, in the 3 way we originally delivered these things, it 4 should fit in your Volume 4 box. 5 б JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 7 We will take the copies, but give counsel an opportunity to look through it before 8 9 you have to accept or reject. 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Right. 11 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, this is on 12 a different topic. But, while we are kind of 13 setting up here, I just wanted to make the judges 14 aware. I have a status conference with another 15 court, a telephonic status conference, at 12:30 16 I scheduled it at 12:30, so that we knew it would fall within the lunch break. 17 I only bring it up to request that 18 19 today we either take our lunch break promptly at 20 12:00, so I have a chance to get a bite to eat 21 before that, or wait until 12:30, closer 22 12:30, so I can eat afterwards.

JUDGE BARRETT: You're not a real 1 lawyer, are you? 2 (Laughter.) 3 Certainly. Thank you, Mr. MacLean. 4 For the interest of those who are 5 6 counting, yesterday we recorded an hour and 35 7 minutes for MPAA, which means MPAA has used a 8 total of three hours and seven minutes. 9 recorded two hours and 43 minutes for IPG, which 10 brings their total up, two-day total to five hours, 22 minutes. And we recorded 43 minutes 11 12 for SDC, which brings their two-day total to 58 13 And we assume we are in the same universe of discourse on that, and if we are way 14 off, let us know. 15 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor --17 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston. BARRETT: 18 JUDGE other one 19 housekeeping matter. In reviewing documents last 20 night, in my binders there was a discrepancy. 21 And I checked with counsel; they don't have the 22 discrepancy, and the record does not have the

| 1 | discrepancy. So, I'm assuming you don't, but I |
|----|---|
| 2 | would check real quick. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. |
| 4 | MR. BOYDSTON: This is Exhibit 76 and |
| 5 | 77, which I believe should be in Volume 3 or 4 of |
| 6 | the ones you got. |
| 7 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Two. |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, it could be in 2. |
| 9 | JUDGE STRICKLER: It basically exists |
| 10 | amongst all those volumes. |
| 11 | (Laughter.) |
| 12 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, in the press at |
| 13 | the end, we were using different sized binders at |
| 14 | times. |
| 15 | The discrepancy is that in 76 it |
| 16 | should be a very short declaration by Chandra |
| 17 | Winford, and 77 should be a declaration of Miles |
| 18 | Woodlief. You can see his picture at the front. |
| 19 | And if that is the way you have it, good. That |
| 20 | means there was only one mistake made in my |
| 21 | binder, which is the best place to make it. |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mine are in conformity |

| 1 | with what you described. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: Good. |
| 3 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Seventy-seven should |
| 4 | be what? |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Miles Woodlief, and you |
| 6 | have his picture there. |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: It says "Benny Hinn" |
| 8 | at the bottom. |
| 9 | JUDGE STRICKLER: It says "Benny" |
| 10 | here, but that's not a picture of Benny Hinn. |
| 11 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. |
| 12 | JUDGE STRICKLER: That is a picture of |
| 13 | |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: Excellent point. That |
| 15 | is not Mr. Woodlief. That is Mr. Hinn. |
| 16 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. I don't know |
| 17 | them, but I will take your word for it. |
| 18 | (Laughter.) |
| 19 | MR. BOYDSTON: And, Judge Feder, |
| 20 | you're okay with that? |
| 21 | JUDGE FEDER: Seventy-six, Winford? |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. |

| 1 | JUDGE FEDER: Seventy-seven, Woodlief. |
|----|---|
| 2 | That's correct. |
| 3 | MR. BOYDSTON: Very good. As I said, |
| 4 | the best place for a mistake is in my copy, and |
| 5 | that's where it was. |
| 6 | Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 7 | WHEREUPON, |
| 8 | DENISE VERNON |
| 9 | having been called for examination by Counsel for |
| 10 | the IPG, and having been previously duly sworn, |
| 11 | resumed the witness stand, was examined and |
| 12 | testified as follows: |
| 13 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 14 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 15 | Q Ms. Vernon, when we broke yesterday, |
| 16 | we were looking at Exhibit 113. And that |
| L7 | appeared to be another letter that you had sent |
| 18 | out to IPG claimants. And I had noted that the |
| L9 | first sentence essentially said, "Last night we |
| 20 | erringly sent you an email," et cetera. |
| 21 | I would ask you if you recall the |
| 22 | circumstances of this letter. |

| 1 | A Yes. As the letter says, we erringly |
|----|---|
| 2 | stated that you had not responded to our prior |
| 3 | emails, but that was not the case for a lot of |
| 4 | them, because, like I had testified before, we |
| 5 | had sent them the list, the Excel spreadsheet, of |
| 6 | the satellite programming list at that time. And |
| 7 | then, after that point, then we had gotten the |
| 8 | data, the program list, for the cable programs. |
| 9 | So, that is what we were sending out at this |
| 10 | time. And so, some of them had already responded |
| 11 | with identifying their programs with regard to |
| 12 | satellite. And then, now we were sending them |
| 13 | the cable programs for that period of time. |
| 14 | Q I'm not asking for a specific number, |
| 15 | but, in general, I believe there are several |
| 16 | hundred different claimants. Is that accurate? |
| 17 | A That is accurate, yes. |
| 18 | Q And have you personally dealt with |
| 19 | most of them? |
| 20 | A Quite a few, yes. |
| 21 | Q And so, I imagine it is a fairly big |
| 22 | job. |

It's a very big job, yes. And like I Δ 1 said, it becomes even bigger when you're trying 2 to explain how to work an Excel spreadsheet. 3 And I imagine some of the claimants 0 4 don't have familiarity with some of these things? 5 No. 6 Α 7 0 And --Yes, and some of them do. Some of 8 Α 9 them are great, you know, very tech-savvy or it 10 is a bigger company, you know, or something else. So, they have people that will go through and do 11 12 it and identify the programs like we would 13 to have them done. So, everything we have is consistent. 14 15 In a situation where someone isn't 0 16 familiar with something like Excel an 17 spreadsheet, is it, then, incumbent on you to sort of hold their hand through the process of 18 19 working with those? 20 Ά Yes. You know, if they have any ability at all, then a lot of times I can just 21 walk them through it, yes. But, if it is clear 22

| 1 | that there is no way they are getting this, then |
|----|---|
| 2 | I tell them, you know, "Send me what you have. |
| 2 | T CCTT CHEM, you know, bella me wilde you list of |
| 3 | Do you have a catalog list?", you know, a file. |
| 4 | Some of them just have a few shows and things |
| 5 | like that. "Or you can email me your list of |
| 6 | program titles, and we'll put it in, whatever is |
| 7 | easier for you." |
| 8 | Q Are you familiar with Mr. Adler of |
| 9 | Adler and |
| 10 | A Yes, Larry Adler, uh-hum |
| 11 | Q And have you had personal |
| 12 | communications with him? |
| 13 | A Yes, quite a few times. Yes, he is |
| 14 | a very nice man. From the last proceedings and |
| 15 | these proceedings well, and in between, |
| 16 | because I have told him you know, he is very |
| 17 | familiar. He has been around for a while and he |
| 18 | knows how the proceedings work and the different |
| 19 | phases, and that sort of thing. |
| 20 | So, we chat and I tell him what's |
| 21 | going on, give him the background. You know, |
| 22 | this is an appeal. This is when these |

proceedings are starting up, and that sort of 1 And he lives in, I believe 2 Maryland. 3 So, it's not that far from here. And he always tells me what museums I 4 5 should see and what restaurants I should go to while I'm in D.C., because I have so much free 6 7 time when I'm here. (Laughter.) 8 So, yes, he's very nice. 9 10 Q And are you familiar with Maureen Have you communicated with her? 11 Millen? Yes. 12 Α Matter of fact, for the 2000 1.3 proceedings, when we started this last one, then I was actually the first one that contacted her 14 15 when we were trying to find all the clients. 16 know, because it had been so long since there had 17 been any phase 2 proceedings, that people had moved; addressed had changed; personnel 18 19 changed, that sort of thing. So, you know, Raul and I went through 20 21 all of the boxes and tried to get contact 22 information. And we both started trying to hunt

people down, you know, see who was there, what 1 company, and everything else at that time. 2 And it took me a long time to find 3 Maureen with IWV. And once I did find her -- and 4 so, I utilized a whole lot of things, IMDB Pro. 5 There's people that I found by going to 6 7 Secretary of State, you know, websites in the various states, to see if contact information, if 8 9 they were still in business, that sort of thing. 10 And when I finally did find Maureen, then I know Raul had left messages. 11 I found a 12 cell number for her. Raul had left messages. Ι 13 had left messages. And then, she finally called 14 me back, and her story was just unbelievable. I told her what was going on, you know 15 16 Objection. 17 MR. MacLEAN: -- that the proceedings 18 THE WITNESS: 19 were finally starting. This 20 MR. MacLEAN: is getting The question was, are you familiar 21 narrative. with Maureen Millen and have you communicated 22

| 1 | with her. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 4 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 5 | Q You have now explained that you |
| 6 | finally were able to get a number for Ms. Millen |
| 7 | and you called her, and she told you certain |
| 8 | things had happened in her life that had delayed |
| 9 | her response. And what were those things? |
| 10 | A There was a long list. One, she had |
| 11 | been in a horrible car accident, lots of |
| 12 | surgeries |
| 13 | MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Hearsay. |
| 14 | And also, I believe that Ms. Millen's declaration |
| 15 | as to this aspect is already in evidence. |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: I don't think she had |
| 17 | mentioned the reason why she delayed a response. |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Is it relevant, Mr. |
| 19 | Boydston? |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I just wanted to |
| 21 | explain |
| 22 | COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, ma'am, can |

| 1 | you repeat that, please? |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. I asked Mr. |
| 3 | Boydston is it relevant. |
| 4 | MR. BOYDSTON: It just explains why |
| 5 | this is part of the reason why Maureen Millen, |
| 6 | there was no contract for her that anyone had. |
| 7 | And you'll recall there was a lot of cross- |
| 8 | examination about the fact that she requested |
| 9 | that a contract, her original contract, be |
| 10 | essentially recreated and dated. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. |
| 12 | Overruled. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I'll try to make it |
| 14 | short. |
| 15 | Car accident. While she was in |
| 16 | recovery, her trusted assistant, basically, |
| 17 | embezzled all her money. Husband died. |
| 18 | Hurricane Ike, lost her house. And it was just a |
| 19 | series of events. |
| 20 | And I believe that when Raul testified |
| i | And I believe that when Radi testilled |
| 21 | the other day, that her situation was such a |

| 1 | that is why she didn't have a copy of the |
|----|---|
| 2 | contract. We didn't have a copy of the contract |
| 3 | because Marian Oshita had not given us any of the |
| 4 | records. |
| 5 | And I explained that to her, you know, |
| 6 | but she remembers Marian and doing all of that. |
| 7 | So, that was the reason her response had been so |
| 8 | delayed and it took us so long to get everything |
| 9 | together for Maureen. |
| 10 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 11 | Q And did Ms. Millen volunteer to you |
| 12 | that she recalled Marian Oshita didn't sign the |
| 13 | contract? |
| 14 | A Yes, she remembered everything about |
| 15 | it. |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: Nothing further, Your |
| 17 | Honor. |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Could you just pull |
| 19 | that microphone a little bit closer to you? |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: There? Uh-hum. Okay? |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: That probably will |
| 22 | help. Thank you. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: I have nothing further, |
|----|--|
| 2 | Your Honor. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. |
| 4 | Boydston. |
| 5 | Mr. Olaniran? |
| 6 | MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, I beg your pardon, |
| 7 | Your Honor. I wanted to move that Exhibit 113 be |
| 8 | entered into evidence. That was the last letter |
| 9 | that I started with this morning. |
| 10 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 11 | MR. MacLEAN: No objection from the |
| 12 | SDC. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 113 is |
| 14 | admitted. |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 16 | [Whereupon, the document marked as |
| 17 | IPG Exhibit No. 113 for |
| 18 | identification was received in |
| 19 | evidence.] |
| 20 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 21 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 22 | Q Good morning, Ms. Vernon. My name is |

| 1 | Greg Olaniran. I'm counsel for MPAA. |
|----|--|
| 2 | You stated, I think yesterday, that |
| 3 | you are the owner of IPG? |
| 4 | A This is correct. |
| 5 | Q Okay. And 100 percent owner or |
| 6 | A Ninety-nine percent. |
| 7 | Q Ninety-nine percent? |
| 8 | A Yes. |
| 9 | Q And I think the 1 percent is held by |
| 10 | your mother, is that correct? |
| 11 | A That's correct. |
| 12 | Q Okay. And what are your |
| 13 | responsibilities as owner? |
| 14 | A Well, a variety of things. Like I |
| 15 | said, I deal a lot with the claimants. I try to |
| 16 | get programming information, go through lots and |
| 17 | lots of paperwork, correspond through email, go |
| 18 | through filings; basically, work jointly with |
| 19 | Raul. Let's see, accounting information, |
| 20 | banking, all over the place. |
| 21 | Q Okay. And you acquired interest in |
| 22 | IPG in March of 2005, is that correct? |
| | 1 |

| 1 | A That's correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q And prior to your acquisition of |
| 3 | interest, you didn't have any involvement |
| 4 | whatsoever in IPG? Is that |
| 5 | A No, I did not. |
| 6 | Q Okay. And, in fact, in prior |
| 7 | testimony, I think it was, when I asked you about |
| 8 | how you were able to determine what had gone on |
| 9 | in IPG prior to your acquisition, you responded, |
| 10 | and I quote, "Just from what Raul Galaz had told |
| 11 | me." Do you remember that? |
| 12 | A I'm not sure, but if it's testimony |
| 13 | the deposition that we were in that time in |
| L4 | California? |
| 15 | Q Yes. |
| 16 | A Okay. Yes. Yes, yes. |
| L7 | Q Okay. |
| L8 | A Yes, that would be, yes, correct. |
| 19 | Q So, that is how you acquired most of |
| 20 | what you knew, at least upon joining IPG, right? |
| 21 | A Yes. At that time, yes. |
| 22 | Q Okay. |

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q And you didn't interact with claimants |
| 3 | before |
| 4 | A Before that? No. |
| 5 | Q before that? No? |
| 6 | A Not at all. |
| 7 | Q And no correspondence, no telephone |
| 8 | calls, or any of that sort of thing? |
| 9 | A Nothing before that time. |
| 10 | Q Okay. So, with respect to claims that |
| 11 | were filed before you acquired your interest in |
| 12 | IPG, you had no personal knowledge of the |
| 13 | veracity of those claims, is that correct? |
| 14 | A That's correct. |
| 15 | Q Okay. |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q And you just mentioned Ms. Oshita a |
| 18 | few minutes ago in connection with Ms. Millen. |
| 19 | And you stated that Ms. Oshita had not provided |
| 20 | you the contract, may not have provided you with |
| 21 | the contract that IPG had with Ms. Millen. |
| 22 | And to the extent that that is true, |

| 1 | it would also be the case that she had |
|----|---|
| 2 | termination letters that she had. She may not |
| 3 | have produced some of those, too, correct? |
| 4 | A Oh, certainly. Yes, we have no idea |
| 5 | what she has or has not. |
| 6 | Q Okay. And you have been involved with |
| 7 | filing of IPG's claims, correct? |
| 8 | A Correct. |
| 9 | Q Yes. Are you have trouble hearing me? |
| 10 | A Yes. |
| 11 | Q Okay. |
| 12 | A A little bit. |
| 13 | Q My voice is a little bit low. I'll |
| 14 | try to do better. Sorry about that. |
| 15 | In fact, you have been signing all of |
| 16 | IPG's claim, I think, since about the 2007 |
| 17 | royalty year, correct? |
| 18 | A I believe so, yes. |
| 19 | Q Okay. And those were all joint |
| 20 | claims, correct? |
| 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q Okay. And with the signature on each |

| 1 | joint claim, you're certifying under the penalty |
|----|---|
| 2 | of perjury that IPG is the duly-authorized agent |
| 3 | for each claimant on each joint claim, correct? |
| 4 | A That's correct. |
| 5 | Q And before you sign each joint claim, |
| 6 | you make sure that IPG does have authority to |
| 7 | represent each claimant listed on each joint |
| 8 | claim, correct? |
| 9 | A Correct. |
| 10 | Q Okay. And you have done so since you |
| 11 | have been signing IPG's claims since 2007, |
| 12 | correct? |
| 13 | A Correct. |
| 14 | Q Okay. And you make absolutely certain |
| 15 | that IPG has authority to represent each claimant |
| 16 | on each joint claims, right? |
| 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q Okay. |
| 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | JUDGE FEDER: Excuse me, please. |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. |
| 22 | JUDGE FEDER: Just to clarify, earlier |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | had said 2007 claim year and, then, you said |
| 2 | 2007. Are you talking about claims filed in 2007 |
| 3 | for 2006 or a filing made for 2007? |
| 4 | MR. OLANIRAN: Fair point. |
| 5 | Clarifying, Your Honor, I hope she was responding |
| 6 | to the fact that she filed for IPG for the |
| 7 | beginning of the 2007 royalty year. |
| 8 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 9 | Q Is that correct? You began filing for |
| 10 | IPG |
| 11 | A Correct, yes, if I understand you. |
| 12 | Q Do you understand that question? |
| 13 | A Yes. Yes, I do. |
| 14 | Q Okay. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: That would be July |
| 16 | 2008? |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Yes, right. |
| 18 | MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 20 | MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 21 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 22 | Q And is it fair to say that in more |
| | |

| 1 | recent times you have become more careful of your |
|----|---|
| 2 | duties with respect to filing claims because of |
| 3 | all of the various challenges that have been |
| 4 | raised IPG's representation of authority? |
| 5 | A Oh, most certainly. |
| 6 | Q Okay. |
| 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q And with such extra attention to your |
| 9 | filings, we should not find a claimant on any of |
| 10 | IPG's joint claims that IPG does not have an |
| 11 | authority to represent, is that right? |
| 12 | A Not to my knowledge. |
| 13 | . Q Okay. And would you please turn to |
| 14 | Exhibit, premarked it as 307 of the MPAA binder? |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is not |
| 16 | in evidence, and we had objected to it previously |
| 17 | because Feed the Children is not involved in this |
| 18 | matter and really has no place here. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: It hasn't been offered |
| 20 | into evidence, either, Mr. Boydston. |
| 21 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, in the previous |
| 22 | exhibits we haven't allowed questioning where the |

| 1 | document wasn't entered. |
|----------------------------|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: Well, he hasn't asked |
| 3 | a question yet. He just asked her to look at the |
| 4 | exhibit. That's all we're doing right now. |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Fair enough. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I've found it. |
| 7 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 8 | Q Will you please take a few seconds |
| 9 | just to flip through the document, just to |
| 10 | familiarize yourself with it? |
| 11 | (Witness looks at document.) |
| 12 | A Do you want me to read the whole |
| | |
| 13 | thing? |
| 13 | thing? Q No. I just wanted to make sure. I |
| | |
| 14 | Q No. I just wanted to make sure. I |
| 14 | Q No. I just wanted to make sure. I think we're fine now, right? |
| 14 15 16 | Q No. I just wanted to make sure. I think we're fine now, right? A Okay. |
| 14 15 16 17 | Q No. I just wanted to make sure. I think we're fine now, right? A Okay. Q Now do you recognize the document? |
| 14 15 16 17 | Q No. I just wanted to make sure. I think we're fine now, right? A Okay. Q Now do you recognize the document? A Yes, I had seen it when you guys |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | Q No. I just wanted to make sure. I think we're fine now, right? A Okay. Q Now do you recognize the document? A Yes, I had seen it when you guys provided it. |

| 1 | Q Okay. |
|----------|--|
| 2 | A Briefly. |
| 3 | Q Would you please tell us what the |
| 4 | document is? |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object. |
| 6 | This doesn't respond to anything that was in the |
| 7 | direct examination whatsoever. And on top of |
| 8 | that, it's not relevant. It is outside the scope |
| 9 | and it is not relevant. That is my objection. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. |
| 11 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, I |
| 12 | mean, it is not I ask no questions on this. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, we don't |
| 14 | even know what the line of questioning is yet. |
| 15 | It's just a document that has been identified. |
| <u> </u> | Can you let Mr. Olaniran ask a question before |
| 17 | you object? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: It's a Notice of |
| 19 | Termination. |
| 20 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 21 | Q And a Notice of Termination |
| 22 | A Of November 25th, 2014. So, not that |

| 1 | long ago. |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, my 307 is |
| 3 | yes, it is in the form of a pleading. Are we |
| 4 | looking at the same thing? |
| 5 | MR. OLANIRAN: Yes, we are. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. All right. Go |
| 7 | ahead. |
| 8 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 9 | Q I'm sorry, I don't know if I cut you |
| 10 | off or not. You said it was a notice? |
| 11 | A Yes. Yes, I said it's a Notice of |
| 12 | Termination dated November 25th, 2014. |
| 13 | Q And it is a pleading that was filed |
| 14 | with the judges, correct? |
| 15 | A Correct. It looks like it. |
| 16 | Q Okay. And who filed that pleading? |
| 17 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 18 | That calls for speculation. She didn't file it, |
| 19 | unless he must establish that. |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 21 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 22 | Q Can you tell from reviewing the |

| | it |
|----|---|
| 1 | document who filed the pleading? |
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 3 | The document would speak for itself. We don't |
| 4 | need her comment. |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 6 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 7 | Q Do you know if your counsel was served |
| 8 | with a copy of that document? |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 10 | Again, speculation. How would she know what I |
| 11 | have been served with? |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. |
| 14 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 15 | Q Would you please go to the last page, |
| 16 | page 8 of the filed document itself? |
| 17 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll |
| 18 | stipulate I was served with this. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. |
| 20 | MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, I would |
| 21 | like for admission of Exhibit 307 as a public |
| 22 | record. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection. No. 1, it |
|----|--|
| 2 | is beyond the scope. No. 2, it's not relevant to |
| 3 | this proceeding. There is no claim for this |
| 4 | entity in this proceeding, and I don't see what |
| 5 | its relevance is. |
| 6 | MR. OLANIRAN: It's impeachment, Your |
| 7 | Honor. |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: I don't know who it is |
| 9 | impeaching. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Well, it can only be |
| 11 | impeaching the witness who is on the stand, or |
| 12 | attempting to do so. |
| 13 | MR. BOYDSTON: And I don't see how it |
| 14 | impeaches anything that Ms. Vernon just said. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: We don't know that |
| 16 | yet, do we, because we haven't heard any |
| 17 | questions about it? |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: Fair enough. For |
| 19 | impeachment, that doesn't overcome the objection |
| 20 | for relevance necessarily. |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: I mean, there might be |

| 1 | an offer of proof as to why it's relevant to |
|----|---|
| 2 | impeach. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. |
| 4 | Go ahead. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: I'm not sure exactly |
| 6 | what page you are talking about. The last page |
| 7 | of 307 or |
| 8 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 9 | Q That's fine, Ms. Vernon. Mr. Boydston |
| 10 | stipulated that he was served |
| 11 | A Oh, okay. |
| 12 | Q with the document. |
| 13 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I apologize. |
| 14 | I wasn't clear. Is 307 admitted into evidence? |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: Not yet. |
| 16 | MR. MacLEAN: I thought that Mr. |
| 17 | Olaniran |
| 18 | MR. OLANIRAN: I did move it, move for |
| 19 | admission. |
| 20 | MR. MacLEAN: had moved it into |
| 21 | evidence, and that you overruled the objection. |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, so I did. So I |

| 1 | did. 307 is admitted. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 3 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just so I |
| 4 | am clear on the record, I have two objections or |
| 5 | I have two bases for both of those objections. |
| 6 | One, it is irrelevant because this |
| 7 | entity is not a party to this action. |
| 8 | JUDGE BARRETT: Understood. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: And two, I don't |
| 10 | remember what two was. But, for that one, I |
| 11. | think you did the ruling. |
| 12 | Well, I guess I would put it this way: |
| 13 | I object because this essentially just like a |
| 14 | character witness in that they have no connection |
| 15 | to this action whatsoever. It would be no |
| 16 | different than if they found IPG's landlord and |
| 17 | brought the landlord in to say, "IPG is late on |
| 18 | its rent." |
| 19 | I mean, it's got no connection to |
| 20 | these claims at issue. So, I don't see how it is |
| 21 | relevant. That's my objection. |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. |

| 1 | MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. |
|----|---|
| 2 | [Whereupon, the document marked as |
| 3 | MPAA Exhibit No. 307 for |
| 4 | identification was received in |
| 5 | evidence.] |
| 6 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 7 | Q Now, Ms. Vernon, Feed the Children has |
| 8 | been an IPG claimant both years, is that correct? |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q And just in general and tell me if |
| 11 | you understand this this document seeks to |
| 12 | replace IPG with MPAA for representation in the |
| 13 | course of this proceeding, is that right? |
| 14 | A That's my understanding of the |
| 15 | document. |
| 16 | Q Okay. And I want you to flip a few |
| 17 | pages forward until you get to the affidavit by |
| 18 | Travis Arnold. Do you see that? |
| 19 | A One second. |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, what was the |
| 21 | reference? |
| 22 | MR. OLANIRAN: Travis Arnold's |

| 1 | affidavit. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: For the record, it is |
| 3 | entitled, "Declaration". Are we talking about |
| 4 | the same document, declaration of Travis Arnold? |
| 5 | MR. OLANIRAN: I'm sorry, it's the |
| 6 | declaration of Travis Arnold. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm there. |
| 8 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 9 | Q Okay. And then, just flip about four |
| 10 | pages forward to Exhibit 1 to that declaration. |
| 11 | Are you there? |
| | |
| 12 | A Just a second. Okay. |
| 12 13 | A Just a second. Okay. Q And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is |
| | |
| 13 | Q And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is |
| 13 | Q And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is an email that's dated July 1st, 2014, from a Mary |
| 13 14 15 | Q And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is an email that's dated July 1st, 2014, from a Mary Rasenberger. It's to several people. Do you see |
| 13 14 15 16 | Q And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is an email that's dated July 1st, 2014, from a Mary Rasenberger. It's to several people. Do you see that? |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | Q And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is an email that's dated July 1st, 2014, from a Mary Rasenberger. It's to several people. Do you see that? A Uh-hum. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | Q And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is an email that's dated July 1st, 2014, from a Mary Rasenberger. It's to several people. Do you see that? A Uh-hum. Q And the email recipient includes |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is an email that's dated July 1st, 2014, from a Mary Rasenberger. It's to several people. Do you see that? A Uh-hum. Q And the email recipient includes Worldwide SG and Mr. Boydston. Do you see that? |

| 1 | A She is one of the attorneys for Feed |
|----|---|
| 2 | the Children now. I don't know how long she has |
| 3 | been on, but she's there with them now. |
| 4 | Q Okay. So, she sent an email to at |
| 5 | least you and Mr. Boydston, among others, |
| 6 | correct? |
| 7 | A Pardon me? |
| 8 | Q She sent this email to you and Mr. |
| 9 | Boydston, among people, correct? |
| 10 | A Well, it's actually sent to Raul and |
| 11 | Brian, but it's sent on our website. |
| 12 | Q This is the official email for IPG, is |
| 13 | it not? |
| 14 | A Yes, it's the email address. |
| 15 | Q Okay. |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q Okay. And would you please read the |
| 18 | text of that email into the record, please? |
| 19 | A "Dear Brian and Raul, |
| 20 | "We are writing on behalf of Feed the |
| 21 | Children to formally notify you that FTC has |
| 22 | elected to terminate Independent Producers |

Group's representation of FTC, including under 1 the mandate agreement signed by Larri Sue Jones 2 on July 16th, 2001, and the extension agreement 3 signed by her on May 20th, 2002 (collectively, 4 the mandate agreement). Accordingly, we request 5 that IPG refrain from filing any claim on behalf 6 7 of FTC for any retransmission royalties for the 2013 calendar year or for any future years. 8 9 "The foregoing is not intended to be 10 an acknowledgment or ratification of the validity of the mandate agreement or any other agreement 11 between FTC and IPG, nor is it intended to be a 12 13 full statement of my client's positions, rights, 14 or remedies, all of which FTCexpressly 15 reserves." 16 Thank you. Okay. 17 Α "Best, Mary". Sorry. 18 Now so, this email does two Q 19 things. First, it terminates IPG's representation of Feed the Children as of July 20 21 1st, correct? 22 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor.

| 1 | That calls for a legal conclusion as to whether |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | or not it is, in fact, a termination or not. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 4 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 5 | Q What is your understanding of what |
| 6 | this email does? |
| 7 | A Well, it tells me she's attempting to |
| 8 | terminate. |
| 9 | Q You don't think she's actually |
| 10 | terminating, if I understand what you are saying, |
| 11 | correct? |
| - 1 | |
| 12 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 12 13 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. He is trying to get her to make a legal |
| | |
| 13 | He is trying to get her to make a legal |
| 13 14 | He is trying to get her to make a legal conclusion. She said what she thinks it says, |
| 13 14 15 | He is trying to get her to make a legal conclusion. She said what she thinks it says, and I think that is a sufficient answer. |
| 13 14 15 16 | He is trying to get her to make a legal conclusion. She said what she thinks it says, and I think that is a sufficient answer. BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | He is trying to get her to make a legal conclusion. She said what she thinks it says, and I think that is a sufficient answer. BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q What else, is there anything else you |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | He is trying to get her to make a legal conclusion. She said what she thinks it says, and I think that is a sufficient answer. BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q What else, is there anything else you think she is doing with this email besides |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | He is trying to get her to make a legal conclusion. She said what she thinks it says, and I think that is a sufficient answer. BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q What else, is there anything else you think she is doing with this email besides attempting to terminate IPG? |

| 1 | A I don't know what you're going for. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q I'm sorry. Did you finish? I'm |
| 3 | sorry, I may have cut you off. Okay. |
| 4 | Do you think it also directs IPG not |
| 5 | to file any claims on behalf of Feed the Children |
| 6 | for 2013 and future years? |
| 7 | A For 2013? |
| 8 | Q I'm sorry, for the 2013 royalty year |
| 9 | and going forward. |
| 10 | A Possibly. I mean, it's dated July |
| 11 | 1st, 2014. Honestly, well, yes, I mean, you |
| 12 | know, she says 2013 or prospectively. |
| 13 | Q I'm not sure |
| 14 | A In her email she says 2013. |
| 15 | Q When are the claims for the 2013 |
| 16 | royalty year due? Or when were they due to be |
| 17 | filed? |
| 18 | A In 2014, July 2014. |
| 10 | |
| 19 | Q Okay. |
| 20 | Q Okay. A Yes. |
| | |

| 1 | Q So, you would have filed in July 2014 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | A Correct. |
| 4 | Q the claims for the 2013 royalty |
| 5 | years? |
| 6 | A Correct. |
| 7 | Q And she's directing you here, is she |
| 8 | not, that you not file for Feed the Children a |
| 9 | claim for the 2013 royalty year? |
| 10 | A That is correct. |
| 11 | Q Okay. Thank you. |
| 12 | And IPG did not produce this |
| 13 | termination, this email, in discovery, did it? |
| 14 | A I don't know. |
| 15 | Q Do you know whether, after the email |
| 16 | was received, it was produced, even if it was |
| 17 | after discovery? |
| 18 | A No, I don't, but |
| 19 | Q You don't know if it was produced at |
| 20 | all to either SDC or MPAA? |
| 21 | A I do not know. |
| 22 | Q Okay. |

| 1 | MR. OLANIRAN: Approach, Your Honor? |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 3 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 4 | Q Ms. Vernon, I have just provided you |
| 5 | with the document premarked |
| 6 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if I may, |
| 7 | we'll stipulate that IPG filed July claims in |
| 8 | 2014 for the 2013 year on behalf of Feed the |
| 9 | Children. It's not in dispute. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 11 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor? |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean? |
| 13 | MR. MacLEAN: I will not agree to that |
| 14 | stipulation. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Do you want to |
| 16 | inquire further since Mr. Olaniran, his point |
| 17 | seems to have been made? |
| 18 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, if this is |
| 19 | a point that Mr. Olaniran would like to make, |
| 20 | then I would ask that it be made based on |
| 21 | documents in evidence, rather than by |
| 22 | stipulation. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object. |
|----|---|
| 2 | That's silly. Your Honor, I actually |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: That's not a legal |
| 4 | basis for an objection, unfortunately, Mr. |
| 5 | Boydston. |
| 6 | MR. OLANIRAN: Just a quick response, |
| 7 | Your Honor. I would actually prefer to have the |
| 8 | document omitted into evidence. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may offer it, once |
| 10 | it's identified and marked. |
| 11 | What's the next number? |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: The next number, we |
| 13 | may need to ask the clerk. |
| 14 | THE CLERK: 356 and 357 then. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: Which do you want to |
| 16 | be which? |
| 17 | MR. OLANIRAN: I am just going to go |
| 18 | back to that |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, as one, one |
| 20 | number? |
| 21 | MR. OLANIRAN: No, two numbers. |
| 22 | MS. PLOVNICK: So, let's call the |

| 1 | cable claim 356 and the satellite 357 then. |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay, who was 356? |
| 3 | MS. PLOVNICK: Cable is 356; satellite |
| 4 | is 357. Cable is 356; satellite is 357, and we |
| 5 | are going to get the clerk stickers on those |
| 6 | right now. |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: You have the copies? |
| 8 | [Whereupon, the documents |
| 9 | were marked as MPAA Exhibit |
| 10 | Nos. 356 and 357 for |
| 11 | identification.] |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Here you are, Ms. |
| 13 | Vernon. These have the clerk's sticker on them. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 15 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 16 | Q Ms. Vernon, do you have in front of |
| 17 | you Exhibit premarked as Exhibit No. 356? |
| 18 | A Uh-hum. |
| 19 | Q And could you please identify that |
| 20 | exhibit for the record? |
| 21 | A Joint Claim for Cable Retransmission |
| 22 | Royalty Fees, 2013. |
| | 1 |

| 1 | Q Okay. And that is true and correct |
|----|---|
| 2 | copy of the joint claim you filed on IPG's behalf |
| 3 | on July 31, 2014 for the 2013 cable royalty year, |
| 4 | correct? |
| 5 | A Correct. |
| 6 | Q Whose handwritten notes are on that |
| 7 | document? |
| 8 | A Those are Raul's, Raul's writing. |
| 9 | MR. OLANIRAN: I move to admit Exhibit |
| 10 | 356, IPG's joint claim for cable royalty fees for |
| 11 | 2013. |
| 12 | MR. MacLEAN: No objection, Your |
| 13 | Honors. |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: 356 is admitted. |
| 16 | [Whereupon, the document marked as |
| 17 | MPAA Exhibit No. 356 for |
| 18 | identification was received in |
| 19 | evidence.] |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: May I ask, Ms. Vernon, |
| 21 | you said the handwritten notes are Mr. Galaz's |
| 22 | notes, but do you mean filling in the blanks is |

| 1 | his handwriting or the handwritten notes that are |
|----|---|
| 2 | in boxes in the margins? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Well, some of it is his |
| 4 | on some of these other things, because I had |
| 5 | input in the stations, but definitely the boxes |
| 6 | are his. |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. |
| 8 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 9 | Q And, Ms. Vernon, let's |
| 10 | A But the signature is mine. |
| 11 | Q Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 12 | A I'm sorry. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. |
| 14 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 15 | Q Ms. Vernon, just stay with Exhibit 356 |
| 16 | for a second. There is an attachment to the |
| L7 | claims form itself. |
| L8 | A The exhibit? |
| 19 | Q Yes, the exhibit. And that would be |
| 20 | the list of claimants that IPG purports to be |
| 21 | duly authorized to represent, is that right? |
| 22 | A That's correct. |

| 1 | Q And if you go to item No. 60 on that, |
|----|--|
| 2 | and that would be Feed the Children that IPG has |
| 3 | on its list of joint claimants? |
| 4 | A Correct. |
| 5 | Q Okay. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran, I think |
| 7 | we already had that |
| 8 | MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: by stipulation. |
| 10 | So, if you just want to get the documents |
| 11 | admitted, we can just do it that way. |
| 12 | MR. OLANIRAN: Good. Good. |
| 13 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 14 | Q Let's go through it. You have in |
| 15 | front of you Exhibit, premarked as Exhibit 357. |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q Could you please identify the exhibit |
| 18 | for the record? |
| 19 | A Joint Claim for Satellite |
| 20 | Retransmission Royalty Fees, 2013. |
| 21 | Q And is that a true and correct copy of |
| 22 | the satellite, the joint claim for satellite |

| 1 | retransmission royalties filed by IPG? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Yes, it is, right. |
| 3 | Q And I had asked you about the |
| 4 | handwriting on the cable claim. Whose |
| 5 | handwriting is on the satellite claim? |
| 6 | A The same as with the cable. |
| 7 | Q Okay. And Feed the Children is also |
| 8 | identified as a joint claimant for IPG on this |
| 9 | document also, correct? |
| 10 | A On line 16. |
| 11 | Q All right. |
| 12 | MR. OLANIRAN: Move to admit Exhibit |
| 13 | 357, Your Honor. |
| 14 | MR. MacLEAN: No objection. |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: 357 is admitted. |
| 17 | MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. |
| 18 | [Whereupon, the document marked as |
| 19 | MPAA Exhibit No. 357 for |
| 20 | identification was received in |
| 21 | evidence.] |
| 22 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |

| 1 | Q Now, Ms. Vernon, you spoke both |
|----|---|
| 2 | yesterday and I think a little bit today, one of |
| 3 | your main responsibilities is dealing with these |
| 4 | confirmations of engagement, correct? |
| 5 | A Say it again? I'm sorry. |
| 6 | Q I said one of your responsibilities |
| 7 | with respect to preparing fore this proceeding is |
| 8 | dealing with the confirmations of engagement |
| 9 | forms that you sent to claimants, correct? |
| 10 | A You mean the acknowledgment forms? |
| 11 | Q The acknowledgment |
| 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | Q Some of them, I believe, were titled |
| 14 | "Confirmations," or are they the same, but that |
| 15 | is what I'm referring to. |
| 16 | A Right. |
| 17 | Q Is it okay, do you prefer for me to |
| 18 | call them "acknowledgments" or |
| 19 | A That's what I call them. |
| 20 | Q Okay. And these acknowledgments ask |
| 21 | the email recipient to confirm IPG's |
| 22 | representation of the recipient, is that right? |
| | |

| 1 | A Correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q For all of the relevant years, in |
| 3 | some cases one particular time period and in |
| 4 | others |
| 5 | A Sometimes it's one year; sometimes |
| 6 | it's ten, yes. |
| 7 | Q And you sent all of the email requests |
| 8 | and accompanying confirmation forms to the |
| 9 | claimants, correct? |
| 10 | A Well, they get sent out, they get |
| 11 | emailed out. It's a mass email. |
| 12 | Q It's a mass email? |
| 13 | A Most of the time, yes. |
| 14 | Q How exactly did that work? I get that |
| 15 | you authored the email, and then, you have data |
| 16 | person, so they are merged? |
| 17 | A Yes. As I was saying the other day or |
| 18 | yesterday, I guess, our programmer, Kyle, is able |
| 19 | to merge the clients' information, email |
| 20 | addresses, and things like that, you know, and |
| 21 | then, merge it with whatever text we want him to |
| 22 | send. And then, he sends them all out. |

0 And so, basically, you author the text 1 and he does his magic and sends it out to your 2 intended recipients, correct? 3 Α Right. Yes. And it goes out from our 4 email address. 5 And you stated yesterday that 6 7 this really about a belt-and-suspenders approach because you didn't really believe that 8 you needed to send out the confirmation. Is that 9 10 a fair characterization of your --Oh, well, with some, yes; with some, 11 We felt, well, we really had all that we 12 no. 13 needed. You know, some we thought, well, you know, it would probably be a good idea to have 14 more firm confirmation. 15 16 I'm not sure I'm clear on what you're 17 saying. You're saying, for some claimants, it 18 was a belt-and-suspendersapproach, and then, for 19 other claimants or for other intended recipients, 20 you may have needed that confirmation? Is that 21 right? Well, not really. It's more of some 22 Α

things are more important than others. I mean, 1 some we had so much correspondence and so much 2 And like I was saying yesterday, you 3 backup. know, and the mandate agreements and all of that. 4 5 some, you know, had the extension we 6 agreement, but not the mandate agreement. 7 know, there were bits and pieces that 8 missing, even though we did have correspondence. And we felt, well, it wouldn't hurt; it would be 9 10 better if we had this additional acknowledgment 11 as well. 12 Q So, whether or not you felt 13 acknowledgments were necessary to be sent to a 14 recipient depended on the number of documents that you had for that recipient? 15 16 Well, no, not necessarily. 17 meant that some may have taken a little bit more 18 priority. You know, it was more of a judgment 19 call than anything else. 20 And, too, it had to do with time and 21 necessity. I mean, there's kind of a short

window of time for getting all of the -- by the

time we get the data of the program list and
trying to get all of the programs identified on
the program list from all of the different
claimants, it takes a lot of time. And there is
a pretty short window to try to contact them all
and do that in all that period of time.

So, some of them, you know, even

So, some of them, you know, even though the acknowledgment letters had gone out to them along with the Excel spreadsheets, you know, there were some that it got to a point where I don't even care about the acknowledgment letters because they weren't that important, anyway. Like I said, it was more of a belt-and-suspenders thing.

You know, my main focus was make sure and get their programs identified. Yes, so a lot of them, I just, you know, if they sent the programs, but they didn't send the acknowledgment, that was fine. I mean, they were clearly cooperating.

Q I understand, and I was merely trying to determine what factors you considered before

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

1 2

. . .

deciding whether to send it to a particular recipient, a belt-and-suspenders approach versus sending to a recipient that you felt you needed to. And I was trying to figure out, I was trying to get you to articulate the distinguishing factor between those that you considered necessary to send to versus those that you considered discretionary to send the emails to.

A Yes. There wasn't any kind of set identifying factor with that. You know, pretty much, just like all the other mass emails, everything got sent. Some of them got them; some of them did not, you know, because it's the AOL account or their emails have changed or the contact person is no longer at the company. So I would have to get on the phone and call them and get all the new contact information. And that is one of the things that just takes so much time.

Q Do you'recall -- you provided a declaration that was, I think it has been admitted into evidence probably a couple of times

| 1 | already but do you remember doing a |
|----|---|
| 2 | declaration with regard to this acknowledgment? |
| 3 | A Well, we have a declaration, but I |
| 4 | didn't think it got admitted. |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just to |
| 6 | help, we did attach a declaration as an exhibit |
| 7 | here, but I didn't offer it even further because |
| 8 | she is here, and I understand the Court's view on |
| 9 | that. But I don't know that that is what Mr. |
| 10 | Olaniran is referring to or not. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: I think he just asked |
| 12 | a yes-or-no question: did you sign a |
| 13 | declaration? |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: I'm just curious what |
| 15 | he is referring to; that's all. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. |
| 17 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 18 | Q Ms. Vernon, could you please take a |
| 19 | look at Exhibit 308 |
| 20 | A Okay. |
| 21 | Q in one of the orange cover binders? |
| 22 | And then, look |

| 1 | A Your declaration? |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q I'm sorry? |
| 3 | A Your declaration? |
| 4 | Q Yes. |
| 5 | A Okay. |
| 6 | Q And there are sub-exhibits to that |
| 7 | declaration. So, if you can flip to tab 26 |
| 8 | within 308? |
| 9 | (Pause.) |
| 10 | Are you there? |
| 11 | A Just about. Sorry. There's a lot |
| 12 | here. Okay. All right. |
| 13 | Q And that is in tab 26 of Exhibit 308, |
| 14 | is your declaration, is the declaration of Denise |
| 15 | Vernon in response to order of July 30, 2014. Do |
| 16 | you see that? |
| 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q And you go to paragraph 2 of that |
| 19 | exhibit, I mean of the first page. Do you see |
| 20 | that last sentence? |
| 21 | A Paragraph 2, the last sentence of |
| 22 | paragraph 2 on the second page? |

| 1 | Q The last sentence on the first page. |
|----|--|
| 2 | I'm sorry. |
| 3 | A The first page? |
| 4 | "Although IPG does not believe that |
| 5 | such documents were necessary, their existence |
| 6 | contradicts any assertion that" and then, it |
| 7 | goes on to the next page "that IPG was not |
| 8 | granted authority to represent the signatory |
| 9 | claims for the calendar years indicated on such |
| 10 | documents." |
| 11 | Q Okay. So, the distinction that we |
| 12 | just talked about was not really made in that |
| 13 | declaration, is not made in this declaration, is |
| 14 | it, about confirmations that you considered |
| L5 | necessary versus the ones that you considered |
| L6 | discretionary? |
| L7 | A Can you |
| L8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
| L9 | The document speaks for itself. |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 21 | MR. OLANIRAN: That's fine. |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: And also, Your Honor, |

| 1 | I think the question misstated the testimony |
|----|---|
| 2 | today. The testimony today, Ms. Vernon said in |
| 3 | some detail it was belt and suspenders. She |
| 4 | didn't necessarily say "necessary," for what it's |
| 5 | worth. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 7 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 8 | Q Now, Ms. Vernon, you provided MPAA |
| 9 | with a list of the persons and entities to whom |
| 10 | the confirmation of engagement emails were sent, |
| 11 | do you recall? |
| 12 | A Yes. Well, yes, we provided |
| 13 | everything we have. |
| 14 | Q And so, the lists you would have |
| 15 | provided were to us, were they lists of all of |
| 16 | the intended recipients of the acknowledgments? |
| 17 | A Pardon me? All of what? |
| 18 | Q The emails, the document that you |
| 19 | provided to us, to MPAA, identified all of the |
| 20 | intended recipients of the acknowledgment? |
| 21 | A Yes, I believe so. |
| 22 | Q Okay. And were the emails to sent to |

only IPG claimants?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Well, they were intended to go to only IPG claimants, but, you know, there's some that we don't represent anymore that certainly could have been on our programmer's list that they had inadvertently been sent to, just like the thing that I had mentioned a little while ago where we erringly sent another Excel spreadsheet to some clients and said, "You have of the not responded." And they all called back, "What are you talking about? filled this We out spreadsheet." You know, I mean, stuff just gets done like that, you know.

Q Now how did you determine, what efforts -- strike that.

What efforts did you make, if any, to distinguish, to determine whether a recipient in the database was an IPG claimant?

A Oh, just kind of a one-by-one thing.

I mean, if they are an IPG claimant and we've had contact with them, then if I don't get anything from them, then I know to contact them. You

know, if it went someplace, to some email that 1 wasn't an IPG claimant, then, more than likely I 2 probably haven't had contact with them and I'm 3 not going to be concerned if I don't hear from 4 somebody I don't know something went to. 5 are you saying that you made 6 0 particular efforts to eliminate from the list of 7 intended recipients those that were not 8 claimants or are you saying that you did not? 9 10 Α More than likely, I probably wasn't 11 all that concerned with it. You know, my main concern certainly would be to make sure I'm 12 13 contacting all of the IPG claimants. Yes, I don't want to leave those people out, you know. Ιf 14 15 some that aren't got thrown in there, you know, that's possible. 16 17 Now, how did you treat the recipients 0 that did not respond to your email asking for 18 acknowledgment of IPG's representation? 19 I had stated, that's when, 20 A know, when we didn't get a response, that is when 21 22 I would get on and see, okay, did the email get

sent back because it is not a good email address 1 or did they just not respond, or what? 2 would have to go in further and see what the case 3 And -was. 4 Let's say you do that -- oh, 5 6 sorry. 7 Α Sorry. You know, and at that point, 8 then I would try to remedy whatever the case was, you know, call them, write them, see if I have 9 10 another contact name or number in my notes, and 11 send an email to the next person to see if the 12 other person is still there or not, yes. 13 Now let's say you do all that. 0 14 A Okay. 15 So, you now have 80 emails that you 16 sent out, and you've gone through that process, 17 and you still don't have a response from about 80 18 What do you do with that non-responding 19 email recipient? Do you include that recipient as part of your IPG-represented claimants or do 20 you just lift them out altogether? 21 22 Well, it just depends on who it is. Α

You know, if it is someone that we have been 1 doing business with and still have a contract 2 with, you know, and we know what all of their 3 programs are -- for instance, there are some that 4 I really didn't need to get anything new from 5 them because I had all of their information. 6 7 They had in 2011 given me their full catalog from 8 when they started up until 2011. So, clearly, I didn't need to get any new information from them 9 10 for the point during 1999 to 2009 because I had all of their programs. 11 12 So, if I understand your testimony 13 correctly --14 Α And if we already had an agreement 15 with them as well, then I've got their program 16 list. I've got the agreement. So, I didn't need 17 anything new from them. 18 0 Okay. Okay. Then, if I understand your testimony correctly, you sent out the email, 19 20 the first email. You didn't get a response. 21 Perhaps you send out two or three additional 22 emails. No response. You attempt phone calls.

1 No response. But, based on the records that you 2 have with you, you go ahead, without even having 3 spoken to or have a two-way communication with 4 the email recipient, you go ahead and include 5 that claim, that entity, as an IPG claimant? 6 7 that correct? 8 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object, just misrepresenting her testimony, only to the 9 10 extent that what she said is sometimes she might not have had a contact in this immediate moment, 11 but that didn't bother her because she said she 12 13 would have contact, if she had contact before that time, then she didn't feel it necessary to 14 have contact right immediately at the time --15 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Boydston, Mr. appreciate that you think that you need to coach 17 this witness, but please don't. 18 19 MR. **BOYDSTON:** Your Honor, Ι apologize. I was not attempting that whatsoever. 20 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Overruled.

Vernon can answer the question the way she thinks

she can answer the question. 1 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I was just 2 objecting to the form of the question; that's 3 all. JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 5 But, if you want to try again, 6 7 none of us remember the question, Mr.I think you set forth process. Maybe 8 the better way to do it would be to ask the 9 10 witness to set forth the process. 11 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 12 Q Then, let me, another attempt at the 13 question. So, from my understanding of your testimony, you send out the first acknowledgment. 14 15 You don't get a response. You send perhaps two 16 or three additional emails. No response. 1.7 then, you attempt phone calls. No response. 18 But you have documents or you believe 19 you have documents, or maybe you don't have any 20 documents. And what do you do with that claimant 21 that has not responded, given those

circumstances?

| - | MD DOWNGERON Volume However T |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I |
| 2 | apologize, but that's compound, because he said |
| 3 | maybe you might have documents about the person; |
| 4 | maybe you wouldn't. |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 6 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 7 | Q What if you go through the process and |
| 8 | you don't have a document with IPG evidencing a |
| 9 | representation of that claimant? What do you do? |
| 10 | Do you I'm sorry. Go ahead. |
| 11 | A I don't know. They're all so |
| 12 | different. |
| 13 | Q No, I'm asking |
| 14 | A I can't give you a blanket answer for |
| 15 | every |
| 16 | Q No, I'm asking you a very specific |
| 17 | A specific claimant, you know, but |
| 18 | there are some that, if we hadn't heard from |
| 19 | them, we didn't have any contact with them, or |
| 20 | anything else, for years |
| 21 | Q I'm not asking about those things. |
| 22 | A Well, that's what I'm trying to |
| | |

explain. 1 asking specific But I'm а very 2 situation, very specific. 3 Okay. 4 Α You've gone through the process. 5 They're not responding to you, have no contact. 6 7 and you have no document within IPG that suggests still include that 8 representation. Do you claimant, that entity as an IPG claimant? Yes or 9 10 no? Well, I quess I would have to say no 11 Α because we don't include them if we have had no 12 13 documents. I mean, they're included because we have documents, you know, correspondence, that 14 15 sort of thing. 16 mean. if there has been no 17 correspondence ever, then they wouldn't be an IPG 18 claimant to begin with. 19 0 What if there were an IPG claimant that terminated IPG before you ever got involved 20 21 with IPG, but they remained in the database 22 anyway? How do you know the intention of a

| 1 | recipient such as that? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object. |
| 3 | I think the question was, how do you know the |
| 4 | intention of a recipient such as that, which I |
| 5 | think calls for speculation. |
| 6 | MR. OLANIRAN: Let me rephrase the |
| 7 | question. |
| 8 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 9 | Q If a recipient fired IPG, let's say in |
| 10 | 2003 so far, so good? |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q Okay. And they remained a part of |
| 13 | your database because, for some reason, that |
| 14 | database was not |
| 15 | A Updated. |
| 16 | Q updated |
| 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q to delete that recipient. |
| 19 | A Uh-hum. |
| 20 | Q And you go through the acknowledgment |
| 21 | process and you send them a batch of emails and |
| 22 | followups. And the recipient does not respond. |

| 1 | So far, so good? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Okay. |
| 3 | Q Okay. And you rely on a document that |
| 4 | does not contemplate the termination, correct? |
| 5 | A Right. |
| 6 | Q Is it possible that you can list a |
| 7 | recipient like that as an IPG claimant? |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I have to |
| 9 | object to the hypothetical, for the reason that |
| 10 | what was left out is whether or not there is a |
| 11 | post-termination right and contract or not, which |
| 12 | is all important in that circumstance. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: But, again, Mr. |
| 14 | Boydston, the question is posed to the witness, |
| 15 | not to counsel. |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: I understand, but |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: it is an incomplete |
| 19 | hypothetical in that regard. |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: Fine. Then, you can |
| 21 | make that legal objection, but do not fill in the |
| 22 | blank. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: That!s what I made. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: So, the incomplete |
| 3 | hypothetical is sustained. |
| 4 | Ask the question again, please, Mr. |
| 5 | Olaniran. |
| 6 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 7 | Q Well, you testified earlier that there |
| 8 | may be in your database claimants that really |
| 9 | don't belong there that are not IPG claimants, |
| 10 | right? There are entities within your database |
| 11 | that are not IPG claimants, correct? |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think |
| 12 | THE BOIDDION. TOUR HOHOL, I CHILING |
| 13 | that misstates the testimony. I think she said |
| | |
| 13 | that misstates the testimony. I think she said |
| 13 14 | that misstates the testimony. I think she said that it is possible that emails may have gone to |
| 13 14 15 | that misstates the testimony. I think she said that it is possible that emails may have gone to non-IPG claimants. That is different than saying |
| 13 14 15 16 | that misstates the testimony. I think she said that it is possible that emails may have gone to non-IPG claimants. That is different than saying that they're in the database, I think. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | that misstates the testimony. I think she said that it is possible that emails may have gone to non-IPG claimants. That is different than saying that they're in the database, I think. THE WITNESS: That's what I said. The |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | that misstates the testimony. I think she said that it is possible that emails may have gone to non-IPG claimants. That is different than saying that they're in the database, I think. THE WITNESS: That's what I said. The emails may have |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | that misstates the testimony. I think she said that it is possible that emails may have gone to non-IPG claimants. That is different than saying that they're in the database, I think. THE WITNESS: That's what I said. The emails may have JUDGE BARRETT: I think, |

| 1 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q How do you determine the intention |
| 3 | whether or not an email respondent that you had |
| 4 | no communications with during the acknowledgment |
| 5 | process actually intended to be represented by |
| 6 | IPG, even though they didn't provide a response |
| 7 | to you, in light of all of the attempts you made |
| 8 | to contact them? |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, Your Honor, |
| 10 | I just didn't understand that question. |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I don't, |
| 12 | either. Can you say that again or |
| 13 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 14 | Q If you have an email, if you have in |
| 15 | your database, if you sent an email to a |
| 16 | recipient and you went through the acknowledgment |
| 17 | process, two or three emails, telephone calls, |
| 18 | letters, whatever. |
| 19 | A Uh-hum. |
| 20 | Q You don't get a response from that |
| 21 | entity at all. Are there circumstances in which |
| 22 | you go ahead and include that entity as an IPG |

claimant? 1 It's just like I have said a Yes. 2 If I have had past correspondence 3 moment ago. with them, then, yes, that's not unusual. 4 got a lot of them. I finally get a hold of them, 5 and I ask them, "Well, why haven't you contacted 6 7 back?" Like, "Well, we gave you that me 8 information two years ago." I'm not asking you about feedback, Ms. 9 0 10 Vernon. But there are just circumstances like 11 A 12 that. 13 Ms. Vernon, I am not asking about who 0 you got a hold of. 14 15 Α Okay. 16 Q My question is directed to the people 17 you did not get a hold of. You have a situation 18 where you had no communications during the 19 confirmation process with the claimant, and you went ahead and included the claimant as an IPG-20 21 represented claimant -- I'm sorry, the recipient

of an email as an IPG claimant.

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Asked and answered. She started her answer with |
| 3 | "yes". I believe she answered "yes". |
| 4 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 5 | Q Ms. Vernon |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 7 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 8 | Q Ms. Vernon, your name appeared on most |
| 9 | of the mass emails to IPG claimants, is that |
| 10 | right? |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q And during your testimony yesterday, |
| 13 | you provided templates of different types of |
| 14 | emails that you sent to the claimant, right? |
| 15 | A Uh-hum. |
| 16 | Q And now, some of the emails that you |
| 17 | sent solicited information from the claimant with |
| 18 | regard to titles? |
| 19 | A Program titles. |
| 20 | Q And as we just discussed, some of them |
| 21 | had to do with preferring IPG's representation, |
| 22 | correct? |

| 1 | A Correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q And you used other emails to provide |
| 3 | status updates for the different proceedings that |
| 4 | IPG is engaged in within this retransmission |
| 5 | royalty scheme, right? |
| 6 | A Right. |
| 7 | Q And sometimes you informed them about |
| 8 | deadlines and procedural issues, right? |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q And would you agree that in |
| 11 | communicating with claimants you have to be |
| 12 | truthful? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q Okay. And that if you're not truthful |
| 15 | and honest in your accounts to your claimants, |
| 16 | you will be doing them a disservice, right? |
| 17 | A I believe so. |
| 18 | Q And in all of your communications that |
| 19 | you sent to IPG's claimants you were completely |
| 20 | truthful, is that right? |
| 21 | A I was. |
| 22 | Q So, if one were to look at all of |

| 1 | those communications, your email updates or |
|----|---|
| 2 | reports to the claimants, one should not find |
| 3 | misleading information in those summaries, right, |
| 4 | in those accounts, correct? |
| 5 | A I believe so, yes. |
| 6 | Q Let's look again at, let's go back to |
| 7 | Exhibit 308. |
| 8 | A Okay. |
| 9 | Q I think we looked at 308 just a second |
| 10 | ago. |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q And let's look at tab 27 this time. |
| 13 | A All right. |
| 14 | Q Do you see it? |
| 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | Q And is this an example of one of your |
| 17 | accounts that you provided to IPG-represented |
| 18 | claimants? |
| 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | Q Okay. And this is a report that |
| 21 | appears to be after the evidentiary hearing in |
| 22 | the 0003 proceeding, correct, but before the time |

| 1 | of the termination, if you can tell? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Yes, this would have been sent out |
| 3 | in |
| 4 | Q I'm going to direct |
| 5 | A probably around March. |
| 6 | Q I'm sorry. March of what year? |
| 7 | A 2014. |
| 8 | Q Okay. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want |
| 10 | to point out this is the same as Exhibit 109 that |
| 11 | is in evidence. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. |
| 13 | MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 15 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 16 | Q And if you look at the background |
| 17 | section which says, "As noted in our recent |
| 18 | correspondence to you on June 13, IPG appeared in |
| 19 | Washington, D.C. for a five-day evidentiary |
| 20 | hearing." |
| 21 | So, this is after the evidentiary |
| 22 | hearing in the 0003 phase 2 proceeding, correct? |

| 1 | A Right. Right. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Now, just above that, the background |
| 3 | section, in caps and bold it says, this text and |
| 4 | caps and bold says, "Please note that the failure |
| 5 | to execute the attached form acknowledgment |
| 6 | could subject your company's claim to |
| 7 | forfeiture." |
| 8 | Do you see that? |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q What did you mean by that? |
| 11 | A Well, basically, just what it says. |
| 12 | I mean, if there's not a claim, then it means |
| 13 | they're forfeiting their rights for whatever |
| 14 | particular year. |
| 15 | Q But it's not true, though, is it, if |
| 16 | the email recipient is not an IPG-represented |
| 17 | claimant? |
| 18 | A Pardon me? |
| 19 | Q This statement is not true if the |
| 20 | person or entity that received this email is not |
| 21 | represented by IPG, is it? |
| 22 | A Well, if they're not represented by |

| 1 | IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send |
|----|---|
| 2 | them the email to begin with. You know, if we |
| 3 | did, it was an error. And, no, of course it |
| 4 | would not be true. |
| 5 | Q Do you know whether that you sent an |
| 6 | email to A&E Television? |
| 7 | A No, I don't. |
| 8 | Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab |
| 9 | 27. Under the background section, let's look at |
| 10 | the third paragraph. |
| 11 | A Under background? |
| 12 | Q Yes. |
| 13 | A Okay. |
| 14 | Q And in the first sentence, you |
| 15 | characterize the hearing as coming to counter- |
| 16 | intuitive, inexplicable rulings. And later in |
| 17 | that paragraph, you assert that claims were |
| 18 | randomly dismissed. Do you see that? |
| 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | Q And do you consider this a truthful |
| 21 | account of the evidentiary hearing? |
| 22 | A Somewhat. You know, I doubt if |

everybody is ever 100-percent pleased by any 1 ruling, you know, that they get. But, yes, there 2 were some instances where I felt that we had 3 ample and adequate evidence and documents showing 4 that these claimants were IPG claimants and had 5 been for years and years and years and years. 6 7 And some of those got dismissed. mean, well, Maureen Millen, 8 know, was an example. And so, there were plenty 9 10 of instances where I had communicated fully with 11 these people, and they had been cooperating with 12 me during this entire time and cooperated with 13 Raul long ago, that had gotten dismissed. felt, yes, there were instances like that. 14 15 0 So, let me ask you a little bit 16 differently. Do you think this is a completely 17 truthful account of that proceeding? 18 Α Completely truthful. Subjective 19 maybe, but truthful. 20 Okay. Q 21 Approach, Your Honor? MR. OLANIRAN: 22 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.

| 1 | MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry. This isn't |
|----|---|
| 2 | yet. |
| 3 | MR. OLANIRAN: Oh. |
| 4 | MS. PLOVNICK: It's been marked. |
| 5 | MR. OLANIRAN: It's just a whole bunch |
| 6 | of stuff. I'm sorry. |
| 7 | MS. PLOVNICK: It's been marked. So, |
| 8 | I mean, do you want me to |
| 9 | MR. OLANIRAN: Let me ask a question. |
| 10 | MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. |
| 11 | [Whereupon, the document was |
| 12 | marked MPAA Exhibit No. 358 for |
| 13 | identification.] |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: This is a good place |
| 15 | to take our morning recess? |
| 16 | MR. OLANIRAN: Sure. |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: Let's do that, a 15- |
| 18 | minute recess. |
| 19 | MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 20 | (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went |
| 21 | off the record at 10:30 a.m. and went back on the |
| 22 | record at 10:48 a.m.) |

| 1 | JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. |
|----|---|
| 2 | I apologize, I'm breaking the rule by |
| 3 | bringing my coffee. |
| 4 | Mr. Olaniran? |
| 5 | MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 6 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 7 | Q Ms. Vernon, before the break, we had |
| 8 | talked about the extent to which your accounts to |
| 9 | your claimants were completely truthful. And |
| 10 | right after that, I handed you an exhibit |
| 11 | premarked as 358. Do you recognize that |
| 12 | document? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q And please describe the document. |
| 15 | A It's an email regarding the |
| 16 | proceedings for the June 2013. |
| 17 | Q Okay. And was this email prepared by |
| 18 | you? |
| 19 | A Actually, Raul and I. |
| 20 | Q Okay. And it went out under your |
| 21 | name, correct? |
| 22 | A Pardon me? |

| 1 | Q It went out under your name? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Yes. |
| 3 | Q Okay. |
| 4 | MR. OLANIRAN: Move to admit Exhibit |
| 5 | 358, Your Honor. |
| 6 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object on |
| 7 | several grounds. |
| 8 | One is relevance. This is an email by |
| 9 | IPG to its claimants describing IPG's opinion as |
| 10 | to the outcome of the 2000-2003 hearing. It has |
| 11 | nothing to do with anything that was talked about |
| 12 | in direct, and therefore, is outside of the |
| 13 | scope. |
| 14 | In addition, it's irrelevant in the |
| 15 | sense that this is not offered or is not a |
| 16 | statement of truth or falsity. It's an opinion. |
| 17 | And to the extent that there is a legitimate |
| 18 | desire to impeach a witness, it should go to |
| 19 | something that the witness has testified about. |
| 20 | And simply saying, "Well, we just want |
| 21 | to know if you're telling a lie or have ever told |
| 22 | a lie in your life," I don't think that's proper. |

| 1 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Boydston. |
| 3 | Any objection, Mr. MacLean? |
| 4 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, may I voir |
| 5 | dire? |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. |
| 7 | VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION |
| 8 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 9 | Q Ms. Vernon, I see at the top of this |
| 10 | page in the "From" and "To" lines, this is from |
| 11 | worldwidesg@aol.com. That is an email address |
| 12 | that you use? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q Since January 23rd, 2014, did you send |
| 15 | this email on January 23rd, 2014? |
| 16 | A Apparently. |
| L7 | Q It is sent to Jean McBride, Paramount. |
| L8 | Did you send this email to Jean McBride at |
| L9 | Paramount? |
| 20 | A I'm guessing that was the case. If it |
| 21 | was sent to our claimants, then it was another |
| 22 | mass email. |

| 1 | Q That's at Paramount Pictures? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A I'm not really sure. I've never |
| 3 | spoken directly with a Jean McBride. So, as I |
| 4 | said, it was one of those mass emails that I'm |
| 5 | not sure exactly who this particular one went to |
| 6 | because I'm sure it went to hundreds. |
| 7 | Q The first line starts, "As the |
| 8 | designated representative of your company,"? |
| 9 | A That is what the first line says. |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is |
| 11 | going nowhere. I mean, we have already said this |
| 12 | is there is no dispute this has been written |
| 13 | by the witness. |
| 14 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I have no |
| 15 | objection to this exhibit. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 17 | And, Mr. Olaniran, you're offering |
| 18 | this for what purpose? |
| 19 | MR. OLANIRAN: Impeachment purposes. |
| 20 | I had asked the witness about the accounts that |
| 21 | she makes to |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: You were asking, I |

| 1 | believe, about her truthfulness? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. OLANIRAN: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: And is there a |
| 4 | statement of fact in this email that would |
| 5 | impeach her truthfulness? |
| 6 | MR. OLANIRAN: Yes. Yes. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I would |
| 8 | like to know what he is referring to because this |
| 9 | is a recitation of |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: I understand. You |
| 11 | don't have to give me a narrative, Mr. Boydston. |
| 12 | . I am going to allow it provisionally, |
| 13 | but I have to find a fact statement in here, and |
| 14 | you have to point that out to me, Mr. Olaniran, |
| 15 | or it will be withdrawn. |
| 16 | [Whereupon, the document marked as |
| 17 | MPAA Exhibit No. 358 for |
| 18 | identification was provisionally |
| 19 | received in evidence.] |
| 20 | CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 21 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 22 | Q Ms. Vernon, if you look at the third |

paragraph of Exhibit 358 and you go to the second 1 line -- are you there? 2 3 Yes. You characterized the proceedings as 4 "pre-ordained, irrespective of what evidence was 5 presented at trial". Now what was your basis for 6 7 stating that the proceeding was pre-ordained? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, objection. 8 9 is clearly a subjective expression of 10 opinion, not fact. 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 12 Well, as I said, this THE WITNESS: 13 was something that Raul and I prepared. 14 can tell you at the time, then, even though on 15 the it "While back page where says, IPG 16 recognizes that this update might appear as sour 17 grapes, " I can tell you there was a feeling a lot 18 of sour grapes at that time and it was very 19 touchy. 20 And I had told him that I thought this 21 might be a little -- some of his statements and 22 some of the sentences in there, you know, were

| 1 | going a little too far, but, you know |
|----|--|
| 2 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 3 | Q So, you have no basis |
| 4 | A This was the feeling at the time. It |
| 5 | was subjective. |
| 6 | Q You had no basis for stating that the |
| 7 | proceeding were "pre-ordained, irrespective of |
| 8 | what evidence was presented at trial"? |
| 9 | A Well, like I said, it was just an |
| 10 | opinion, you know. I mean, there were |
| 11 | Q Whose opinion? |
| 12 | A There was a thing well, everyone |
| 13 | got different opinions, you know, but there was |
| 14 | just a feeling, at least with myself, and I know |
| 15 | Raul felt that way, too, that a lot of baggage |
| 16 | was brought in to the trial, despite everything |
| 17 | that had been presented at trial. |
| 18 | Q Let me ask you about the next line. |
| 19 | This also stated that "The CRB issued an opinion |
| 20 | that disregarded each and every argument made by |
| 21 | IPG, no matter how obvious." |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, for the |

record, the same objection. I think this is an 1 expression of subjective opinion, not 2 Therefore, I don't think it is appropriate for 3 4 impeachment. JUDGE BARRETT: 5 Noted. BY MR. OLANIRAN: 6 7 0 What is your basis for that statement? Well, the ruling. I mean, it felt 8 Α 9 like so many -- oh, like each and every argument 10 we had made, you know, was disregarded. 11 Okay. So, that was just a feeling? 12 don't have factual for а bases that 13 statement, right? 14 Well, you know, we can get down and 15 count exactly every single argument IPG made. Are you trained as a lawyer? 16 17 Α No. 18 Okay. Let's move on to the next one. 19 If you flip over to paragraph one, two, three, 20 four, paragraph five, which is the first 21 paragraph on the following page, the very first 22 sentence, you describe the CRB's decision as

| 1 | "extraordinarily tainted and how these figures |
|----|---|
| 2 | were adopted by the CRB defies reason". And you |
| 3 | are referring to the numbers that you talk about, |
| 4 | the allocation numbers you talk about on the |
| 5 | previous page. |
| 6 | What is your factual bases or basis |
| 7 | for that characterization? |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, the same |
| 9 | objection. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Noted. |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Well, clearly, as we |
| 12 | said in the previous paragraph, it just seemed |
| 13 | extraordinarily skewed as compared to the |
| 14 | percentage of the 1997 royalties. |
| 15 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 16 | Q Okay. |
| 17 | A And I think we described that pretty |
| 18 | clearly in the previous paragraph. |
| 19 | Q So, in this account, though, you don't |
| 20 | mention at all in this account that the judges |
| 21 | found that Mr. Galaz's criminal history |
| 22 | compromised his credibility? Did you mention |

| 1 | that in here at all, in this email? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Oh, in this email, no. |
| 3 | Q Okay. |
| 4 | . A But our claimants are familiar |
| 5 | Q That's fine. Let me finish. |
| 6 | A Okay. |
| 7 | Q Let me finish. |
| 8 | And you don't mention any of the |
| 9 | judges' findings about the methodological |
| 10 | problems that they had with IPG's presentation, |
| 11 | do you? |
| 12 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, object, as |
| 13 | being argumentative. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: No, we didn't get |
| 16 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 17 | Q Okay. And |
| 18 | A into the specifics of everything |
| 19 | that was done at trial. I mean, we certainly |
| 20 | weren't going to, nor I doubt if they would |
| 21 | |
| 21 | understand if we started getting into |

| Q Okay. And you don't actually mention |
|---|
| also that IPG could not substantiate some of its |
| representations, it representation of some of the |
| claims? Do you mention that in here? |
| MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
| Argumentative and the document speaks for itself. |
| JUDGE BARRETT: The latter objection |
| is sustained. |
| BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| Q Do you mention at all in this email |
| that the judges found that IPG could not |
| substantiate its representation of some of the |
| claimants? |
| MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
| The same objection. The document speaks for |
| itself. |
| JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. |
| BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| Q In fact, do you mention at all in this |
| email any of the problems that the judges had |
| with IPG's presentation? |
| |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
|----|---|
| 2 | The same objection. The document speaks for |
| 3 | itself. |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 5 | Having heard the testimony, Exhibit |
| б | 358 is rejected. |
| 7 | [Whereupon, the document marked as |
| 8 | MPAA Exhibit No. 358 for |
| 9 | identification was rejected.] |
| 10 | MR. OLANIRAN: No further questions, |
| 11 | Your Honor. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. |
| 13 | Mr. MacLean, questions for Ms. Vernon? |
| 14 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I would |
| 15 | like to just have one moment to collect the |
| 16 | documents that I need. |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 18 | (Pause.) |
| 19 | MR. MacLEAN: All right. Thank you, |
| 20 | Your Honor. I'm ready. |
| 21 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 22 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |

Good morning, Ms. Vernon. 0 1. I am Matthew MacLean. I represent the 2 Settling Devotional Claimants. 3 Now I believe you testified that you 4 are very careful to only file claims on behalf of 5 claimants who have authorized IPG to file on 6 their behalf, is that right? 7 That's my intention. 8 Α 9 0 Well, aside from being your intention, are you, in fact, very careful to file claims 10 only on behalf of claimants who have authorized 1.1. 12 IPG to file? 13 I try to be. Have you ever filed a claim on behalf 14 15 of a claimant that has sent IPG a termination? I hadn't -- well, it's probably 16 Α Ιf 17 happened, but it just -- but, if we didn't know the termination, then it could have 18 about I mean, clearly, there have been some 19 happened. 20 instances that we have seen here where people 21 have come up with termination letters that we had no idea there had ever been a termination letter, 22

| 1 | you know, for a particular client. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And I've been communicating with a lot |
| 3 | of those clients to this day, and they never said |
| 4 | anything about having once sent a termination |
| 5 | letter, I'll say 10 years ago. |
| 6 | So, right, I mean, I don't do it |
| 7 | before the fact, but if I filed a claim for them, |
| 8 | it was because I had not known anything about |
| 9 | them terminating. |
| 10 | Q So, you have filed claims on behalf of |
| 11 | claimants who have terminated IPG? |
| 12 | A Not knowingly. I mean, if it was a |
| 13 | valid termination. |
| 14 | Q So, Feed the Children you didn't know |
| 15 | had terminated IPG at the time you filed a claim |
| 16 | for the 2013 year? |
| 17 | A I don't know if we did or not, but it |
| 18 | just depends on the contract. |
| 19 | Q All right, but I'm asking with respect |
| 20 | to Feed the Children. |
| 21 | A I'm not sure. I know we filed a |
| 22 | claim. |

| 1 | Q And |
|----|---|
| 2 | A And I saw the termination letter that, |
| 3 | you know, was sent in July. |
| 4 | Q You received this termination letter |
| 5 | in July. You filed the claim later in July, |
| 6 | right? |
| 7 | A Correct. |
| 8 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me. If I may |
| 9 | ask, Ms. Vernon, the documents in question that |
| 10 | we referred to are 356 and 357 in evidence, and |
| 11 | you signed both of those right? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 13 | JUDGE STRICKLER: And the date on both |
| 14 | of them is July 31st, 2013. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Right. |
| 16 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Did you in fact sign |
| 17 | those on July 31st, 2013 or 2014? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Oh. Oh, yes. Should |
| 19 | have been '14, right, because this is for 2013 |
| 20 | fees. |
| 21 | JUDGE STRICKLER: I'm sorry. Are you |
| 22 | able to answer my question? I'm trying to find |

| 1 | out whether you understand that you signed these |
|----|---|
| 2 | in July of 2013 or July of 2014. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I think it should have |
| 4 | been '14. |
| 5 | JUDGE STRICKLER: So when you say it |
| 6 | should have been, it's your recollection then |
| 7 | that you did in fact sign them in 2014 |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Exactly. |
| 9 | JUDGE STRICKLER: and 2013 was just |
| 10 | an erroneous marking? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes. |
| 12 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. |
| 13 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 14 | Q Now, within MPAA Exhibit 307, which |
| 15 | includes the termination notice that IPG received |
| 16 | from Feed the Children, was well, first of |
| 17 | all, I heard your counsel say that Feed the |
| 18 | Children is not claimed in this proceeding. Is |
| 19 | that an accurate statement? |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think |
| 21 | it's vague as to "proceeding." Could we have a |
| 22 | little definition as to "proceeding?" And |
| | |

| 1 | there's a reason why it's unclear. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. MacLEAN: I was just quoting Mr. |
| 3 | Boydston, so I was |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: Well, this proceeding |
| 5 | I took you to mean the present proceeding, the |
| 6 | one in which we are holding hearings. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Right. Exactly. |
| 8 | MR. MacLEAN: And I did, too. |
| 9 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 10 | Q So is that correct, Ms. Vernon? |
| 11 | A Pardon me? Say again? |
| 12 | Q Is it correct that Feed the Children |
| 13 | is not claimed in this proceeding that we're |
| 14 | holding today? |
| 15 | A Well, it I thought it was claimed, |
| 16 | but it I thought it was claimed for just |
| 17 | particular years. |
| 18 | Q And are the years at issue in this |
| 19 | proceeding claimed on behalf of Feed the |
| 20 | Children? |
| 21 | A I'm not sure. Tell you the truth, |
| 22 | I've gotten so many of them so mixed up because |

| 1 | there are so many of them that I'm losing track. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q In fact, IPG |
| 3 | A Because I could tell you let me |
| 4 | just explain. You know, with regard to Feed the |
| 5 | Children, I used to deal with them, you know, and |
| 6 | then they had this whole shake-up of people. And |
| 7 | to tell you the truth, I really don't know that |
| 8 | much about them because Raul and Brian have been |
| 9 | dealing with with them exclusively, you know, |
| 10 | for the longest time. Certainly this summer. |
| 11 | Since they came here and testified before the |
| 12 | Judges. |
| 13 | Q In fact |
| 14 | A I really haven't had that much contact |
| 15 | with them. |
| 16 | Q Feed the Children is claimed by IPG |
| 17 | in this proceeding here today. |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, |
| 19 | argumentative. She said she does not know. |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 21 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 22 | Q Have you ever filed a claim on behalf |
| | |

| ., at .ieve E IWV |
|-------------------------|
| ieve |
| E IWV |
| |
| |
| |
| ract |
| |
| tten |
| |
| |
| did |
| ıldn't |
| es, we |
| |
| with |
| m on |
| |
| not |
| .ed? |
| hat. |
| |
| |

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm confused. I don't think he said ever. I think he first said since you filed. So I'm confused.

JUDGE BARRETT: Well, I think he did.

Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Well, there's -- there's always been written correspondence with IWV. Ι mean, so I -- I quess I'm not understanding the question, you know, because -- because clearly, you know, it had -- you know, there had been filings in the past and everything. make every filing for IWV, you know? Oshita had made them. Lisa Deloss had made them in the past, you know? So, you know, there was, you know, consistency, you know, that it had been filed year after year after year after year. You know, there had been contact and correspondence between them. You know, so there was a history, you know? And I said, you know, with -- with her yes, but we didn't have -- you know, when we came to the 2000, 2003 proceedings, we didn't have the contract, you know, and Maureen didn't either.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

| 1 | So but she validated, yes, you know, made the |
|----|---|
| 2 | filings. |
| 3 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 4 | Q Did you file a claim on behalf of IWV |
| 5 | Media at a time when you didn't have a written |
| 6 | contract with IWV Media in your files? |
| 7 | A Yes, we've said that consistently. |
| 8 | That's why a lot of the |
| 9 | Q Have you filed |
| 10 | A claims got dismissed last time. |
| 11 | Q Have you ever filed a claim on behalf |
| 12 | of a claimant whose representation agreement with |
| 13 | IPG has expired? |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll |
| 15 | object. I think it calls for a legal conclusion, |
| 16 | because even I had to think about what it meant |
| 17 | to expire. Objection. Calls for a legal |
| 18 | conclusion. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained, but she may |
| 20 | answer. We won't take her testimony as that of a |
| 21 | legal expert. |
| 22 | So if you can answer the question, you |
| | |

may answer the question. 1 THE WITNESS: Well, I quess I don't 2 3 really know because I've got a question, too, as I'm not exactly what you mean by -- by "expired." 4 Because all of the contracts are -- they're not 5 all exactly the same. Some have -- you know, 6 some have term limits that are, you know, like 7 just for a year. Or, you know, some have, you 8 9 know, language in there that -- you know, they're 10 just for three years or some are just, you know, perpetual. 11 12 BY MR. MacLEAN: 13 All right. Q 14 And --Α Ms. Vernon, I'll clarify. Have you 15 16 ever filed a claim on behalf of a claimant after 17 the term of years that's set forth in the representation agreement? 18 19 Well, I have not knowingly done that, you know, unless they had -- had given me, you 20 know, permission or, you know, that indicated 21

that, yes, they want to keep on going.

22

So --

| 1 | Q I mean, you said you're very careful |
|----|--|
| 2 | not to do it, right? |
| 3 | A I try to be. |
| 4 | Q But has it ever happened that you've |
| 5 | filed a contract after filed a claim after the |
| 6 | term of years set forth in the contract is over? |
| 7 | A I don't know. |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 9 | He asked her before. She said not knowingly. I |
| 10 | think it's been asked and answered. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 12 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 13 | Q Did you file a claim on behalf of Bob |
| 14 | Ross, Inc. after the term of years set forth in |
| 15 | the representation agreements had expired? |
| 16 | A It's hard for me to recall exactly |
| 17 | what the situation is, but as I recall, we had |
| 18 | been filing for him for quite a number of years. |
| 19 | And then at some point he had said and we had |
| 20 | been paying him and accounting to him for a |
| 21 | number of years. And then at some point he had |
| 22 | said, oh, well, I had terminated so many years |

ago. And all of a sudden he just revealed that 1 at some point. And I don't -- I don't know where 2 it came from, but like I said, he didn't have a 3 problem with it when we were paying him and 4 accounting to him for -- for all of those years. 5 But I can't remember all the -- all of the 6 7 details or the circumstances to it. So --8 0 But ---- like I said, so, you know, we -- we 9 Α 10 had been making claims for him, but, you know, if he had, you know, terminated, we had no idea of 11 his termination. You know, we wouldn't have been 12 13 making claim for him if we, you know, realized he had terminated any time soon before 14 15 that. 16 So does this fall under the category of your answer not knowingly? 17 18 Α Well, I would say so, yes. 19 If you could take a look at SDC 0 Exhibit 602, which is in the SDC exhibit binder. 20 21 22 Α I'm sorry. Could you say that number

| 1 | again? |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Q Six-zero-two. |
| 3 . | A Okay. I'm there. |
| 4 | Q And you'll see that this is a |
| 5 | declaration of Walter Kowalski. And it has |
| 6 | attachments to it. And if you'll turn to |
| 7 | Attachment F of that declaration. |
| 8 | A Okay. |
| 9 | Q This is an email from you to Mr. |
| 10 | Kowalski, is that right? |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q Did you write this email? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q And you sent it to Mr. Kowalski? |
| 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | Q On March 12th, 2013? |
| 17 | 'A That's, yes, what the email says. |
| 18 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I move |
| 19 | admission of Attachment F to SDC Exhibit 602. |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object on |
| 21 | the grounds of relevance. There's no evidence |
| 22 | that any claim is being made in this proceeding |

| 1 | at this time on behalf of this entity, Bob Ross, |
|----|--|
| 2 | Inc. The only thing that's ever even been made |
| 3 | by IPG on behalf of that entity has been in the |
| 4 | public broadcasting category, not this one. So |
| 5 | this is it was just irrelevant. |
| 6 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, this is |
| 7 | impeachment evidence. She said she did not |
| 8 | knowingly file a claim on behalf of a claimant |
| 9 | after learning of the expiration of a contract, |
| 10 | and I intend to show that that's not a truthful |
| 11 | statement. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Are you asking that |
| 13 | the exhibit be admitted or only the attachment? |
| 14 | MR. MacLEAN: At this time, Your |
| 15 | Honor, I am only asking for Attachment F to SDC |
| 16 | 602. |
| L7 | JUDGE BARRETT: Any objection? Mr. |
| 18 | Olaniran, any |
| L9 | MR. OLANIRAN: No objection, Your |
| 20 | Honor. |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: All right. At this |
| 22 | point we will admit Attachment F. And the clerk |

| 1 | will make a note of that. |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 3 | document was received into evidence as Attachment |
| 4 | F to SDC Exhibit No. 602.) |
| 5 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 6 | Q Ms. Vernon, in Attachment F you are |
| 7 | responding to Bob Ross, Inc.'s contention that |
| 8 | IPG's representation agreements with Bob Ross, |
| 9 | Inc. had expired, is that right? |
| 10 | A I'm sorry. I was I was trying to |
| 11 | read it. |
| 12 | Q In Attachment F you are responding to |
| 13 | Bob Ross, Inc.'s contention that its |
| 14 | representation agreements with IPG had expired, |
| 15 | is that right? |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q And in fact IPG has no record of any |
| 18 | signed continuation of the representation |
| 19 | agreements with Bob Ross, Inc., is that right? |
| 20 | A Yes, that's correct. |
| 21 | Q You wrote this email in March of 2013, |
| 22 | is that right? |

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q So by March of 2013 you were aware |
| 3 | that you were outside the term, that IPG was |
| 4 | outside the term of its representation agreements |
| 5 | with Bob Ross, Inc., is that right? |
| 6 | A Yes, I believe so. |
| 7 | Q There was never any continuation of |
| 8 | those agreements signed, is that right? |
| 9 | A I believe so. |
| 10 | Q And if you take a look at MPAA Exhibit |
| 11 | 356, this is IPG's joint claim for cable |
| 12 | retransmission of royalty fees for the year 2013, |
| 13 | is that right? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q Which you testified you signed and |
| 16 | filed in July of 2014, correct? |
| 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q Which is after March of 2014, right? |
| 19 | A Right. |
| 20 | Q And if you take a look at line 23 of |
| 21 | Exhibit A to MPAA Exhibit 356, you'll see IPG |
| 22 | made a claim for Bob Ross, Inc., is that right? |

Α Yes. 1 2 After you knew that Bob Ross, Inc.'s representation agreements with IPG had expired, 3 is that right? 4 Well, I'd have to go back and look at 5 the -- the situation, but, yes, I -- I mean, I 6 7 don't know what years we made claim for. I mean, it -- because it show it on this. You know, it's 8 just for during that period, but, you know, we --9 10 we -- we could have been making claim for, you 11 know, 1999, you know? So --1.2 Well, in MPAA Exhibit 356 IPG is 13 making claim for 2013, correct? Oh, that's right. You know, I was 14 15 looking at something else. Yes. 16 And if you take a look at MPAA Exhibit 17 357, which is IPG's joint claim for satellite retransmission royalty fees in 2013, if you again 18 19 look at line 23 of Exhibit A to this exhibit, 20 you'll see that IPG made claim for Bob Ross, Inc. 21 for 2013 satellite retransmission royalty fees, 22 correct?

| 1 | A Correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, at this time |
| 3 | I would like to attempt to lay a foundation of |
| 4 | relevance for MPAA Exhibit 358. |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: Go right ahead. |
| 6 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 7 | Q You still have MPAA Exhibit 358 in |
| 8 | front of you, Ms. Vernon? |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q Now, I asked you before if this email |
| 11 | was sent to Jean McBride at Paramount Pictures. |
| 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | Q And it was, correct? |
| 14 | A That's what the email says, yes. |
| 15 | Q The first line of this email says, "As |
| 16 | the designated representative of your company we |
| 17 | are contacting you to update you on the status of |
| 18 | proceedings before the U.S. Copyright Office for |
| 19 | the distribution of 2000 to 2003 cable |
| 20 | retransmission royalties," correct? |
| 21 | A Correct. |
| 22 | Q Is IPG the designated representative |

| 1 | of Paramount Pictures? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A It doesn't sound familiar to me, so I |
| 3 | don't think so, but |
| 4 | Q So Paramount Pictures |
| 5 | A like I said, it's things get |
| 6 | sent out in error sometimes. It's not unusual. |
| 7 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I move the |
| 8 | admission of MPAA Exhibit 358. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, objection |
| 10 | on the same grounds as before. |
| 11 | MR. MacLEAN: Should I make a proffer |
| 12 | as to relevance? |
| 13 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, if you would, |
| 14 | please. |
| 15 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, the |
| 16 | relevance of this is IPG has its list of |
| 17 | claimants, its list of contact information, its |
| 18 | listed email addresses. It's the same list year |
| 19 | after year after year. They don't update it. |
| 20 | They don't strike claimants whose contracts |
| 21 | expired. They don't strike claimants who have |
| 22 | terminated them. They just keep on filing the |

claims year after year after year until they are challenged on them, and sometimes even continue filing them after that. Their email --

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is testimony. He's saying things that aren't in evidence.

MR. MacLEAN: This --

JUDGE BARRETT: That's right.

This piece of evidence MR. MacLEAN: shows, MPAA 358 shows that IPG is sending out its emails to its alleged claimants, including people -- no connection whatsoever with IPG and then getting correspondence back showing that the correspondence that IPG sends out and receives back from these claimants means nothing. It does not imply in the least that there is a contract principle agency relationship between IPG and the claimants, the alleged claimants that sending these emails to that rebutts Ms. Vernon's testimony. It also goes directly to the points that both SDC and MPAA are making relating to IPG's -- the sufficiency of the evidence of IPG's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the claimants it's 1 authority to represent 2 claiming. Your Honor, I don't MR. BOYDSTON: 3 think that answers the objection, the original 4 objection, but moreover Mr. MacLean's statements 5 6 are full of facts not in evidence. Paramount, this Jean McBride from Paramount is a successor 7 in interest to a number of different entities 8 9 including those which were IPG claimants. So him 10 saying there's no connection, there's no evidence in support of that. And if we want to get into a 11 full evidentiary hearing on that, it can be 12 13 established that, yes, there is. So back to the original objection, the 14 original objection I think still stands and I 15 made in response to Mr. Olaniran's attempt to 16 I renew that objection now. 17 admit it. Your Honor, if IPG has 18 MR. MacLEAN: 19 an explanation for this, they can make it, but it is relevant. It goes to show the points that I'm 20 making here. 21 JUDGE BARRETT: I need to confer with 22

my colleagues. 1 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 2 went off the record at 11:27 a.m. and resumed at 3 11:30 a.m.) 4 JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. 5 now admitted for Exhibit 358 is 6 7 impeachment only subject purposes to cross-examination or rehabilitation of the 8 9 witness, as would be the case in any proceeding. 10 (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as MPAA 358 11 Exhibit No. 358.) 12 13 JUDGE BARRETT: We want the record to be clear that Mr. MacLean's proffer regarding 14 IPG's business practices is not part of this 15 document, and this document does not establish 16 17 that proffer. It's simply offered for impeachment based upon the first line of 18 19 exhibit. Now to follow up, Ms. Vernon, I think 20 this has been asked and I'm not sure that any of 21

the Judges are clear on the answer, so let me

just ask straightforwardly when IPG receives a notice of termination of relationship with a client with whom IPG has had an ongoing relationship, what is the business process at IPG with regard to your internal database, your accounting database, your email LISTSERV? Across the board what is the IPG business practice when you receive a notice of termination?

THE WITNESS: I've got to say we don't have anything set in stone, you know, and that may just be poor organizational problems, but, too, because it just depends on who -- on the -- on the client and when the termination is, you know? If -- if the -- I mean, because if -- if the termination is, okay, we're terminating now, but due to the contract and the terms that means that we still are in the term to where we have to file the claim for that year then even though they have terminated. So we wouldn't file for them the next year, we would still want to keep them, you know, on our list so they would receive all of the information that all of our -- all of

1.1

the other clients got. So --1 JUDGE FEDER: Who makes that call as 2 to when you stop filing on behalf of a particular 3 client? 4 That would normally be 5 THE WITNESS: And sometimes would discuss it with Brian 6 because the first thing we would do is -- is look 7 at the -- the individual contract to see what the 8 terms are for each individual client. 9 So Raul perhaps 10 JUDGE FEDER: consultation with counsel makes a determination. 11 What process do you have internally for ensuring 12 that that determination, whatever it is, 13 14 carried out? In other words, that in year one termination. make 1.5 receive You you а determination internally that you still need to 16 17 file for that year. Whether or not that's correctly termination. I'm not expressing an 18 opinion. 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. the not for JUDGE FEDER: But 21 22 following year. How do you ensure that

following year you do not file for that claimant? 1 Tell the truth, I -- I THE WITNESS: 2 can't tell you one specific thing. You know, it 3 would be notes or, you know, things that we would 4 put up, you know, as notes to ourselves and our 5 basically that 6 emails would qo into that 7 particular claimant's file, know, you of everything with them. I can't tell you one 8 particular thing. There -- there is not one 9 particular set of this, this, this and this. 10 11 JUDGE FEDER: When you prepare the exhibit sheet that is attached to your claims, do 12 13 you review all of the files of all of the 14 whatever, 100 or 200 -- I quess we're looking at about a little over 200 claimant's. 15 Do you review all of those files before preparing that 16 17 attachment and putting it on that claim form? Should, but, you know, 18 THE WITNESS: 19 I don't. And I'm not sure how detailed Raul goes into -- into it as well. 20 21 JUDGE FEDER: Who prepares that 22 attachment?

| 7 | THE WITNESS: Raul does, yes. But |
|----|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | it's one, you know, that I see all the time |
| 3 | because it's, you know, the list of people I |
| 4 | start calling. So I'm familiar with them. |
| 5 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 6 | Q Just to make sure the record is clear, |
| 7 | when you say "Raul," you're referring to Raul |
| 8 | Galaz? |
| 9 | A Oh, I'm sorry. Raul Galaz, yes. |
| 10 | Q And similarly when you say "Brian," |
| 11 | you're referring to |
| 12 | A Brian Boydston. |
| 13 | Q IPG counsel Brian Boydston? |
| 14 | A Correct. |
| 15 | Q Okay. |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q Before we leave MPAA Exhibit 358, I |
| 18 | need to ask this: I heard your counsel say that |
| 19 | Paramount Pictures is the successor in interest |
| 20 | to authorize claimants of IPG. Do you have any |
| 21 | knowledge of that? |
| 22 | A No, that that may be the case. I |

| 1 | but I'm not familiar with it. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Have you ever filed with the Copyright |
| 3 | Royalty Board a notice that Paramount Pictures is |
| 4 | the successor in interest to any IPG claimant? |
| 5 | A I don't recall if I if I have. |
| 6 | Q Are you aware of the Copyright Royalty |
| 7 | Board rule that requires claimants in the event |
| 8 | of a legal name and/or address change to notify |
| 9 | the Copyright Royalty Board of the change? |
| 10 | A No, I am not. |
| 11 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I would ask |
| 12 | that the Board take judicial notice of 37 CFR |
| 13 | Section 360.3(c), this Board's rule, "In the |
| 14 | event that the legal name and/or address of the |
| 15 | copyright owner entitled to royalties or the |
| 16 | person or entity filing the claim changes after |
| 17 | the filing of the claim, the Copyright Royalty |
| 18 | Board shall be notified of the change." |
| 19 | MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. |
| 20 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean, we can |
| 22 | take official notice, but and think you for |

| 1 | the promotion, but we can't take judicial notice. |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Laughter) |
| 3 | MR. MacLEAN: I understand, Your |
| 4 | Honor. In that case I ask that you take official |
| 5 | notice. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Will do. Or maybe |
| 7 | it's not a promotion. I'm not sure. |
| 8 | (Laughter) |
| 9 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 10 | Q Ms. Vernon, in addition to being the |
| 11 | 99 percent owner of IPG, you are also Raul Galaz' |
| 12 | sister, is that right? |
| 13 | A That's correct. |
| 14 | MR. MacLEAN: No further questions. |
| 15 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 16 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 17 | Q Sorry. I forgot my glasses, but I |
| 18 | think I can ask the first question. |
| 19 | Ms. Vernon, you were asked about the |
| 20 | veracity of IPG's agreements and your knowledge |
| 21 | actually strike that. You were asked about |
| 22 | whether or not emails ever went out to non-IPG |

| 1 | claimants, correct? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Correct. |
| 3 | Q When IPG sends out an email to its |
| 4 | claimants is it intended only to send them to its |
| 5 | claimants? |
| 6 | A That's the intention. |
| 7 | Q Does IPG ever intentionally send |
| 8 | emails to non-claimants? |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q And what circumstances does IPG |
| 11 | intentionally send an email to a non-claimant? |
| 12 | A Intentionally send one to a |
| 13 | non-claimant? |
| 14 | Q Right. My initial question was does |
| 15 | IPG ever intentionally send an email to a |
| 16 | non-claimant? |
| 17 | A Not intentionally. |
| 18 | Q Thank you. Now with regard to Bob |
| 19 | Ross, Inc., I believe that you said that you |
| 20 | recalled that IPG had made claims on behalf of |
| 21 | Bob Ross, Inc. for a number of years. And I |
| 22 | think you said had paid money to Bob Ross, Inc. |

| 1 | pursuant thereto. Is that true? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Yes. |
| 3 | Q And that until 2013 Bob Ross, Inc. |
| 4 | never raised any issue about the legitimacy of |
| 5 | IPG's conduct in that regard. Is that the case? |
| 6 | MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Leading. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: I'll re-ask the |
| 8 | question. |
| 9 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 10 | Q Prior to 2013 were you aware of Bob |
| 11 | Ross at any time objecting to IPG collecting |
| 12 | money and passing it on to Bob Ross? |
| 13 | A No. |
| 14 | Q Now you saw the attachment to the |
| 15 | notice about termination which was a piece of |
| 16 | correspondence from Bob Ross, Inc. Actually it |
| 17 | was IPG back to Bob Ross, Inc., I think, |
| 18 | discussing Bob Ross, Inc.'s whatever it was. |
| 19 | Bob Ross, Inc. saying you shouldn't represent us |
| 20 | anymore. |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me. Let's be |
| 22 | precise. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. |
|----------------------|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: It's SDC Exhibit 602, |
| 3 | Attachment F. |
| 4 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 5 | Your Honor, I'd like to mark what would be next |
| 6 | in line for IPG, which is 127. I'll pass them |
| 7 | out here. |
| 8 | JUDGE BARRETT: Actually 126 is next. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, you're right. I |
| 10 | have 126 I'm anticipating, but that would make |
| 11 | this 126. You're right. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. |
| 13 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| | |
| 14 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 126 for |
| 14 15 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 126 for identification.) |
| | |
| 15 | identification.) |
| 15 16 | identification.) BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 15 16 17 | identification.) BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q First of all, this is a letter from my |
| 15 16 17 18 | <pre>identification.) BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q First of all, this is a letter from my office dated July 10th, 2014. Is that what you</pre> |
| 15 16 17 18 | <pre>identification.) BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q First of all, this is a letter from my office dated July 10th, 2014. Is that what you see there?</pre> |

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q Do you recall seeing this letter? |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Who is the addressee? |
| 4 | MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry. The |
| 5 | addressee is Mary Rasenberger, Esquire of Cowan, |
| 6 | DeBaets, Abrahams & Sheppard in New York City, a |
| 7 | law firm. |
| 8 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. |
| 9 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 10 | Q Actually before you answer that, let |
| 11 | me ask you to just take a minute to read the |
| 12 | letter first. |
| 13 | A Okay. I've read it again. |
| 14 | Q Before I ask you about the letter, do |
| 15 | you recall the circumstances the letter |
| 16 | discusses? |
| 17 | A Yes, it's as we were discussing |
| 18 | earlier the Feed the Children email termination |
| 19 | that they sent. |
| 20 | Q And do you recall seeing this letter |
| 21 | when you got a copy of it? |
| 22 | A Yes. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: And, Your Honor, I'd |
|----|--|
| 2 | like to move that Exhibit 126 be admitted. |
| 3 | MR. OLANIRAN: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 4 | This letter is dated July 10th, 2014. They had |
| 5 | plenty of opportunity to produce it in discovery |
| 6 | and they never did. |
| 7 | MR. MacLEAN: It's the first time I've |
| 8 | seen this letter, Your Honor. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this letter |
| 10 | is not responsive to any discovery. Moreover, |
| 11 | this is being brought in response to impeachment |
| 12 | evidence that was just brought up now and just |
| 13 | delivered to and just made part of their |
| 14 | impeachment attempt. It's merely an attempt to |
| 15 | rehabilitate and/or answer impeachment. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is |
| 17 | overruled. |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. So the |
| 20 | record is clear, 126 is admitted. |
| 21 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 22 | document was received into evidence as IPG |

| 1 | Exhibit No. 126.) |
|----|---|
| 2 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 3 | Q IPG filed 2013 claims in July of 2014, |
| 4 | correct? |
| 5 | A Correct. |
| 6 | Q And I know the date that is on it; we |
| 7 | just looked at that exhibit a minute ago, it was |
| 8 | misdated 2013, but that was in July of 2014, |
| 9 | correct? |
| 10 | A That's correct. |
| 11 | Q And are you aware as to whether or not |
| 12 | counsel for anyone else for Feed the Children had |
| 13 | responded to this letter at the time that IPG |
| 14 | filed these July claims? |
| 15 | A I don't believe so. Yes |
| 16 | Q Thank you. |
| 17 | A Yes, after we sent this letter, then |
| 18 | I don't it yes. No. |
| 19 | Q You were asked about the processes |
| 20 | that IPG takes once termination happens, and |
| 21 | Judge Feder asked you specifically once a |
| 22 | determination has been made as to the term |

| 1 | internally by IPG, what does IPG do? |
|----|---|
| 2 | With regard to IPG's claimants |
| 3 | which I think are in excess of 200, is that |
| 4 | correct? |
| 5 | A That's correct. |
| 6 | Q Out of that number of claimants are |
| 7 | terminations common or are they not common? |
| 8 | A Not common. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 10 | I have nothing further. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Vernon, I have |
| 12 | some questions for you. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 14 | . JUDGE BARRETT: Are you right- handed |
| 15 | or left-handed? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: I'm right handed. |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And is Mr. |
| 18 | Galaz right-handed or left-handed? |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: He's right-handed. |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Do you have a |
| 21 | rubber stamp with your signature on it that you |
| 22 | use for massive communication? |

| 1 | THE WITNESS: No, I don't. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up |
| 3 | questions on the basis of those questions? |
| 4 | (No audible response) |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask |
| 6 | again another question with regard to Exhibits |
| 7 | 356 and 357. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims |
| 10 | for cable and satellite |
| 11 | retransmission |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Right. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for |
| 14 | 2013. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Right. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: Do you have a specific |
| 17 | recollection of having signed those forms? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, I do. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes, because I sign them |
| 21 | every year. |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: Do you recall whether |
| | 1 |

| 1 | you filled in the date or whether it was already |
|----|--|
| 2 | there when you signed it? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: That I can't remember. |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. All right. |
| 5 | Thank you. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: Any additional |
| 8 | questions? |
| 9 | MR. OLANIRAN: No further questions |
| 10 | FOR US, Your Honor. |
| 11 | MR. MacLEAN: I do have a single |
| 12 | question based on yours, Your Honor. |
| 13 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 14 | BY MR. MacLEAN: |
| 15 | Q To your knowledge does Mr. Galaz have |
| 16 | a rubber stamp with your signature on it? |
| 17 | A Not to my knowledge. |
| 18 | MR. MacLEAN: No further questions. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston? |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: No, nothing further. |
| 21 | IPG rests its defense of the rebuttal by MPAA. |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Simultaneous speaking.) |
| 3 | MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of |
| 4 | the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if |
| 5 | you'd like to start, we can do so. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've |
| 7 | completed your cross of IPG with regard to its |
| 8 | rebuttal of the MPAA claims? |
| 9 | MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. |
| 11 | MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think |
| 12 | will probably come back up and I'd have to do |
| 13 | additional cross. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Understood. |
| 15 | Mr. Boydston, you may call your first |
| 16 | witness with regard to IPG's challenges to MPAA |
| 17 | claims. |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: And may Ms. Vernon |
| 19 | stand down? |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: She may. You can just |
| 21 | leave all of this. |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Just this? Okay. |

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 1 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, IPG calls 2 Raul Galaz. 3 JUDGE BARRETT: You remain under oath. 4 Thank you, Mr. Galaz. You may be seated. 5 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, we have our 6 7 witnesses planning on this afternoon, however, I beginning to get the sense we might not get there 8 and I'm wondering if I could get a time estimate 9 10 from Mr. Boydston and Mr. Olaniran so that I can make a determination as to whether to tell them 11 they can hold off until tomorrow. 12 13 JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, I'm sorry. perhaps misunderstood your representations at the 14 15 commencement of the hearing that all parties have agreed that your witnesses would 16 17 Wednesday afternoon. So, okay. 18 MR. MacLEAN: Let me just clear up any confusion about that. We did have 19 20 two witnesses that were both going to be very, very short that were going to come 21 at

conclusion of lunch today. And that's what I

told you at the beginning. 1 Since that time Mr. Boydston 2 stipulate 3 graciously agreed to the authenticity of the two exhibits that we were 4 going to put on through those two witnesses. 5 6 Therefore, they will not be here. However, we 7 have other witnesses whom we intend to present that I've told to come this afternoon, but I'm 8 sure would appreciate being told to come tomorrow 9 10 if I can safely do that. And so I'm asking if we 11 can get a time estimate sufficient that I can rely upon to tell the witnesses to wait until 12 13 tomorrow. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you for clearing 14 15 that up. 16 Mr. Boydston, what is your anticipated 17 direct examination time for this portion of your case for Mr. Galaz? 18 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just give me two seconds. I'm in the midst of reorganizing 20 21 for the next phase here, but --(Off mic comment.) 22

JUDGE BARRETT: You may have all the 1 time you need, Mr. Boydston. 2 MR. BOYDSTON: I appreciate that, Your 3 I think that we probably have an hour or 4 so of direct cross-examination of Mr. Galaz, 5 depending upon the intensity of objections. 6 7 I think an hour, even with the usual roar of 8 objections, is probably pretty close. JUDGE BARRETT: And Mr. Olaniran, do 9 10 you have an estimate of the cross-examination of Mr. Galaz in this phase of the proceeding? 11 MR. OLANIRAN: I had estimated about 12 13 an hour at the beginning when we were planning 14 this, and I'm sure Your Honor understood that I'm not sure that these things go according to plan. 15 Actually, I had every intention of asking for 16 17 some extra time, given the somewhat lengthy, unusually lengthy cross-examination that I had 18 19 with Mr. Galaz yesterday. So I'll try for an 20 I'm hoping that we can stick to hour or 21 so. 22 JUDGE BARRETT: So given that the

| 1 | afternoon session is two hours and 15 minutes, |
|----|--|
| 2 | Mr. MacLean, I think you're safe in letting your |
| 3 | witnesses know they should come to nine o'clock |
| 4 | tomorrow, and if we have to squander 15 minutes |
| 5 | of hearing time this afternoon, we'll do so. |
| 6 | MR. MACLEAN: I appreciate that, Your |
| 7 | Honor. |
| 8 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston? |
| 9 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 10 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 11 | Q Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, |
| 12 | have you reviewed the electronic files produced |
| 13 | by the MPA in this matter? |
| 14 | A Yes, I have. |
| 15 | Q And let me ask you to take a look at |
| 16 | what's been marked as IPG Exhibit 1, or excuse |
| 17 | me, 12. Tell me if you recognize that document? |
| 18 | A Yes, I do. |
| 19 | Q And is that a document you created? |
| 20 | A Yes, it is. |
| 21 | Q And what does it purport to represent? |
| 22 | A It purports to represent a list of |

MPAA claim copyright owners, for which we have 1 received no substantiation of representation 2 they 3 vears, because one or more underlying -- purported to be an underlying 4 copyright owner represented by an agent, and 5 also to clarify, it was derived from the Excel 6 7 spreadsheet that was provided by the MPAA pursuant to the Judge's order of July 30th, which 8 9 required the MPAA to produce a document that 10 couples a particular program claim with particular claimant. 11 12 these circumstances, the Excel 13 spreadsheet that we received would indicate 14 whether or not the particular claimant 15 project for the program was represented by an 16 agent or not. 17 So let's look at the first name in Number one is the designation. 18 this. It says 19 "First Miracle Productions, Inc.," and then in 20 parentheses "Compact." 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Before we get into the

contents, are you going to offer this one too?

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yeah, I would think |
|----|--|
| 2 | excuse me. Yes, Your Honor I am. I just thought |
| 3 | I'd probably need a little more examination |
| 4 | first, and I'll make the offer right now. We'd |
| 5 | like to move this into evidence. |
| 6 | MS. PLOVNICK: And for the record, I |
| 7 | think this is what he was going to testify to. |
| 8 | But this is something that I think he created |
| 9 | derived from a record that MPAA produced. So |
| 10 | this specific document was not produced by MPAA, |
| 11 | but was created by Mr. Galaz. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: I think the testimony |
| 13 | identified it as that. |
| 14 | MS. PLOVNICK: As long as that is |
| 15 | clear, we have no objection. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Mr. |
| 17 | MacLean? |
| 18 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: 112 is admitted. |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, 12 is |
| 22 | admitted. |

the above-referred to 1 (Whereupon, received into evidence IPG document was 2 Exhibit No. 12.) 3 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 4 So Mr. Galaz, looking at the first 5 item there, it says -- when I said -- oh no. 6 7 beg your pardon. I've got a bad pen. It was "First Miracle Productions," and then Compact in 8 9 parenthetical. Given the explanation you just 10 gave us, please explain first Miracle, where you came up with the title or the entity First 11 12 Miracle Productions, Inc.? Well, as I indicated, there was 13 Α Excel spreadsheet that was produced by the Motion 14 Picture Association of America, pursuant to the 15 16 Judge's July 30th order. That spreadsheet 17 indicated which program claims were being made for particular -- tied to particular copyright 18 19 owners. Within that spreadsheet, it indicated 20 whether or not -- it actually did it differently, 21

depending upon the year. In some cases, it would

have an additional column to indicate if the 1 claim was being made vis-a-vis an agent. 2 In other instances, such as -- and you 3 see the example here, First Miracle Productions, 4 it would indicate in the same column, next to the 5 purported copyright owner, who the agent was. 6 7 So for instance, in First Miracle Productions here, it would indicate that we have 8 a circumstance in which First Miracle Productions 9 10 was being claimed on behalf of the MPAA vis-a-vis 11 the MPAA's agreement with Compact Collections, not vis-a-vis any agreement between the MPAA and 12 13 First Miracle Productions for one or more years. And is it your understanding that the 14 MPAA does have an agreement with Compact for --15 16 I'm not sure if they have it for all 17 years, but yes, I know that they have it, agreement with Compact. I mean I don't know 18 whether or not made claim in each of those years. 19 20 I presume that they did, I'm not certain. know that in any event, the MPAA does have an 21 agreement with Compact. 22

| 7 | Q And based upon the database that you |
|----|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | referred to that the MPAA provided, that database |
| 3 | allowed you to connect Compact with First Miracle |
| 4 | Productions; correct? |
| 5 | A That is correct. |
| 6 | Q And that you were also able to |
| 7 | determine that First Miracle Productions is the |
| 8 | actual owner of a copyright of copyright |
| 9 | rights, if you will? |
| 10 | A To programs that are being claimed by |
| 11 | the MPAA in these proceedings, that's correct. |
| 12 | Q So it would appear is it your |
| 13 | understanding that Compact doesn't own the rights |
| 14 | to First Miracle Productions; rather, Compact is |
| 15 | an agent of First Miracle Productions, and it's |
| 16 | First Miracle Productions that owns the rights? |
| 17 | A To the programs that are associated |
| 18 | with the claims being made by the Motion Picture |
| 19 | Association of America. |
| 20 | Q Okay. Now you said that this was a |
| 21 | list of the claims, excuse me this is a list |
| 22 | of MPAA-represented claimants making program |

claims in this proceeding, for which there is no contract directly with the owner of the copyright, in this case First Miracle Productions, Inc.?

A Correct, and there's one thing I need to clarify about the list, because it was very confusing. When we received the Excel spreadsheet, it was very simple to dilute it down just to determine a number of you might say unique names that were being presented, and I think when I did that, it came out to somewhere around 1,500 or something.

But when you went through it, you would see that names would come up differently, and I'll use Compact as an example. I think it said "Compact Collections, Limited," "Compact Collections Limited," "Compact Collections Ltd.," "Compact Collections Ltd.," with nothing else.

Each of those in those for instances would come out as a different entity. So when you actually took the 1,500 unique copyright owners that were identified and distilled it down

for that, it came up with a list of I think of 1 I think I identify it in our 2 600, roughly 650. moving papers, roughly 650 unique copyright 3 claimants on whose behalf there were program 4 claims being made by the MPAA. 5 This is just a subset of that, of 6 those 650, the number that are being claimed 7 vis-a-vis agents. So it's a distinction between 8 9 the 582 and the 650, that that number that 10 identifies parties that are not being claimed through agents in any year. 11 These are the underlying copyright owners who are being claimed 12 13 by agents for one or more years. 14 JUDGE STRICKLER: If I might interrupt 15 for just a minute. May I Judge? 16 JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 17 JUDGE STRICKLER: In the very first P-016, in parentheses you have 18 line of IPG 19 "Parent/Agent." I understand your testimony about agent, what you mean by agent. But what 2.0 21 did you mean by parent? 22 THE WITNESS: That was actually, I

| 1 | think actually taken from the MPAA Excel |
|----|---|
| 2 | spreadsheet. I didn't put that in. So I think |
| 3 | it was I had taken to indicate that that's the |
| 4 | underlying copyright owner. |
| 5 | JUDGE STRICKLER: I don't need you to |
| 6 | speculate. |
| 7 | (Simultaneous speaking.) |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: We think that the |
| 9 | says owner. That's how I took it. |
| 10 | JUDGE STRICKLER: So you just took it |
| 11 | from the Excel spreadsheet. You don't have any |
| 12 | independent knowledge |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: And your question was |
| 15 | addressed to Exhibit 12, is that right? I think |
| 16 | you said 16. |
| 17 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Oh, I'm sorry. I |
| 18 | meant 12. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. |
| 20 | We're going to break at this time. We will |
| 21 | reconvene at 1:00. Mr. MacLean, if your status |
| 22 | conference runs long, just send up smoke signals. |

We'll attend your return. 1 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 3 went off the record at 12:02 p.m. until 1:15 4 5 p.m.) JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. Our 6 Attorney Advisor pointed out to me that I did a 7 cut and paste on the length of time we have on 8 our afternoon session, based on 16 years of 9 experience from the afternoon session, it was two 1.0 hours and 15 minutes, as I pointed out. 1.1. 12 But actually our afternoon session is longer, because we start a half hour earlier and 13 14 go a half hour longer than we used to do back in the day, as they say. So Mr. MacLean, did you 15 call off your witnesses this afternoon? 16 I did Your Honor, and I 17 MR. MACLEAN: should say a large portion of our exhibits are 18 being offered without a sponsoring witness, or if 19 20 there is a sponsoring witness, that sponsoring 21 witness may be me.

do think that we will

| 1 | sufficient business at the beginning of our case, |
|----|---|
| 2 | to at least make use of some of that time. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. I note that |
| 4 | none of you squander time. |
| 5 | MS. PLOVNICK: Also just pursuant to |
| 6 | our agreed order, MPAA has a rebuttal period |
| 7 | after IPG. So that would need to come before SDC |
| 8 | also. So I think we definitely probably |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: I think we'll be okay. |
| 10 | I'm not at all concerned. |
| 11 | MR. BOYDSTON: And Your Honor, after |
| 12 | lunch we discussed we're going to try and finish |
| 13 | up this aspect today hopefully, so we can begin |
| 14 | promptly with the SDC tomorrow, if not today. If |
| 15 | so, Mr. MacLean and I are hopefully we could |
| 16 | finish tomorrow and that was our goal. |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: So I'm not at all |
| 18 | concerned. Of course, it totally disregards |
| 19 | what's happening across the street. |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I understand. |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: So Mr. Boydston, you |
| 22 | may continue. |

| 1 | Whereupon, |
|----|---|
| 2 | RAUL GALAZ . |
| 3 | was recalled as a witness and, after having been |
| 4 | previously duly sworn, was examined and further |
| 5 | testified as follows: |
| 6 | DIRECT EXAMINATION (resumed) |
| 7 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 8 | Q Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, |
| 9 | before we broke for lunch, we had been looking at |
| 10 | Exhibit 12. |
| 11 | It had been admitted, and you were |
| 12 | explaining that you had gone through MPAA |
| 13 | information that was produced, and from that you |
| 14 | came up with approximately 650 different |
| 15 | MPAA-represented claimants who owned programs, or |
| 16 | who owned who owned programs. |
| 17 | Then of those, 582 were in fact |
| 18 | represented by agents who contracted with the |
| 19 | MPAA. Do you recall that? |
| 20 | A That's correct. |
| 21 | Q So the balance of the MPAA-represented |
| 22 | claimants who are not represented by agents, have |

you seen evidence of their ownership of the copyrighted material being claimed?

A The difference between 582 and the larger number, yes I have. Well, I've seen evidence of their ownership. In some circumstances, yes; in some circumstances, no. That brings in circumstances where a party is making claim as an owner, and not necessarily as an agent of an underlying owner.

I have seen evidence, I disagree with some of it, because that brings up circumstances in which just from eyeballing or personal knowledge, I may know that that particular entity is not the owner. But yes, I've seen at least entities purporting to be the owner of programs.

Q Okay. Focusing back on the 582, in which for those 582, just to confirm, there's nothing in the record that you've been provided or seen of those 582, whereby those 582 identify a contractual agreement with an agent, such as Screenwrites or EGEDA, that in turn has an agreement with the MPAA; is that correct?

1.2

A In those circumstances in which they're being represented by an agent, you want me to explain this, then that's correct. I haven't seen the agreement, if there is one between the agent and the underlying copyright owner.

When I say the underlying copyright

owner, the underlying copyright owner as identified by the MPAA. So and I want to be clear that the interpretation of what I prepared and what's number 12, this is for one or more years.

So as an example, I would take the first one. First Miracle Productions Compact. What I can demonstrate is that in one or more years, First Miracle Productions is being claimed vis-a-vis Compact, and dependent upon the agreement between the MPAA and Compact.

That doesn't mean that in some of those years, First Miracle Productions has contracted directly with the MPAA. It's usually not the case, but there are some instances in

which that is the case. 1 Okay. Staying on that same subject --2 And we're not challenging those for Α 3 purposes of this argument. 4 Understood. Well, explain to us under 5 what circumstances IPG is challenging the rights 6 7 of First Miracle Productions, Inc. in these proceedings? 8 It would be those claims that 9 A 10 those particular years, where it's derived vis-a-vis the agreement with Compact. 11 The obvious focus is, you know, untimeliness. 12 13 literally nothing, not one piece correspondence, not an agreement, not anything 14 between Compact and First Miracle Productions. 15 have not an agreement 16 17 correspondence, not one shred of evidence between First Miracle Productions and the MPAA. So 18 19 whatever claims are being attributed by the MPAA to First Miracle Productions, is all based on 20 representations that are being made by Compact, 21

without our ability to scrutinize any actual

| 1 | representation of First Miracle Productions. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q · Now I presume that IPG accepts that |
| 3 | Compact, the agent, has in fact manifested an |
| 4 | intent for the MPAA to represent it and its |
| 5 | signees in these proceedings? |
| 6 | A Correct. |
| 7 | Q But what you're saying is we don't |
| 8 | have that same thing between who? Between who? |
| 9 | A Between the agent, in this instance, |
| 10 | it would be Compact, and the entity that the |
| 11 | MPAA, Compact, everybody acknowledges as the |
| 12 | actual owner of the particular programs that are |
| 13 | being claimed by, in this case, First Miracle |
| 14 | Productions. |
| 15 | Q Now in addition to not having any |
| 16 | evidence that First Miracle Productions has or |
| 17 | excuse me, that Compact represents First Miracle |
| 18 | Productions, is there any evidence that First |
| 19 | Miracle Productions has affirmed ownership of |
| 20 | certain programs to Compact? |
| 21 | A No, none. |
| 22 | Q Is there any evidence that First |

Miracle Productions has any knowledge that it is 1 the MPAA, as opposed to Compact, that's pursuing 2 these claims? 3 Well, you said as opposed to MPAA, 4 Α 5 excuse me, as opposed to Compact. There's literally nothing authored by -- in this instance 6 7 it would be for those particular years, there would be absolutely nothing authored by First 8 Miracle Productions, attesting to either 9 10 contractual relationship with Compact, 77 awareness or contractual relationship with MPAA, identification of 12 any of its programming, 1.3 literally nothing tying to this proceeding. is there any evidence in the 14 0 record that First Miracle Productions, excuse me, 15 strike that. 16 Is there any evidence in the record 17 18 that Compact, in which Compact identifies First 19 Miracle Productions as an entity it represents? 20 MS. PLOVNICK: Ι object to the 21 characterization that there's nothing in the 22 record, because it mischaracterizes MPAA's

production. But I might be a little premature, 1 because the witness hasn't really answered. 2 that's halfway up. 3 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, then you can go. 4 Halfway back down. 5 MS. PLOVNICK: THE WITNESS: In the record? No, 6 7 there's nothing in the record. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 8 And we've been focusing just on First 9 0 10 Miracle Productions as an example. Would your answers to these questions be the same for all 11 the entities in Exhibit 12? 12 13 It would, with the exception of those 14 circumstances for certain years in which certain entities have contracted direct with the MPAA, 15 and there are some. But we haven't challenged 16 These are those where the circumstance 17 those. 18 exists, that we didn't identify particular years, 19 which would identify which claims we're challenging. 20 That was in a separate document that 21 22 the Judges request that we prepare, and we gave I

think about a week ago or something like that. 1 So let me give you an example. If you were two 2 -- because this is going to come up over and over 3 again probably. 4 If you look at the second page, you'll 5 BBC Worldwide Americas. BBC Worldwide 6 7 Americas is -- for certain years has contracted directly with the MPAA. 8 In other years, it's claimed through 9 10 an agent, and that was actually a big issue that came up and will come up here again in the 11 2000-2003 proceedings, where BBC was claimed by 12 13 the MPAA, but you might say that the chain of agency was the MPAA represented Fintage, a Dutch 14 entity, which represented EGEDA, Spanish 15 collection entity, "which purported to represent 16 17 BBC. And it's one of our exhibits, but BBC 18 had no knowledge who even Fintage was. 19 Have you calculated the percentage of 20 0 you calculated 21 IPG, Have excuse me.percentage of MPAA claimants who are represented 22

| 1 | only by an agent, rather than having made claims |
|----|---|
| 2 | themselves? |
| 3 | A Well, the way I do it, because I |
| 4 | thought it would be a lot more relevant, is I |
| 5 | calculated program claim combinations. So we |
| 6 | would take a particular program associated with a |
| 7 | particular claimant and for a particular year, |
| 8 | and I counted those up. I think I had it in the |
| 9 | declaration and I won't |
| 10 | Q Please take a look at what's been |
| 11 | marked as Exhibit 11. |
| 12 | A All right. |
| 13 | Q And I believe Exhibit 11 is a |
| 14 | declaration drafted by yourself? |
| 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | Q And Your Honor, I'm not going to move |
| 17 | to admit this, but I would like to direct if I |
| L8 | may, I'd like to direct the witness' attention to |
| L9 | a paragraph, simply to refresh his recollection |
| 20 | on a calculation he made. |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may do so. |
| 22 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |

Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a Q 1 look at page two, paragraph four, and tell me if 2 that refreshes your recollection as to the result 3 of that calculation? 4 The calculation I made was an Right. 5 aggregate of the MPAA program claims, and again 6 7 this is based on the Excel spreadsheet that they provided to us. There were 43,628 unique program 8 claimant year combinations. 9 10 Of those, 19,527 were being made by agents purporting to represent the underlying 11 12 That underlying copyright copyright owner. 13 owner, then in those situations it underlying copyright owner who had not submitted 14 any direct evidence, any offered evidence of its 15 16 indicating what program titles it was 17 making claims for or confirming the agency 18 relationship. 19 Your question was percentage, 44.75 20 That was the calculation. percent. Are you familiar with an 21 Thank you. 22 entity known as Screenwrites?

Very well. 1 . A Screenwrites to And what is your 2 Q knowledge? 3 Α Screenwrites is -- and I've always 4 referred to them as a quasi-governmental agency, 5 but I know they're private, that's based 6 7 They collect a variety of secondary Australia. 8 -- what I would generalize as secondary rights royalties for Australia and New Zealand. 9 10 They also will, at your request, 11 collect royalties as an agent, or they're not 12 acting as an agent in that circumstance, but as 13 an agent in territories beyond Australia and New However, the significance to this 14 Zealand. proceeding is that they are neither a producer 15 nor a distributor of programming. They are not 16 17 ever a copyright owner. 18 have any understanding as 19 whether not they are an agent in or20 proceedings? 21 Α They have executed documents with the 22 MPAA representing themselves, I believe always as

| 1 | an agent. Nonetheless, in the Excel spreadsheet |
|----|--|
| 2 | that we got from the MPAA, they're being |
| 3 | attributed as the owner of several programs, |
| 4 | where the underlying actual owner has not been |
| 5 | identified. |
| 6 | MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at |
| 7 | what's been marked as Exhibit 13, and are you |
| 8 | familiar with this document? |
| 9 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 10 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 13 for |
| 11 | identification.) |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 13 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 14 | Q Did you create this document? |
| 15 | A I created this based on the same Excel |
| 16 | spreadsheet that I was referring to before, that |
| 17 | was produced by the MPAA in response to the |
| 18 | Judge's July 30 order. |
| 19 | Q And this is a list of references of |
| 20 | apparent agent and the right title. Explain to |
| 21 | us what this represents. |
| 22 | A This first column identifies, and this |

| 1 | each of these would be, for instance, a |
|----|--|
| 2 | program claimant year combination. So for |
| 3 | Screenwrites, there's 79 program claimant year |
| 4 | combinations. |
| 5 | It would represent the in the first |
| 6 | column, the purported copyright owner I should |
| 7 | say, the title for which claims being made and |
| 8 | for the particular year. So you would actually |
| 9 | see in that first column like, well look at the |
| 10 | first example, Avalon Films. |
| L1 | JUDGE BARRETT: Uhh, we're just |
| L2 | identifying the document, Mr. Galaz, and there's |
| L3 | no question pending. |
| L4 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| L5 | admit Exhibit 13. |
| L6 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection, as long |
| L7 | as it's clear that MPAA didn't create this |
| L8 | document. |
| L9 | MR. BOYDSTON: So stipulated. |
| 20 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: IPG 13 is admitted. |
| 22 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to document |

was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 1. 2 13.) BY MR. BOYDSTON: 3 Mr. Galaz, can you explain to us what 4 0 depicts in terms of -- well, 5 depicts, what it explains? 6 The first column identified, 7 Α would have been a heading taken from the MPAA 8 Excel spreadsheet, Owner Current Agent, 9 identifies the purported copyright owner. 10 So you'll see in that first instance it says "Avalon 11 12 Films Corporation (AVCS)." AVCS, as the heading indicates, would 13 14 be either the parent or the agent. Well, we know 15 it's not a parent corporation; it's an agent. AVCS stands for Audio Visual Collection Society, 16 Screenwrites, the entity 17 also known as in 18 Australia. first in that instance, 19 purported owner of the title bullet "Down Under" 2.0 21 is Avalon Films Corporation. Its agent is AVCS. If you look lower, and it was just the 22

way it was organized, towards I think it starts
at number 49 on page two, Program Claimant Year
49, you'll see that with everything from 49
through Item 79, the particular program for the
particular year is attributed to Screenwrites as
the copyright owner.

So and this is -- this kind of goes towards my prior exhibit, when I was saying when you distill down the 1,500 down to actual owners, you'll see that this is an example where at 49 it says "Audio Visual Copyright Society Limited, trading as Screenwrites."

But then when you go down to 56, it says "Screenwrites AVCS," and then you go down to 71 and it just says "Screenwrites." Those are all the same entity, the significance being here at least that Screenwrites is being attributed as the owner of these particular programs, which no possible way that they could actually be the owner. They could only be an agent, and the underlying agent isn't identified.

Q Look at on that page two, Item 65, it

| 1 | says "Owner Screenwrites AVCS," and then the |
|----|---|
| 2 | program is "Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely." Are |
| 3 | you familiar with the program "Outdoorsman with |
| 4 | Buck McNeely"? |
| 5 | A Yes, I'm very familiar with it. |
| 6 | Q And how are you familiar with it? |
| 7 | A Buck Neely and his company, Timberwolf |
| 8 | Productions, was one of our earliest clients. |
| 9 | He's out of St. Louis. It's an outdoor hunting |
| 10 | show. |
| 11 | Q .Is that Timberwolf still a claimant, |
| 12 | an IPG claimant? |
| 13 | A Yes, and they submitted we'll get |
| 14 | to it. They submitted a declaration here. |
| 15 | Q Have you discussed with Mr. McNeely or |
| 16 | his staff the fact that Screenwrites has listed |
| 17 | him in certain documents in this proceeding? |
| 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | Q And what is what did he tell you? |
| 20 | A What he informed me is he has |
| 21 | absolutely no idea who Screenwrites is. |
| 22 | Q Are you familiar with an entity known |

| 1 | by the acronym EGEDA, E-G-E-D-A? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Yes, I am. |
| 3 | Q And what is that entity to your |
| 4 | knowledge? |
| 5 | A EGEDA would be a comparable entity but |
| 6 | for the territory of Spain. Comparable to |
| 7 | Screenwrites in Australia. They collect various |
| 8 | secondary rights, royalties. It's different than |
| 9 | different royalties than what Screenwrites |
| 10 | collects, but at the same time includes |
| 11 | retransmission royalties. |
| 12 | Q In reviewing the MPAA materials |
| 13 | provided to you, did you and other materials |
| 14 | in this proceeding, are you aware as to whether |
| 15 | or not EGEDA is a contracted agent with the MPAA |
| 16 | for these proceedings? |
| 17 | A I know that no. I don't believe |
| 18 | that they've actually contracted directly with |
| 19 | the MPAA. I think in each circumstance, they |
| 20 | have contracted through Fintage as the Dutch |
| 21 | entity. |
| 22 | Q So Fintage House has contracted as an |
| | |

| 1 | agent with the MPAA, for the MPAA to collect |
|----|--|
| 2 | Fintage House properties, is what you're saying? |
| 3 | A That's correct. |
| 4 | Q But then what you're saying is in |
| 5 | turn, Fintage House has contracted with EGEDA? |
| 6 | A Correct. So Fintage is an agent, not |
| 7 | an earner, not a distributor. EGEDA is an agent, |
| 8 | not an owner or distributor. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at |
| 10 | what's been marked as Exhibit 16, and tell if |
| 11 | you're familiar with that? |
| 12 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 13 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 16 for |
| 14 | identification.) |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Sixteen? |
| 16 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 17 | Q Yes. |
| 18 | A Okay. |
| 19 | Q And are you familiar with that |
| 20 | document? |
| 21 | A Yes, I am. |
| 22 | Q And did you create that document? |
| | |

| 1 | A Yes, I did. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q And what did you create it from? |
| 3 | A Again, this was created from the Excel |
| 4 | spreadsheet that was produced by the MPAA |
| 5 | pursuant to the Judge's July 30 order this year. |
| 6 | Q And so from looking at that |
| 7 | information, you're able to see upon what |
| 8 | entities Fintage House is making claim; correct? |
| 9 | A Correct. |
| 10 | Q And was one of those EGEDA? |
| 11 | A EGEDA was one of the parties on whose |
| 12 | behalf Fintage House was making claim. |
| 13 | Q And then did that information also |
| 14 | display upon whose behalf EGEDA was making claim? |
| 15 | A Actually, I think that it went back |
| 16 | and forth. In some circumstances, at least in |
| 17 | these circumstances that are identified in this |
| 18 | particular Exhibit 16, these are all |
| 19 | circumstances in which EGEDA has also been |
| 20 | attributed as the copyright owner of these |
| 21 | particular programs for these particular years. |
| 22 | Q Now you say that EGEDA to your |

| 1 | knowledge functioned like Screenwrites did, but |
|----|--|
| 2 | only in Spain, i.e., collecting royalties, not |
| 3 | producing movies and TV shows; is that correct? |
| 4 | A I know for a fact that they're not an |
| 5 | owner or distributor of programming. |
| 6 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we've got |
| 7 | a little bit past it, but I'd like to move to |
| 8 | admit Exhibit 16. |
| 9 | MS. PLOVNICK: With the same |
| 10 | clarification, that MPAA didn't create this |
| 11 | document, we have no objection. |
| 12 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sixteen is admitted. |
| 14 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 15 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 16 | Exhibit No. 16.) |
| 17 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 18 | Q Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | A I would make a qualification of 16, |
| 20 | though, and I only know because I'm looking at, |
| 21 | to refresh my recollection paragraph six of what |
| 22 | would have been my declaration. |

| 1 | This should have been 385 program year |
|----|---|
| 2 | combinations identified in 16, and when I went to |
| 3 | the end of 16 here, mine stops at 351. So it |
| 4 | appears that there may be a missing page. |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, mine goes |
| 6 | all the way through to 385, but does anyone |
| 7 | else's? |
| 8 | MS. PLOVNICK: Mine ends at 351. |
| 9 | MR. MACLEAN: Yes, at the bottom of |
| 10 | page eight. |
| 11 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, unfortunately it |
| 12 | appears that page nine has flown the coop. Mine |
| 13 | has it. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: Could you provide that |
| 15 | to all of us then please, Mr. Boydston? |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor, I |
| 17 | will. |
| 18 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 19 | Q Mr. Galaz, I think you may have just |
| 20 | said this, but I want to clarify. Based upon |
| 21 | what I see in this chart, it appears that what |
| 22 | you're reflecting is that EGEDA is purporting to |

be the owner of these individual programs; is 1 that correct? 2 That's correct. 3 O And I think you just testified that 4 you know of your own personal knowledge that's 5 not the case? 6 7 Α Correct. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, relating to 8 9 this exhibit, I was just checking as Mr. Boydston 10 said that, to see if I have the last page of my exhibit, and mine goes through page eight. 11 in following page eight, there's another 12 13 copy a notice, as part of the same exhibit, and it appears to be actually the next exhibit in 14 order, or identical to the next exhibit in order, 15 16 IPG P-17. 17 So I just wanted to say that I had understood the only thing being admitted into 18 evidence was the Excel spreadsheet and not these 19 20 additional pages following the Excel spreadsheet, if it's in their binder. 21

MR. BOYDSTON: Well actually that's

| 1 | our intent. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Ma'am, if I could |
| 3 | clarify, and maybe it's included with these. I |
| 4 | just noticed the declaration I was looking at, |
| 5 | which is 11. Page nine of what should be 16 is |
| 6 | at the end of my page at the end of my |
| 7 | exhibit. |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I |
| 9 | approach? |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 11 | MR. MACLEAN: It's in mine too, Your |
| 12 | Honor. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: Yeah, there it is. |
| 14 | (Pause.) |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: Ahh, I see. So with |
| 16 | the Court's permission, maybe I'll move that page |
| 17 | |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. I'll ask the |
| 19 | Clerk to make a note that the last what is |
| 20 | currently the last page behind Tab 11 should be |
| 21 | the last page behind Tab 16. |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: I'll observe the |

| 1 | individual who I believe is responsible for that |
|----|--|
| 2 | is trying to give birth to her second child a |
| 3 | week from Friday, and has become a little |
| 4 | forgetful. |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: No, Mr. Boydston. If |
| 6 | your office put these together, you are the |
| 7 | individual who's responsible. |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Absolutely. I was only |
| 9 | joking. |
| 10 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, this is part |
| 11 | of everybody else's exhibit binder. Are we also |
| 12 | removing the pages following the Excel |
| 13 | spreadsheet, that in my copy it says Exhibit 7, |
| 14 | and then there's a page that says "Notice |
| 15 | Regarding Representation of BBC Worldwide |
| 16 | Americas, " none of which appear to be part of the |
| 17 | ~- |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: Those would need to go |
| 19 | to the next exhibit. |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: I do not have that |
| 21 | notice as part of 16. |
| 22 | MR. MACLEAN: Okay. That might be |

| 1 | MS. PLOVNICK: I also do not. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: That may be Exhibit 17. |
| 3 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yeah. |
| 4 | MR. MACLEAN: My copy also has an |
| 5 | Exhibit 17, which appears identical. So if it's |
| 6 | all right with you, I'll just take it out. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. |
| 8 | MR. MACLEAN: So if anything, I won't |
| 9 | bother anybody with it. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Mr. |
| 11 | Boydston. |
| 12 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. Please take |
| | |
| 13 | a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 14, and |
| 13 | a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 14, and by its on its face, this appears to be a |
| | |
| 14 | by its on its face, this appears to be a |
| 14 15 | by its on its face, this appears to be a declaration of Mr. McNeely. Are you familiar |
| 14 15 16 | by its on its face, this appears to be a declaration of Mr. McNeely. Are you familiar with this declaration? |
| 14 15 16 17 | by its on its face, this appears to be a declaration of Mr. McNeely. Are you familiar with this declaration? (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 14 15 16 17 | by its on its face, this appears to be a declaration of Mr. McNeely. Are you familiar with this declaration? (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 14 for |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | by its on its face, this appears to be a declaration of Mr. McNeely. Are you familiar with this declaration? (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 14 for identification.) |

with Mr. McNeely? 1 Yes, I did. 2 And again, when you did discuss this 3 with Mr. McNeely, Screenwrites with Mr. McNeely, 4 his 5 what the nature of comments and was conversation? 6 It was whether -- my question was 7 Α whether he had any familiarity with Screenwrites 8 or AVCS, Audio Visual Collection Society. 9 communicated he had none. 10 indicated that they were 11 attributed as the owner of The Outdoorsman, and 12 McNeely asked if he had any familiarity as to why 13 this would be the case. He said he never heard 14 of Screenwrites or AVCS before, and that's why he 15 willingly provided this declaration. 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 17 move Exhibit 14 into evidence. 18 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, we have an 19 20 objection to numbered paragraph three, especially 21 the particular sentence that says reviewed the complete program claims at hearing 22

other

represented entity and between my claimants" and it goes on. There's no documents attached to this declaration. So we do not have a copy of what exactly was reviewed by Mr. McNeely, and it was not produced to us. And so we object, that this is an incomplete document, and it also has prejudiced our ability to prepare our rebuttal, in that we don't know exactly what the programs reviewed are, other than what is referenced in paragraph four. Otherwise, we don't have a list. MR. BOYDSTON:

Your Honor, I think that goes to weight rather than admissibility, and by way of illustration, I would remind the Court that a number of the IPG, excuse me, none of the MPAA exhibits which -- or declarations rather, which have been admitted, referred to misrepresentations made by IPG, with no further detail, no attachments, nothing at all.

I didn't object to admissibility on those, because I thought it was admissible. Ιt

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 1 | simply went to there weight. If it's not |
|----|---|
| 2 | described, it's not described, and I think that's |
| 3 | up to the reader to make a judgment on it, and |
| 4 | not exclude the statement in toto. |
| 5 | MS. PLOVNICK: If I may respond, all |
| 6 | of MPAA's affidavits had attachments. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: But they did not |
| 8 | there's no explanation in the declaration as to |
| 9 | what misrepresentations were made, and none of |
| 10 | those attachments identified the |
| 11 | misrepresentation. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Well, just based on |
| 13 | the reading of this, the objection's overruled. |
| 14 | Exhibit |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: Fourteen. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: Fourteen is admitted. |
| 17 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 18 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 19 | Exhibit No. 14.) |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 21 | Please take a look at what's been marked as |
| 22 | Exhibit 15, and tell me are you familiar with |

| 1 | this document? |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 3 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 15 for |
| 4 | identification.) |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. It appears |
| 6 | to be a declaration by Jennifer Valle. |
| 7 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 8 | Q Who is Jennifer Valle, if you know? |
| 9 | A She's an employee with Lawrence Welk |
| 10 | Syndication. |
| 11 | Q And does IPG have a connection with |
| 12 | Lawrence Welk Syndication? |
| 13 | A We are representing claimant. |
| 14 | Q Did you discuss certain matters |
| 15 | regarding these proceedings with Ms. Valle? |
| 16 | A Yes, and specifically that the program |
| 17 | "From the Heart" was being claimed by AVCS as |
| 18 | well. |
| 19 | Q When you say "AVCS," do you mean |
| 20 | Screenwrites? |
| 21 | A Correct. |
| 22 | Q And what was Ms. Valle's reaction to |

| 1 | that? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Same as Mr. McNeely's. They didn't |
| 3 | know who Screenwrites was, and offered actually |
| 4 | the declaration or to testify about it. |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 6 | move Exhibit 15 into evidence. |
| 7 | MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I'd just |
| 8 | renew the same objection for the record, |
| 9 | regarding the language in numbered paragraph |
| 10 | three. Otherwise, I have no objection. |
| 11 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection, Your |
| 12 | Honor. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 15 is |
| 14 | admitted. |
| 15 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 16 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 17 | Exhibit No. 15.) |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | Please take a look at what's been marked Exhibit |
| 20 | 17, and tell me if you're familiar with this? |
| 21 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 22 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 17 for |

| 1 | identification.) |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: I am. |
| 3 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 4 | Q And it purports to be a "Notice |
| 5 | Regarding Representation of BBC Worldwide |
| 6 | Americas." It's executed by a Mr. Vernon Chiu. |
| 7 | Has IPG entered into any contracts with BBC |
| 8 | Worldwide Americas? |
| 9 | A Yes, we did. |
| 10 | Q And has IPG filed claims on behalf of |
| 11 | BCC Worldwide Americas? |
| 12 | A Yes, we have. |
| 13 | Q And did you discuss this declaration |
| 14 | with Mr. Chiu? |
| 15 | A Yes. This was actually not only |
| 16 | was a declaration, but it was actually filed in |
| 17 | the court record in the 2000 and 2003 |
| 18 | proceedings, when it was revealed that there was |
| 19 | also being there was also a claim being made |
| 20 | on behalf of the BBC by MPAA. |
| 21 | This is for calendar years 2000 and |
| 22 | 2003. So this was not an instance in which BBC |

| 1 | had contracted directly with the MPAA. It was |
|----|---|
| 2 | rather a claim purportedly on behalf of BBC being |
| 3 | made by agents. |
| 4 | When we looked further into it, it was |
| 5 | revealed that the sequence |
| 6 | MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay. But |
| 7 | also I believe he's getting into the content of |
| 8 | this declaration and the content of |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, sustained. |
| LO | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 11 | Q Thank you, Your Honor. This is dated |
| 12 | May 17th, 2013. Did you discuss the content of |
| L3 | this with Mr. Chiu in or around that time? |
| L4 | A Yes, I did. |
| L5 | Q And did you explain to Mr. Chiu what |
| L6 | you were just saying a minute ago, that based on |
| L7 | your review of certain records, that it appeared |
| L8 | that BBC Worldwide was being represented in part |
| L9 | by EGEDA? |
| 20 | A Correct. The sequence of events was |
| 21 | that the MPAA was representing with an agent |
| 22 | heading, branded with Fintage. Was purporting to |

| 1 | represent EGEDA, also an agent. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, has it been |
| 3 | offered and admitted? We're still getting into |
| 4 | the content. |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. |
| 6 | Sometimes I think we need more, perhaps more |
| 7 | punishment than we do. Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 8 | move Exhibit 17 into evidence. |
| 9 | MS. PLOVNICK: I have an objection to |
| 10 | Exhibit 17. Actually, I have two. First is |
| 11 | relevance, because this is appears to be |
| 12 | related solely to 2000 and 2003 cable royalties. |
| 13 | Second is that it references an |
| 14 | attached Exhibit A that my copy does not have. |
| 15 | The majority of the document is about the |
| 16 | attached Exhibit A, which is not included in |
| 17 | Exhibit IPG 17, and so it is incomplete. |
| 18 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object on |
| 19 | the grounds of completeness. My copy also does |
| 20 | not include an Exhibit A, as referenced in the |
| 21 | declaration. |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: Mine does not either. |

| 1 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit, I'm sorry, is |
|----|---|
| 2 | this 17? Exhibit 17 is not admitted at this |
| 3 | time, for reasons of incompleteness. But if it |
| 4 | was in fact filed in the prior proceeding, I |
| 5 | think it would be possible to make it complete. |
| 6 | So I won't foreclose that possibility. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 8 | Given that possibility, may I ask a few more |
| 9 | questions of the witness? |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 11 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 12 | Q Do you know |
| 13 | A I'm sorry. I was actually still |
| 14 | responding. |
| 15 | Q Please continue. |
| 16 | A The question that was |
| 17 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Part of your objection |
| 19 | was noted, and that's also granted. Ask a |
| 20 | question, Mr. Boydston. |
| 21 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 22 | Q Oh, I didn't remember his objection. |

| 1 | I think I asked you about whether or not you |
|----|--|
| 2 | communicated to Mr. Chiu that your view of the |
| 3 | records with regard to the 2000-2003 proceeding |
| 4 | included, or had there was information in |
| 5 | those records that demonstrated that EGEDA was |
| 6 | making claims for BBC; is that correct? |
| 7 | A There was |
| 8 | MR. MACLEAN: Objection, leading. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled this time. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: There was evidence that |
| 11 | EGEDA was making claim for the BBC, that Fintage |
| 12 | was making claim on behalf of EGEDA, and then |
| 13 | Fintage was the party that contracted with the |
| 14 | MPAA. |
| 15 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 16 | Q When you explained that to Mr. Chiu, |
| 17 | what was his reaction? |
| 18 | A They had no idea who Fintage is. |
| 19 | Q And did you discuss and Mr. Chiu, |
| 20 | what is his position at BBC? |
| 21 | A General counsel. |
| 22 | Q And that sounds like he's an attorney. |

| 1 | Is it your understanding he's an attorney? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Yes, it is. |
| 3 | Q And prior to that time, had you spoken |
| 4 | before or communicated before to Mr. Chiu? |
| 5 | A I've communicated several times with |
| 6 | Mr. Chiu over the last decade. |
| 7 | Q In part, were some of those |
| 8 | communications to obtain information to file |
| 9 | claims on behalf of BBC? |
| 10 | A The best part of it. He was actually |
| 11 | the individual who we originally spoke with when |
| 12 | they contracted with IPG. |
| 13 | Q Did Mr. Chiu, when you had those |
| 14 | communications with him prior to this time |
| 15 | period, appear to have a command of this |
| 16 | information, as far as you could tell? |
| 17 | A He did have a command of the |
| 18 | information, and just so I am clear about this, |
| 19 | the contract that we entered into with BBC |
| 20 | doesn't distinguish between cable and satellite. |
| 21 | It's cable and satellite retransmission |
| 22 | Q Did you discuss with Mr. Chiu the idea |

2

| 1 | of drafting a declaration such as what would be |
|----|---|
| 2 | seen in this limited format here? |
| 3 | A Are you talking about this one or for |
| 4 | this proceeding? |
| 5 | Q I'm talking about this one. |
| 6 | A Yes. I spoke to him about this. He |
| 7 | was willing to execute a declaration to clarify |
| 8 | the rights and given, I think it was actually his |
| 9 | suggestion, that it actually be filed as part of |
| 10 | the record, which is why it ended up being |
| 11 | submitted by |
| 12 | Q Now obviously this is a different |
| 13 | proceeding than what this was originally created |
| 14 | for. To your knowledge, in the proceedings that |
| 15 | we're in now, for the years we're in now, do you |
| 16 | know whether or not EGEDA has also claimed in |
| 17 | this proceeding made claims on behalf of BBC? |
| 18 | A I know that they've actually withdrawn |
| 19 | it. |
| 20 | Q Okay. Do you recall receiving this |
| 21 | document complete with the Exhibit A at any time |
| 22 | from Mr. Chiu? |

| 1 | A Yes, and that's what ultimately got |
|----|--|
| 2 | filed with the actually, I think BBC filed it |
| 3 | directly themselves, but I've got a copy |
| 4 | somewhere with the attachment. |
| 5 | Q Thank you. Now in your review of the |
| 6 | MPAA material that was provided to you, have you |
| 7 | observed any instances in which the MPAA was |
| 8 | attributing that it represented broadcasters, as |
| 9 | opposed to an agent or anyone else, with |
| 10 | ownership of certain programming? |
| 11 | A Several circumstances. |
| 12 | MR. BOYDSTON: Let me ask you to take |
| L3 | a look at what's been marked Exhibit 18. |
| L4 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 15 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 18 for |
| L6 | identification.) |
| L7 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| L8 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| L9 | Q This appears to be a declaration by |
| 20 | Heather Cochran. Do you know who Heather Cochran |
| 21 | is? |
| 22 | A Yes, she's an employee. I think she's |

| 1 | the I think they actually say here that she |
|----|---|
| 2 | I think she's the chief financial officer for the |
| 3 | Academy of Television Arts and Sciences. |
| 4 | Q And does IPG have a relationship with |
| 5 | the Academy of Television Arts and Sciences? |
| 6 | A Yes, a long-time client claimant we've |
| 7 | represented since 1998 maybe. |
| 8 | Q And did you in reviewing the |
| 9 | materials provided by the MPAA, did you see |
| 10 | anything in those materials referencing the |
| 11 | Academy of Television Arts and Sciences, in |
| 12 | connection with any broadcaster? |
| 13 | A Well, did I see anything with regard |
| 14 | to ATAS, yes. ATAS has basically just one |
| 15 | program, it's the Emmy Awards, and sometimes in |
| 16 | the daily we have it. It shows up as the awards, |
| 17 | Emmy Awards, dot Emmy Awards, Emmy Awards dot. |
| 18 | But it's basically the Emmy Awards for |
| 19 | any given particular year. I saw within the |
| 20 | MPAA data reference to the fact that they were |
| 21 | attributing ownership to four different entities |
| 22 | in four different years. In the other years, |

they were not making claim for it. 1 One year it was ABC, one year it was 2 CBS, one year it was NBC, another year it was 3 Content International, and I think that 4 vis-a-vis agent. Content 5 actually an International is not even a broadcaster. That's 6 7 actually the foreign distributor of the Emmy 8 Awards. And did that surprise you? 9 10 Α Very much it surprised ATAS' -- the Academy of Televison Arts and Sciences as well. 11 Objection, Objection. 12 MR. MACLEAN: 13 Objection first of all as to relevance of Mr. Galaz's surprise. Objection second of all to Mr. 14 Galaz's speculation as to whether somebody else 15 16 was surprised. PLOVNICK: Τ another 17 MS. have objection just in general to this --18 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained, Mr. You want to put yours on the record? 20 MacLean. This 21 MS. PLOVNICK: Yeah, I do. affidavit, as I understand it, is regarding the 22

| 1 | show the Emmy Awards as Mr. Galaz just testified, |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Prime Time Emmy Awards. |
| 3 | MPAA is not claiming the title "Prime |
| 4 · | Time Emmy Awards" or "Emmy Awards," if you look |
| 5 | through Ms. Saunders' Appendix B to her cable and |
| 6 | satellite testimony, it's not listed. |
| 7 | We checked for the ones that are |
| 8 | listed and that are listed here in paragraph five |
| 9 | of this declaration. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. Ms. |
| 11 | Plovnick, what's the legal basis of your |
| 12 | objection? |
| 13 | MS. PLOVNICK: So this is irrelevant, |
| 14 | Your Honor, because there is no claim being made |
| 15 | in this proceeding by MPAA for the title "Prime |
| 16 | Time Emmy Awards" or Emmy Awards Prime Time. |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: The relevance, Mr. |
| 18 | Boydston? |
| 19 | MR. BOYDSTON: May I establish that by |
| 20 | questions? |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may question the |
| 22 | witness. |

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Q Mr. Galaz, what was the basis -- did you have a belief that in fact the MPAA was making claim for this?

A Yes. Based on the Excel spreadsheet that was provided to us, which all this was based on, it indicated that Emmy Awards was being claimed by the MPAA for the years that I -- I should say the years that ultimately were referenced by Ms. Cochran in her declaration.

Specifically, that for calendar year 2000, it was being attributed to American Broadcasting Companies; for 2001, CBS Broadcasting Inc.; for 2002, NBC Universal, Inc.; and for 2009, Content Film International.

I can't attest, because I haven't gone back to look, as to what appears in the written direct statement, the appendix to Ms. Saunders' declaration. But I can attest to what appeared within the Excel spreadsheet that was produced by the MPAA pursuant to the Judge's July 30, 2014 order.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd submit 1 It may be a question of that it's relevant. 2 evidence as to whether or not such claim is in 3 fact made. There seems to be information on both 4 5 sides of the matter. MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, the written 6 direct statement lists, you know, Jane Saunders' 7 testimony that was filed as part of our written 8 direct statement, has our title list. 9 Those are 10 the titles that we are claiming. The fact that a title may have been on 11 a certification and was stricken out at 12 13 point, if it was, we're not claiming it. think it's not relevant. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: The last thing I'll say 15 16 is this is exactly the same situation as what we with, 17 attacked with regard were to A&E Television. Yes, it may have been on some of our 18 We did not include it in our written --19 claims. in our rebuttal statement. 20 21 And yet that evidence came in anyway, 22 in support of certain points that MPAA is trying

I submit this should come in. It's the 1 exact same scenario, to try to support the point 2 that we're making, which is that the MPAA is 3 sometimes representing MDs that claim to have 4 5 programs that they do not. 6 Now granted, they're not pushing it 7 here just like we weren't pushing A&E. But to 8 the extent they can bring in evidence that at one 9 point in time we claimed A&E, we can bring in 10 evidence at one point in time they claimed the 11 Emmys. 12 MS. PLOVNICK: We have never claimed 13 these titles in this proceeding at all, unlike A&E, which they did have on a list. 14 15 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I apologize. 16 I believe it is possible I lost the thread of 17 this conversation. However, my recollection is that the initial objection was to a question as 18 19 to whether Mr. Galaz was surprised. (Simultaneous speaking.) 2.0 MR. Now I'm not clear on 21 MACLEAN: what is exactly being objected to. 22

| 1 | JUDGE BARRETT: Well, Ms. Plovnick is |
|----|--|
| 2 | making a record of her objection to the exhibit. |
| 3 | MS. PLOVNICK: And the line of |
| 4 | questioning. |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: And the line of |
| 6 | questioning. |
| 7 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I don't |
| 8 | believe the exhibit has been offered into |
| 9 | evidence. |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: No, I have not gotten |
| 11 | there. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: To the line of |
| 13 | questioning, that one is sustained. |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 15 | move Exhibit 18 into evidence. |
| 16 | MS. PLOVNICK: Renew my objection, |
| 17 | Your Honor. |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. Exhibit 18 |
| 19 | is rejected. |
| 20 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 21 | |
| 1 | document identified as IPG Exhibit No. 18 was |

MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry. Can you 1 2 repeat that? Exhibit 18 is JUDGE BARRETT: 3 refused the rejected. me, is 4 Or excuse 5 appropriate word, I believe. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 6 7 Are you aware of other broadcaster, 0 broadcasters that have attributed ownership to 8 various programs that you believe is incorrect? 9 10 Well, prefacing my response by saying 11 that what I'm relying on is the Excel spreadsheet provided by 12 that the MPAA, that was was purporting to indicate which programs were being 13 14 claimed and associated with a particular owner and agent for a particular year, I would say yes. 1.5 But that would be the same situation 1.6 17 with regard to the Emmy Awards. When I reviewed the spreadsheet, the Excel spreadsheet 18 19 that was provided electronically because it's voluminous, I found numerous circumstances in 20 21 which the MPAA was making claim for programs that

I know firsthand are being represented by IPG,

pursuant to an agreement with an IPG-represented
claimant, and in circumstances where those
individuals in some cases hadn't even been
broadcast by the particular network.

Q Let's stop for a second, for a little clarification of the spreadsheet that we've been talking about. Once again, where did you obtain this or who gave it to you?

A The MPAA provided it. In the -- the problem is that in the MPAA written direct statement, it indicates, and it's about a 100 page-long document, that's the appendix in this Jane Saunders' testimony, it says "We're making claim for all these programs."

And it's not just on their page, which is I think four columns in very small type and so forth; it's literally thousands of programs. But it doesn't indicate on that appendix who the claimant is, on whose behalf the MPAA is making the claim, or whether it's an agent or whether it's actually the owner, whoever. Doesn't make that.

We requested and discovered that information; it was denied. We moved to compel it, and the Judges said, of course, you know, you have -- if you're acting as an agent, you have to identify which claimant, on whose behalf you're making the program claim.

Pursuant to the order of July 30th, 2014, the Judges ordered the MPAA to then provide to us an Excel spreadsheet that information, that specifically identifies the underlying owner, the agent, the program and the year for which the claim is being made. So we have all that information.

That's the information from which I derived all of this. That's the information from where I derived, for example, that the Emmy Awards has been claimed by the MPAA for four years and on whose behalf. So to the extent --

Q Just to clarify if I may, let me ask a question. Just to clarify, this is in this proceeding. So in other words, the information you were given by the MPAA connecting the Emmys

1.3

CBS, etcetera, for this with ABC, was 1 proceeding; correct? 2 So it's --3 That's correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Excuse me. 4 Plovnick, I thought you represented to the court 5 moments ago that MPAA had never filed a claim or 6 7 was not claiming or representing a claimant? MS. PLOVNICK: We are not, and if you 8 9 look at our written direct testimony, and it's 10 Saunders Exhibit, I think it's B is the title 11 list for cable and satellite, the Prime Time Emmy 12 Awards is not there. That is our testimony. 13 We did produce electronic copies of 14 that to them pursuant to the Judge's order. 15 they have it in paper and they have it 16 also, electronic copy. We as Ms. Saunders 17 testified, created an Excel workbook to digitize the certifications, which as you see have a lot 18 19 of handwritten notes on them, and we can't 20 capture handwritten notes in that way, although 21 we could try. 22 But so what we've got is a file that

was created, pursuant to the Judge's order, to 1 help assist them with dealing with the paper 2 documents that were produced to them already, 3 which were voluminous and link up each MPAA 4 claimant with the titles that they had certified 5 and had all those notes on them. 6 7 But what I was representing to you is in this proceeding, we have never claimed the 8 Prime Time Emmy Awards, because it's not in the 9 10 list of titles that we submitted as a part of our

MR. BOYDSTON: The problem is it's in this thing they gave us pursuant to discovery. Now it may be there was a mistake on their part, but if so, we should be able to get it into evidence, to explain that there's been a mistake.

written direct statement.

MS. PLOVNICK: It's an Excel workbook and you saw, looking at the ones that were already admitted, they're handwritten notes and sometimes they strike through and things like that.

JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that information

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

| 1 | on the in the Excel workbook, the one the |
|----|---|
| 2 | witness is testifying to? |
| 3 | MS. PLOVNICK: To the extent that |
| 4 | to the extent it can be, but |
| 5 | . JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, I'm not asking |
| 6 | for can be. He said it is, and you're apparently |
| 7 | saying it is not. Are you fact saying he's |
| 8 | wrong, it's not in any document you've provided |
| 9 | in discovery? |
| 10 | MS. PLOVNICK: We have paper documents |
| 11 | that have all of that in there. |
| 12 | JUDGE STRICKLER: So it is in the |
| 13 | discovery? |
| 14 | MS. PLOVNICK: It is provided in |
| 15 | discovery, absolutely Your Honor. But it's in |
| 16 | discovery, but the title was not claimed by us. |
| 17 | It is not being claimed by us. It may have been |
| 18 | on a certification as not being claimed, but we |
| 19 | are not well pursuing a claim. |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: What the witness is |
| 21 | testifying is that it was on a spreadsheet. Now |
| 22 | Mr. Galaz, was it on a spreadsheet that lined out |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| | |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and |
| 3 | that's not |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or |
| 5 | no. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. |
| 7 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined |
| 8 | out? Do you know or you don't recall? |
| 9 | MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not |
| 10 | know. |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: I have |
| 12 | MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question |
| 13 | pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my |
| 14 | request to admit Exhibit 18. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 18 is going to |
| 16 | be admitted. We're going to have to eventually |
| 17 | slog through this apparently, so go ahead. |
| 18 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 19 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 20 | Exhibit No. 18.) |
| 21 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 22 | Let me ask you to take a look at what's been |

| 1 | marked as Exhibit 20, and it purports to be a |
|----|---|
| 2 | declaration b Andre Fair. Are you familiar with |
| | |
| 3 | Mr. Fair? |
| 4 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 5 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 20 for |
| 6 | identification.) |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. |
| 8 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 9 | Q And do you know what entity he works |
| 10 | with? |
| 11 | A He works with Willie Wilson |
| 12 | Productions. He's an executive there. |
| 13 | Q And does IPG have a relationship with |
| 14 | Willie Wilson Productions? |
| 15 | A Yes. We've been representing them for |
| 16 | several years. |
| 17 | Q And are you familiar with this |
| 18 | declaration? |
| 19 | A Yes, I am. |
| 20 | Q Did you discuss this declaration with |
| 21 | Mr. Fair? |
| 22 | A Yes, I have. |

| 1 | Q And in what context did this come up? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A It was in the context of again, the |
| 3 | MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that |
| 4 | the program Singsation was being attributed to |
| 5 | CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar |
| 6 | year 2000. |
| 7 | Q And when you brought that to Mr. |
| 8 | Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that |
| 9 | to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? |
| 10 | A That it was absolutely |
| 11 | MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his |
| 12 | response. |
| 13 | MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor. |
| 14 | There's no question. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 17 | move that Exhibit 20 be admitted. |
| 18 | MS. PLOVNICK: We have no objection. |
| 19 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may I voir |
| 20 | dire? |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 22 | VOIR DIRE |

| 1 | BY MR. MACLEAN: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q Mr. Galaz, do you personally know |
| 3 | Andre Fair? |
| 4 | A No. Well I mean from the standpoint |
| 5 | of have I ever met him in person? No. |
| 6 | Q How have you communicated with Andre |
| 7 | Fair? |
| 8 | A Through several people at Willie |
| 9 | Wilson Productions that we periodically deal |
| 10 | with, and he's one of them. |
| 11 | Q What is do you know what position |
| 12 | Mr. Fair has with Willie Wilson Productions? |
| 13 | A My understanding was he was an |
| 14 | executive. The initial person we were dealing |
| 15 | with was Roxanne Jackson, then Mr. Wilson and |
| 16 | they directed us to have Mr. Fair execute this |
| 17 | particular declaration. |
| 18 | Q My question was do you have an |
| 19 | understanding as to what position |
| 20 | . A I don't have a firsthand knowledge of |
| 21 | what his position is with Willie Wilson |
| 22 | Productions. |

| 1 | Q In the declaration, he says "I am an |
|----|--|
| 2 | authorized representative." Do you know what |
| 3 | authority he has as a representative of Willie |
| 4 | Wilson Productions? |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: The person who's |
| 6 | authorized. |
| 7 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object to |
| 8 | that. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: I apologize. |
| 10 | Withdrawn. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: It's stricken. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Do I know exactly the |
| 13 | I'm sorry, what his position is or |
| 14 | BY MR. MACLEAN: |
| 15 | Q I'm just asking if you know in what |
| 16 | capacity he is an authorized representative? |
| 17 | A No. I just know that Willie Wilson |
| 18 | and other individuals I've dealt with |
| 19 | Q You don't know. |
| 20 | A Can I finish? |
| 21 | Q Well my question |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: You need to let the |

| 1 | witness finish answering. |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: It was, I think, a yes |
| 3 | or no answer. So he doesn't need to say anymore |
| 4 | than that. |
| 5 | BY MR. MACLEAN: |
| 6 | Q Who drafted it? Who drafted this |
| 7 | declaration? |
| 8 | A Well, we certainly sent out the form |
| 9 | after speaking with them. So I would say that |
| 10 | the initial draft was ours. If you'll give me a |
| 11 | moment, I'll tell you whether anything was |
| 12 | Q And when you say "it was ours," do you |
| 13 | mean it was yours? |
| 14 | A I'd say it was initially drafted by |
| 15 | IPG. |
| 16 | Q By who in IPG? |
| 17 | A That would be me. |
| 18 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object on |
| 19 | the basis of hearsay and also foundation. |
| 20 | There's no foundation in this declaration |
| 21 | establishing Mr. Fair's basis for knowledge for |
| 22 | what he says. That's my objection. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, he says |
|----|---|
| 2 | he's an authorized representative. That |
| 3 | indicates that he is representing that he is |
| 4 | authorized to represent the company in this |
| 5 | context and make these statements. |
| 6 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, being |
| 7 | authorized to say something doesn't mean you're |
| 8 | saying something that you have personal |
| 9 | knowledge. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Except for the |
| 11 | preceding paragraph says "I have personal |
| 12 | knowledge." |
| 13 | MR. MACLEAN: But without foundation |
| 14 | for how he has personal knowledge. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Exhibit 20 is |
| 16 | admitted. |
| 17 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 18 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 19 | Exhibit No. 20.) |
| 20 | DIRECT EXAMINATION (resumed) |
| 21 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 22 | Q Did you ask Mr. Fair whether or not |

| 1 | CBS Broadcasting was authorized to make claim on |
|----|---|
| 2 | behalf in these matters? |
| 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Q What did he say? |
| 5 | A He said that they had |
| 6 | MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, it is hearsay, and |
| 8 | it's merely what's in the I can have him read |
| 9 | what's in here. I think |
| 10 | (Simultaneous speaking.) |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: Well, if the |
| 12 | declaration is admitted into evidence and all Mr. |
| 13 | Galaz is going to do is to tell us what's in the |
| 14 | declaration, I think it's completely unnecessary. |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 16 | I understand. Please take a look at what's been |
| 17 | marked as Exhibit 21, and are you you |
| 18 | understand what that document is? |
| 19 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 20 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 21 for |
| 21 | identification.) |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. Yes, I do, |

| 1 | I'm sorry. |
|----|---|
| 2 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 3 | Q And what is it? |
| 4 | A This is a printout from the online |
| 5 | records of the U.S. Copyright Office, identifying |
| 6 | the copyright claimant to the title "AFI Life |
| 7 | Achievement Award: A Tribute to Barbara |
| 8 | Streisand, an AFI Production." |
| 9 | Q And what does say in it to that |
| 10 | effect? |
| 11 | A It indicates that American Film |
| 12 | Institute is the copyright claimant to that |
| 13 | particular work. |
| 14 | Q Now have you seen any representations |
| 15 | in the MPAA materials to the contrary of that? |
| 16 | A Yes, I have, and I'm trying to recall |
| 17 | which broadcaster it was off the top of my head, |
| 18 | that made claim to that particular program. I |
| 19 | think it actually appears in some of the MPAA |
| 20 | materials that they've or have had introduced to |
| 21 | this point. |
| 22 | Q And which materials were those if you |

| 1 | recall? Was it this spreadsheet that was |
|----|---|
| 2 | produced pursuant to discovery, or other material |
| 3 | if you know? |
| 4 | A Well yes, certainly that. But |
| 5 | Q That meaning? |
| 6 | A I'm sorry. It was the Excel |
| 7 | spreadsheet that the MPAA produced. It indicated |
| 8 | that a broadcaster was being attributed ownership |
| 9 | of this particular program by AFI, that was |
| 10 | authored by AFI and owned by AFI. |
| 11 | Q Did you obtain the documents that |
| 12 | comprise Exhibit 21 off the Copyright Office's |
| 13 | Internet site? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 16 | move that Exhibit 21 be admitted. |
| 17 | MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I mean I |
| 18 | think we have an objection to this. I mean this |
| 19 | is it is just a search. It's a Copyright |
| 20 | Office record. So it's really a public record. |
| 21 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 21 is |

| 1 | admitted. |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 3 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 4 | Exhibit No. 21.) |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at |
| 6 | what's been marked as Exhibit 22, and tell me if |
| 7 | you are familiar with that document? |
| 8 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 9 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 22 for |
| 10 | identification.) |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: That's a comparable |
| 12 | document for the title "DragonBall Z." |
| 13 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 14 | Q And does DragonBall Z's the title |
| 15 | of a program; correct? |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q And do you know who owns DragonBall Z? |
| 18 | A Yes. For the United States it's |
| 19 | Funimation, which is an IPG-represented claimant. |
| 20 | Q Now have you seen in the MPAA |
| 21 | materials information indicating that the |
| 22 | broadcaster is claiming the right to collect |

| 1 | royalties for DragonBall Z? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Yes, and that was actually one of the |
| 3 | items were addressing yesterday, that I believe |
| 4 | was ABC Family Worldwide. |
| 5 | Q And did you obtain this document off |
| 6 | the Copyright Office Internet site? |
| 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q And what does this demonstrate, in |
| 9 | terms of the ownership of the program involved? |
| 10 | A That the copyright claimant for the |
| 11 | United States is Toei Animation Company, but with |
| 12 | DragonBall Z, the U.S. owner is Funimation |
| 13 | Productions. |
| 14 | That's why it's also identified as |
| 15 | well, it's interesting, because up here it says |
| 16 | "Copyright claimant." But a co-copyright |
| 17 | claimant is Funimation Productions. |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 19 | move Exhibit 22 into evidence. |
| 20 | MS. PLOVNICK: I object to the answer, |
| 21 | where he was trying to Mr. Galaz characterized |
| 22 | ownership for the U.S. in this. I don't really |

| 1 | see how that came from this document. We don't |
|----|--|
| 2 | have any objection to this document, but his |
| 3 | characterization. |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. The |
| 5 | document is admitted. Exhibit 22 is admitted. |
| 6 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 7 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 8 | Exhibit No. 22.) |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 10 | Please take a look at what's been marked as |
| 11 | Exhibit 23. It appears to be a declaration by an |
| 12 | individual Tony Intelisano, with Mark Anthony |
| 13 | Entertainment. Have you communicated with Mr. |
| 14 | Intelsiano? |
| 15 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 16 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 23 for |
| 17 | identification.) |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Intelsiano. |
| 19 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 20 | Q Thank you. |
| 21 | A Yes, I have. He's the owner of Mark |
| 22 | Anthony Entertainment. |

| 1 | Q And does IPG have a relationship with |
|----|---|
| 2 | Mark Anthony Entertainment? |
| 3 | A Yes, a contractual relationship. |
| 4 | They're an IPG client and have been for several |
| 5 | years. |
| 6 | Q And in reviewing the MPAA documents |
| 7 | and spreadsheet, do you see some indication that |
| 8 | the television show Main Floor was being claimed |
| 9 | by a broadcaster? |
| 10 | A Yes. It indicated that and I say |
| 11 | "it." Again, thè Excel spreadshæt that was |
| 12 | provided to IPG indicated that it was being |
| 13 | attributed to American Broadcasting Companies for |
| 14 | calendar years 2001 and 2002. |
| 15 | Q Did you discuss that with Mister |
| 16 | I'll just call him Mr. I? |
| 17 | A Yes, I did. |
| 18 | Q And what was his reaction? |
| 19 | A He confirmed |
| 20 | MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay. |
| 21 | MR. BOYDSTON: Withdrawn. |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
|----|--|
| 2 | move that Exhibit 23 be entered into evidence. |
| 3 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection, Your |
| 4 | Honor. |
| 5 | MS. PLOVNICK: Our only objection is |
| 6 | the same objection we're going to renew for |
| 7 | paragraph three, regarding the conflicting |
| 8 | program claims appearing that haven't been |
| 9 | listed or produced to us. Otherwise, no |
| 10 | objection. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 23 is |
| 12 | admitted. |
| 13 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 14 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 15 | Exhibit No. 23.) |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 17 | Please take a look at what's been marked Exhibit |
| 18 | 24. |
| 19 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 20 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 24 for |
| 21 | identification.) |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |

| 1 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q And are you familiar with that |
| 3 | document? |
| 4 | A Yes, I am. |
| 5 | Q And it appears to be another Copyright |
| 6 | Office printout from the website, and what is |
| 7 | this regarding? What title and what owner? |
| 8 | A Right. This is for the television |
| 9 | show Beast Wars. As I testified yesterday, it's |
| 10 | owned by Mainframe Entertainment, which is an |
| 11 | IPG-represented claimant. |
| 12 | Q And have you seen material in the MPAA |
| 13 | materials that indicate that a broadcaster is |
| 14 | making claim through the MPAA for this program? |
| 15 | A That's correct. Off the top of my |
| 16 | head I can't recall exactly which one, but again |
| 17 | this is based on the Excel spreadsheet that was |
| 18 | produced to us, pursuant to the Judge's order, |
| 19 | with an associated particular program with a |
| 20 | particular claimant. |
| 21 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 22 | move Exhibit 24 be entered into evidence. |

| - | THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 2 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: 24 is admitted. |
| 4 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 5 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 6 | Exhibit No. 24.) |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 8 | Please take a look at what's been marked as |
| 9 | Exhibit 25, and are you familiar with that |
| 10 | document? |
| 11 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 12 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 25 for |
| 13 | identification.) |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Yes, but I want to bring |
| 15 | to your attention that part of 25, I think, may |
| 16 | have ended up at the end of the prior exhibit, |
| 17 | because the prior exhibit, the first page is the |
| 18 | copyright search for Beast Wars, and then the |
| 19 | second page is also for Beast Wars. But they're |
| 20 | two different copyright documents. The third |
| 21 | page |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: May I approach, Your |

| 1 | Honor? |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 3 | (Pause.) |
| 4 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 5 | Q The last there should be two pages |
| 6 | only in Exhibit 24. If you have a third, it |
| 7 | indicates the David Letterman Show. That should |
| 8 | be the first page 25. Again, my apologies. Mine |
| 9 | is not like that, but that one was, so some may, |
| 10 | some may not be. |
| 11 | Mr. Galaz, thank you for pointing that |
| 12 | out. Do you have an understanding as to whether |
| 13 | or not the title represented here on the first |
| 14 | page, David Letterman, is that a television show? |
| 15 | A Yes, it's a television show. |
| 16 | Q And to your knowledge, who is it owned |
| 17 | by? |
| 18 | A Worldwide Pants, consistent with our |
| 19 | own agreements with Worldwide Pants. |
| 20 | Q And so IPG has had agreements with |
| 21 | Worldwide Pants; correct? |
| 22 | A Correct, pursuant to which they've |

| 1 | attested to in their own spreadsheet. The Late |
|----|---|
| 2 | Show with David Letterman and Late Late Show as |
| 3 | well, which has had a variety of hosts. |
| 4 | Q And I see the second page is another |
| 5 | Copyright printout that references the Late Late |
| 6 | Show; correct? |
| 7 | A That's correct, and we presented this |
| 8 | as sort of the Late Late Show as being with |
| 9 | different hosts, Tom Snyder, Craig Kilborn. I |
| 10 | can't recall who after that. |
| 11 | Q Have you seen |
| 12 | A I think we're missing Craig Ferguson. |
| 13 | Q Have you seen in the MPAA materials |
| 14 | information indicating that the broadcaster was |
| 15 | making claim for these programs through the MPAA? |
| 16 | A Yes. In the MPAA materials, it |
| 17 | indicates that CBS Broadcasting is being |
| 18 | attributed ownership of all the Worldwide Pants |
| 19 | programming, despite the fact well, our |
| 20 | contract with Worldwide Pants expressly |
| 21 | warranties that there has been no grant of those |
| 22 | rights specifically to any broadcaster or |

| 1 | distributor. |
|----------------------------|--|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 3 | move Exhibit 25 be entered into evidence. |
| 4 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 5 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 25 is |
| 7 | admitted. |
| 8 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 9 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 10 | Exhibit No. 25.) |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: And I apologize again. |
| 12 | I have a fourth page that's the last page of the |
| | |
| 13 | declaration by John Cosgrove of Cosgrove Meurer |
| 13 14 | declaration by John Cosgrove of Cosgrove Meurer Productions. |
| | |
| 14 | Productions. |
| 14 | Productions. MR. BOYDSTON: Well no. The apology |
| 14 15 16 | Productions. MR. BOYDSTON: Well no. The apology is mine. May I approach, Your Honor? |
| 14 15 16 17 | Productions. MR. BOYDSTON: Well no. The apology is mine. May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 14 15 16 17 | Productions. MR. BOYDSTON: Well no. The apology is mine. May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE BARRETT: You may. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | Productions. MR. BOYDSTON: Well no. The apology is mine. May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE BARRETT: You may. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. (Pause.) |

| 1 | supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 |
|----|---|
| 2 | doesn't have a second page, it may be like that |
| 3 | version errantly being in 25. |
| 4 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version |
| 5 | has three pages, and it appears to be as the |
| 6 | witness explained it. |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about |
| 8 | Exhibit 25 or 26? |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the |
| 10 | witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, |
| 11 | which was a the second page of a declaration. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. |
| 13 | MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe |
| 14 | that was the only one messed up. |
| 15 | (Off mic comment.) |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: May I continued, Your |
| 17 | Honor? |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 19 | MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at |
| 20 | what's been marked as Exhibit 26, and are you |
| 21 | familiar with that Copyright Office printout? |
| 22 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |

| 1 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 26 for |
|----|---|
| 2 | identification.) |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: All right, yes. |
| 4 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 5 | Q And what title does that relate to? |
| 6 | A Martha Stewart Living and other |
| 7 | titles. But for our purposes, it's Martha |
| 8 | Stewart Living that we're focusing on. |
| 9 | Q And do you know who owns Martha |
| 10 | Stewart Living, or who does this purport to say |
| 11 | owns Martha Stewart? |
| 12 | A Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc. |
| L3 | Q And does IPG have any relationship |
| L4 | with that entity? |
| L5 | A We represented them for calendar year |
| L6 | 2000 exclusively. |
| L7 | Q And have you seen any information and |
| 18 | materials provided by the MPAA which indicate |
| L9 | that a broadcaster is making claim for this |
| 20 | program? |
| 21 | A CBS Broadcasting for the calendar year |
| 22 | 2000, for which we're making claim on behalf of |

| 1 | Martha Stewart Living. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 3 | move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. |
| 4 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 5 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is |
| 7 | admitted. |
| 8 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 9 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 10 | Exhibit No. 26.) |
| 11 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 12 | Please take a look at what's been marked as |
| 13 | Exhibit 27. |
| 14 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 15 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 27 for |
| 16 | identification.) |
| | |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: All right. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: All right. BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| | |
| 18 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 18 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q And that appears to be a declaration |

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q And who does Mr. Cosgrove work for? |
| 3 | A Well, he's a principal of Cosgrove |
| 4 | Meurer Productions. Their claim to fame is |
| 5 | Unsolved Mysteries is a series that they produced |
| 6 | for several years. |
| 7 | Q And does IPG have a relationship with |
| 8 | Mr. Cosgrove's company? |
| 9 | A Yes. We represented them for several |
| 10 | years under a contract. |
| 11 | Q Have you seen materials in the or |
| 12 | information in the MPAA materials that indicate |
| 13 | that a broadcaster is making claim for this in |
| 14 | the programming? |
| 15 | A Yes, actually a variety of entities. |
| 16 | Allied Communications, Equator Films, Westside |
| 17 | Studios and I think CBS Broadcasting is also. |
| 18 | Q Did you bring that to Mr. Cosgrove's |
| 19 | attention? |
| 20 | A Yes, I did. |
| 21 | Q And did Mr. Cosgrove provide you with |
| 22 | this declaration? |

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 3 | move that Exhibit 27 be admitted. |
| 4 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 5 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 27 is |
| 7 | admitted. |
| 8 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 9 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 10 | Exhibit No. 27.) |
| 11 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 12 | Please take a look at what's been marked Exhibit |
| 13 | 28. That appears to be a declaration by Rodney |
| 14 | Jacobs. Are you familiar with Rodney Jacobs? |
| 15 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 16 | document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 28 for |
| 17 | identification.) |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. |
| 19 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 20 | Q And who does Rodney Jacobs worth with |
| 21 | or for? |
| 22 | A He's a principal of Freewheelin' Films |

| 1 | and New Vision Syndication. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q And is that entity does that entity |
| 3 | have a relationship with IPG? |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: Hang on, excuse me. |
| 5 | Go ahead. |
| 6 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 7 | Q Does that entity have a relationship |
| 8 | with IPG? |
| 9 | A They're an IPG-represented client. |
| 10 | Q Have you seen materials in the MPAA |
| 11 | materials which indicate that a broadcaster is |
| 12 | making claim for that entity's programming? |
| 13 | A Yes, ABC, American Broadcasting |
| 14 | Company is attributed ownership of, let's see, |
| 15 | Inside the Ropes at the Open Championship, Inside |
| 16 | the Ropes plain, the Bob Hope Chrysler Classic, |
| 17 | Countdown to Daytona, Mountaintop Ski and |
| 18 | Snowboarding. |
| 19 | I'm sorry, I take that back. It's |
| 20 | only one of those. I think Inside the Ropes at |
| 21 | the Open Championship attributable to ABC |
| 22 | Broadcasting. The other programs I listed are |

| 1 | being attributed to the U.S. Ski and Snowboard |
|----|---|
| 2 | Association and PGA Tour, Inc. |
| 3 | Q Did you bring that to the attention of |
| 4 | Mr. Jacobs? |
| 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | Q Did you discuss with him executing |
| 7 | this declaration? |
| 8 | A Yes, and we also I pointed I |
| 9 | offered a lot of materials, including the and |
| 10 | that's some of stuff attached to his declaration, |
| 11 | the warm sheets that get offered when they go to |
| 12 | television markets like NATPE or MIPCOM, that are |
| 13 | used to hand out two prospective licensees. |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 15 | move that Exhibit 28 be admitted. |
| 16 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 17 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection, sorry. |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 28 is |
| 19 | admitted. |
| 20 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 21 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 22 | Exhibit No. 28.) |

BY MR. BOYDSTON: 1 Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, in 2 the instances we've just been discussing, do you 3 have an understanding as to whether or not a 4 5 broadcaster did in fact broadcast these programs? In most circumstances, there was 6 Α network broadcaster that broadcast these. 7 In other circumstances, it wasn't even met. 8 Let me ask you to pause. 9 Q You say in 10 other circumstances, there wasn't even that. 1.1 Please make that a bit more specific. 12 That was a circumstance, for instance, Α 13 with Singsation. Singsation has always been a 14 syndicated show for 25 years. It's not part of the CBS Network. Nonetheless, CBS was -- CBS 15 Broadcasting 16 was attributed ownership Singsation for one or more years. 17 18 0 And when you say it was attributed, 19 who was attributing that? In the Excel spreadsheet that was 20 Α produced by the MPAA, that linked the programs 21 22 that were being claimed with a particular

claimant, CBS Broadcasting was being attributed 1 ownership of Singsation. Are you aware --MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, there's been Mr. lot of

Galaz has given a lot of the contents of MPAA testimony about an spreadsheet. I'm going to at this point have to object on the basis of best evidence. it's a significant issue, and I'm not objecting on relevance. But I would like to spreadsheet, that Ι have better SO understanding of what he's referring to.

MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, the spreadsheet was produced in discovery to all So it's certainly within the SDC's parties. possession. I don't think there's any mystery about it. Mr. Galaz is testifying as to what he saw there and his summation thereof.

I don't think we always need to put every gazillion page document into evidence It's fair for a witness to say I necessarily. read the gazillion pages. This is what I saw.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 1 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, if it's a |
|----|---|
| 2 | voluminous record, you know, I don't have the |
| 3 | spreadsheet in front of me. If it's a voluminous |
| 4 | record, then a summary of voluminous records is |
| 5 | perfectly acceptable. But a witness ordinarily |
| 6 | is not permitted to just testify about the |
| 7 | contents of a document, without either having the |
| 8 | document present or accounting for its absence. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I don't think |
| 10 | that's a valid objection. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: We do not either have |
| 12 | in front of us the spreadsheet, and there's no |
| 13 | way we can cross-reference that spreadsheet is |
| 14 | done, this 40 minutes of oral testimony. |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: May I ask a question of |
| 16 | the witness to clarify the base of his knowledge? |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 18 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 19 | Q Mr. Galaz, please give us the basis |
| 20 | for the knowledge you've been expressing here for |
| 21 | the last 40 minutes? |
| 22 | A Certainly it's by running searches of |

| 1 | that spreadsheet. To respond to one point that |
|----|---|
| 2 | was made, it can't be summarized. It lists every |
| 3 | program for every claimant for every year, and as |
| 4 | I was indicating early on in my testimony, |
| 5 | there's 44,000 of those. |
| 6 | JUDGE STRICKLER: I know it couldn't |
| 7 | be you say it couldn't be summarized, but it |
| 8 | could be excerpted, couldn't it, to identify the |
| 9 | lines that you are testifying to. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: It could be excerpted, |
| 11 | where I could print out and format it, in order |
| 12 | to actually put on a sheet. But it would have to |
| 13 | be formatted to then, and I guess falling to that |
| 14 | particular sheet to show that, and we could do |
| 15 | that. |
| 16 | JUDGE STRICKLER: But you didn't do |
| 17 | it? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: I didn't think it was |
| 19 | necessary. |
| 20 | JUDGE STRICKLER: How many lines will |
| 21 | you be required to excerpt and manipulate |
| 22 | computer-wise in that manner? |

| 1 | THE WITNESS: Well, the document |
|----|---|
| 2 | itself, as I was indicating, has 44,000 and I |
| 3 | guess divided by 50, however many pages that |
| 4 | would be that we would be going through and |
| 5 | printing out whatever pages were being addressed, |
| 6 | particularly by these particular challenges. |
| 7 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Well the challenge |
| 8 | I'm sorry. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: Go ahead. |
| 10 | JUDGE STRICKLER: The challenges |
| 11 | you're referring to are all the ones that you've |
| 12 | just testified to, right? |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. |
| 14 | JUDGE STRICKLER: So how many? I |
| 15 | wasn't keeping count. How many are we talking |
| 16 | about, ballpark? |
| 17 | MR. BOYDSTON: I'd say ballpark. |
| 18 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. So you would |
| 19 | need to excerpt from this voluminous Excel |
| 20 | spreadsheet? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, or we could |
| 22 | produce it, I suppose, if the Judges would |

prefer, because maybe it would enlighten them 1 about, that we could simply give them what was 2 provided to us, which is a single program -- not 3 a single program. A single file that can be 4 It has multiple tabs, 2000, 5 opened in Excel. 2001, 2002 and all the information that I've 6 7 described previously. May I ask if we can 8 JUDGE BARRETT: 9 identify the spreadsheet? The Judges did request a spreadsheet with specifics about claims. 10 might have been there spreadsheets that 11 12 involved here. I mean we're discussing a spreadsheet, 13 and I think spreadsheets have been, you know, 14 lousy in this or this proceeding has been lousy 15 So let's ask the witness 16 with spreadsheets. 17 which spreadsheet. We might have it. I will, Your Honor. 18 MR. BOYDSTON: JUDGE BARRETT: It doesn't make it any 19 20 easier for us to peruse it or to find what Mr. 21 Galaz is testifying about, but we might have it.

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

| 1 | Q Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, can you |
|----|--|
| 2 | identify the spreadsheet? |
| 3 | A If I could actually get up and pull |
| 4 | something on my desktop, I can actually give you |
| 5 | the description of how it was provided to us |
| 6 | electronically. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor I |
| 8 | JUDGE BARRETT: Just do you know |
| 9 | whether it is it was produced to you as a |
| 10 | discovery response, or if it was copied to you |
| 11 | because it was provided to the Judges? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: It was produced in |
| 13 | discovery pursuant to the Judge's July 30, 2014 |
| 14 | order. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: I observe its 2:31. |
| 17 | Theoretically we could do this on a break. |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Well then keep going, |
| 19 | because we're going til 4:30. Let's not break |
| 20 | this early in the afternoon. During the break, |
| 21 | if you want to do a little more digging, Mr. |
| 22 | Boydston, you may. |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick, I'm |
| 3 | sorry. I beg your indulgence. Is the O in your |
| 4 | name a long O or a short O? |
| 5 | MS. PLOVNICK: Just Plov-nick. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Plovnick, thank you. |
| 7 | Ms. Plovnick. |
| 8 | MS. PLOVNICK: Yeah. I was just going |
| 9 | to say, the particular discovery production, the |
| 10 | cover letter showing where it was produced was |
| 11 | MPAA Exhibit 333, which was already produced, and |
| 12 | has the name of the file and the date we produced |
| 13 | it in discovery. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. But |
| 15 | that doesn't mean the Judges have it. |
| 16 | MS. PLOVNICK: I know, Your Honor. |
| 17 | But just for purposes of clarifying, I think that |
| 18 | is not the file that |
| 19 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank for that. We |
| 20 | have a rule, 351.10(c)(3) about summary exhibits, |
| 21 | and it says in part "The contents of voluminous |
| 22 | writings, recordings or photographs which cannot |

| 1 | conveniently be examined in the hearing, may be |
|----|--|
| 2 | presented in the form of a chart, summary or |
| 3 | calculation." |
| 4 | The witness sounds like he's done a |
| 5 | summary of the excerpts that he's been talking |
| 6 | about, and the rule goes on and says "The |
| 7 | originals or duplicates shall be made available |
| 8 | for examination or copying or both by other |
| 9 | parties at a reasonable time and place." |
| 10 | Now in this particular case, the |
| 11 | documents in question, the Excel spreadsheet, is |
| 12 | something that you provided in discovery. |
| 13 | MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 14 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you have access |
| 15 | to it electronically now? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor. |
| 17 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, let me just |
| 18 | have her answer. |
| 19 | MS. PLOVNICK: I mean we could obtain |
| 20 | a copy. We have one laptop here. I did not |
| 21 | bring a disk or anything with it on with me. |
| 22 | However, our offices are in D.C. We could obtain |

a copy. We might be able to pull it up on our 1 2 laptop. JUDGE STRICKLER: I think we're going 3 to have to talk about this during the break 4 amongst ourselves. 5 But mγ point was necessarily that we need to see it. 6 7 But the point, as it relates generally 8 to summary exhibits or in this case, apparently 9 testimony, to the extent that's 10 sufficient, is that you have an opportunity to 11 cross-examine, to determine whether or not the 12 summaries, excerpted summary can be attacked or 13 impeached in any way, by having the underlying document. 14 15 Since they're your underlying 16 documents and you have access to them --17 MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor, and we 18 19 JUDGE STRICKLER: Let me just finish You're not necessarily hamstrung by the 20 21 witness' testimony about this, summarizing 22 excerpts, because you have the whole thing within

| 1 | your own files. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. PLOVNICK: This wasn't our |
| 3 | objection. |
| 4 | (Laughter.) |
| 5 | MS. PLOVNICK: We do have it, yes Your |
| 6 | Honor, and we did produce it, and I believe we |
| 7 | produced it to SDC. |
| 8 | JUDGE STRICKLER: I'm advised that it |
| 9 | was not your objection. So let me direct the |
| 10 | line of questioning, then, to Mr. MacLean. Mr. |
| 11 | MacLean, you can do the same, right? |
| 12 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, it was my |
| 13 | objection. I did not mean to sidetrack the |
| 14 | proceedings. |
| 15 | (Simultaneous speaking.) |
| 16 | MR. MACLEAN: And at this point, I |
| 17 | will withdraw the objection. |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Go ahead, |
| 19 | Mr. Boydston. |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: Has Exhibit 28 been |
| 21 | admitted? That was a long way back to where we |
| 22 | started. |

| 1 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 28 is |
|----|---|
| 2 | admitted. |
| 3 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 4 | Let me ask you to take a look at |
| 5 | Exhibit 29. |
| 6 | MR. GALAZ: All right. |
| 7 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 8 | Q And Exhibit 29 appears to be it's |
| 9 | entitled Notice Regarding Programing of |
| 10 | Watercourse Road Productions. And it is |
| 11 | essentially a testimonial by Tom Moyer. |
| 12 | Are you familiar with Mr. Moyer? |
| 13 | A Very well. |
| 14 | Q And are you familiar with Watercourse |
| 15 | Road Productions? |
| 16 | A Very well. |
| 17 | Q And is there a relationship between |
| 18 | those two? |
| 19 | A Tom Moyer is the principal of |
| 20 | Watercourse Road Productions. This document was |
| 21 | actually filed in the 2000 and 2003 proceedings |
| 22 | at the request of Mr. Moyer who was if the Judges |

| 1 | may recall going to be one of the witnesses at |
|----|---|
| 2 | the final distribution hearing. |
| 3 | But ultimately his testimony was |
| 4 | disallowed. |
| 5 | Q And does IPG have a relationship with |
| 6 | Watercourse Road Productions? |
| 7 | A They've been a long time IPG |
| 8 | represented claimant. |
| 9 | Q Are you aware of what programming |
| LO | Watercourse Road Productions owns? |
| L1 | A It has a single program entitled |
| L2 | Critter Gitters that has been on air since I |
| L3 | think 1998 through the better part of the first |
| L4 | decade of you know, 2003, 2010 I believe. |
| L5 | Q And did Litton and IPG ever have a |
| L6 | contractual relationship? |
| L7 | A Litton Syndications was ·also |
| 8. | represented by IPG. And it was also I think, |
| L9 | perhaps the second or third client that we ever |
| 20 | had. |
| 21 | Q And when IPG entered into an agreement |
| 22 | with Litton, did IPG obtain from Litton details |

| 1 | about what program Litton owned or didn't own? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Yes. |
| 3 | Q Or was had rights to? |
| 4 | A Yes. The contract that was entered |
| 5 | into between IPG and Litton had some specified |
| 6 | which program they had at that point in time. |
| 7 | And Critter Gitters was one of them. |
| 8 | Q And for what years did Litton have the |
| 9 | rights to Critter Gitters? |
| 10 | A Allow me to refresh my recollection. |
| 11 | The contract between IPG and Litton, Litton |
| 12 | specifically specified that it only had rights |
| 13 | through June 1999. |
| 14 | Q Now in review of the MPAA documents, |
| 15 | and the spreadsheet et cetera, have you seen any |
| 16 | indication of any entities making claim for the |
| 17 | program Critter Gitters? |
| 18 | A Critter Gitters is attributed for each |
| 19 | and all years to Litton Syndications. And that |
| 20 | was actually the case also in 2000 and 2003 |
| 21 | proceedings, which is what raised the ire of Mr. |
| 22 | Moyer and resulted in him preparing the document |

| 1 | we were just looking at that ultimately got |
|----|---|
| 2 | banned from the record. And which he was very |
| 3 | animated about. |
| 4 | Q Well I think it's obvious to me why it |
| 5 | raised his ire. But perhaps did he explain |
| 6 | MR. MacLEAN: Objection. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: I haven't gotten it out |
| 8 | yet. |
| 9 | Did he explain to you what raised his |
| 10 | ire? |
| 11 | MR. GALAZ: Yes. |
| 12 | MR. MacLEAN: Move to strike what is |
| 13 | obviously Mr. Boydston's. |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: Fair enough, |
| 15 | stipulated. |
| 16 | Did he explain that? |
| 17 | MR. GALAZ: Yes. He indicated as is |
| 18 | consistent with the agreement that IPG had |
| 19 | entered into with Litton Syndications that Litton |
| 20 | only had a relationship with him for a brief |
| 21 | period of time. I think he said that they were |
| 22 | distributor for one year. And consistent |

therewith that their rights concluded in June 1 1999. 2 Subsequent to that Mr. Moyer 3 Watercourse Road Productions was a -- they self-4 5 distributed the program Critter Gitters. they're the owner, they're the distributor, 6 7 there's literally no one else in the chain of title or having anything else to do with it. 8 So when they discovered that in the 9 1.0 2000 and 2003 proceeds that it was being claimed 11 behalf of Litton, and continues 12 attributed to Litton and claimed by Litton in 1.3 these proceedings, throughout these proceedings, he's obviously upset. 14 15 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 16 Now once again, you said that IPG had 17 an agreement with Critter Gitters for one year, 18 '98, '99 I think you said one of those. 19 Critter Gitters was properly being credited to Litton at that time, correct? 20 21 Α You misspoke. What we had. an Litton Syndications. 22 agreement with The

| 1 | agreement with Litton Syndications reflects on |
|----|--|
| 2 | the face of the document that their rights to |
| 3 | Critter Gitters expire in June 1999. |
| 4 | Q And yet what you've seen in the MPAA |
| 5 | information is that despite that for these years |
| 6 | since then, they continue to receive the credit. |
| 7 | A Every year. |
| 8 | Q And that's why Mr. Moyer is miffed? |
| 9 | A Correct. |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 11 | move Exhibit 29 be entered into evidence. |
| 12 | MS. PLOVNICK: I have an objection |
| 13 | Your Honor. The bottom of the first page of IPG |
| 14 | Exhibit 29, there is a legal conclusion at the |
| 15 | bottom of that page and the sentence continuing |
| 16 | over to the following page. |
| 17 | So we think that this is improper |
| 18 | opinion testimony by a fact witness that isn't |
| 19 | present. |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: I think I stipulated to |
| 21 | that. You're referring to the underscored |
| 22 | portion? |

| 1 | MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: I would stipulate that |
| 3 | that be stricken. |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Is it admitted |
| 6 | otherwise? |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. Exhibit 29 is |
| 8 | admitted with the last sentence on the first page |
| 9 | carrying over to the second page. Actually the |
| 10 | first clause of the last sentence, beginning on |
| 11 | the bottom of the first page carrying over to the |
| 12 | top of the second page stricken. |
| 13 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 14 | document was received into |
| 15 | evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 29.) |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 17 | Mr. Galaz, please take a look at |
| 18 | what's been marked as Exhibit 30. |
| 19 | MR. GALAZ: All right. |
| 20 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 21 | Q And tell me, are you familiar with |
| 22 | that document? |

| 1 | A Yes I am. This is the agreement that |
|----|--|
| 2 | I was just referring to in my testimony, the |
| 3 | original agreement between Worldwide Subsidy |
| 4 | Group and Litton Syndications. |
| 5 | And the specific aspect that I was |
| 6 | referring to is the last page of it which |
| 7 | identifies the schedule of programs for which |
| 8 | Litton was making claim. Is, if you see the next |
| 9 | to last one, it indicates Critter Gitters until |
| 10 | June 15, 1999. |
| 11 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 12 | move that Exhibit 30 be admitted. |
| 13 | MS. PLOVNICK: We have no objection. |
| 14 | MR. MacLEAN: No objection, Your |
| 15 | Honor. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 30 is |
| 17 | admitted. |
| 18 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 19 | document was received into |
| 20 | evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 30.) |
| 21 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 22 | Please take a look at what's been |

| 1 | marked as Exhibit 31. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. GALAZ: All right. |
| 3 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 4 | Q And are you familiar with that |
| 5 | document? |
| 6 | A Yes I am. |
| 7 | Q It purports to be the declaration of |
| 8 | Steven Hoyt of an entity called Global Response. |
| 9 | Are you familiar with Mr. Hoyt and Global |
| 10 | Response? |
| 11 | A Yes I am. |
| 12 | Q Is Global Response does Global |
| 13 | Response have a relationship with IPG? |
| 14 | A Yes, as I think most of these |
| 15 | declarations are predicated on that, it's |
| 16 | referenced in his paragraph three. |
| 17 | Q And are you familiar with programming |
| 18 | for Global Response, LLC? |
| 19 | A Yes. They only had a single program, |
| 20 | Jaw Droppers. |
| 21 | Q And at some point did you see |
| 22 | information in the MPAA materials that indicated |

| 1 | that an entity other than Global Response was |
|----|---|
| 2 | being credited with Jaw Droppers? |
| 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Q And what was that? |
| 5 | A Hawthorne Communications. |
| 6 | Q And did you bring that to the |
| 7 | attention of Mr. Hoyt? |
| 8 | A Yes. |
| 9 | Q And did he confirm that that was the |
| 10 | case or disagree? |
| 11 | A He confirmed that ownership has always |
| 12 | been with Global Response, LLC. He was actually |
| 13 | familiar with Hawthorne Communications and |
| 14 | indicated that they'd been hired to render |
| 15 | production services in connection with the |
| 16 | production of the infomercial Jaw Droppers. |
| 17 | But that was the only basis of their |
| 18 | communication the only basis of their |
| 19 | involvement. |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 21 | move to admit Exhibit 31. |
| 22 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |

| 1 | MR. MacLEAN: No objection. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is |
| 3 | admitted. |
| 4 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 5 | document was received into |
| 6 | evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 8 | Please take a look at what's been |
| 9 | marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a |
| 10 | declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you |
| 11 | familiar with this document? Strike that. |
| 12 | Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? |
| 13 | MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with |
| 14 | Ms. Millen. |
| 15 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 16 | Q And I believe her company is IWV, is |
| 17 | that correct. |
| 18 | A She's got several companies. IWV |
| 19 | Media Group is one of them. |
| 20 | Q And is IWV Media Group an IPG |
| 21 | claimant? |
| 22 | A Yes. We've represented IWV for |

| 1 | several years as her other companies as well. |
|----------------|--|
| 2 | Q And at some point did you come to the |
| 3 | conclusion from looking at the MPAA materials |
| 4 | that some entity other than IWV Media Group was |
| 5 | making claim to IWV Media Group programming? |
| 6 | A Yes. |
| 7 | Q And what was that? |
| 8 | A Specifically the program Healthy |
| 9 | Living was being attributed to Transworld |
| 10 | International. |
| 11 | Q Did you bring that to Ms. Millen's |
| 12 | attention? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q And did she affirm or deny that? |
| 15 | |
| | A She affirmed that Healthy Living was |
| 16 | A She affirmed that Healthy Living was |
| 16 17 | A She affirmed that Healthy Living was MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Hearsay. |
| | |
| 17 | MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Hearsay. |
| 17 | MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Hearsay. JUDGE BARRETT: Is this information in |
| 17 18 19 | MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Hearsay. JUDGE BARRETT: Is this information in the declaration? |

information is consistent with the that 1 declaration, is that correct? 2 MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. 3 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move 4 to admit Exhibit 32? 5 The objection б JUDGE BARRETT: 7 sustained. Ms. --I have an additional MS. PLOVNICK: 8 objection. And this is regarding the numbered 9 10 paragraph three. She makes a reference to having 11 reviewed the competing program claim information. 12 And that's not attached. 13 And it's also, I raise it specifically with regard to this entity because I think as 14 you'll see later, there's some confusion over the 15 16 names of these titles and whether or not what is 17 being you know, MPAA attributed to Transworld is in fact the same program that IWV Media Group is 18 claiming. 19 And so we don't know exactly what she 20 reviewed to come to the conclusion that it was 21 22 her program. So this is incomplete. And we'd

| 1 | object on that basis. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I ask |
| 3 | some questions and lay that further foundation? |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 5 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 6 | Q Did you provide Ms. Millen with any |
| 7 | materials when you discussed this other entity |
| 8 | with her? |
| 9 | A Yes I did. |
| 10 | Q And what did you provide her? |
| 11 | A It was in fact excerpts from the Excel |
| 12 | spreadsheet that had been provided to us by the |
| 13 | Motion Picture Association of America. It |
| 14 | indicated that Transworld International was |
| 15 | making claim not just for Healthy Leaving, but |
| 16 | for Healthy Leaving Parenting and Beyond, which I |
| 17 | would argue is not an IWV Media Group Production, |
| 18 | but Five Star Productions, another IPG |
| 19 | represented claimant. |
| 20 | But then they were also making claim |
| 21 | for the Healthy Living series that is owned by |
| 22 | IWV Media Group. |

| 1 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, at this |
|----|---|
| 2 | point I am going to renew my objection based on |
| 3 | best evidence. That excerpt was not provided to |
| 4 | us in discovery and it should have been. |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: May I ask when the |
| 6 | excerpt was obtained? I don't think it was |
| 7 | consistent with the discovery production. But I |
| 8 | may ask questions to establish a foundation. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. |
| 10 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 11 | Q The date I know that the date of |
| 12 | this declaration is October 13, 2014. Do you |
| 13 | recall roughly at what point in time you provided |
| 14 | Ms. Millen with the materials you just described? |
| 15 | A Yes. It was approximate to the |
| 16 | declaration. And it was only in response to the |
| 17 | issues that were raised by the MPAA rebuttal. |
| 18 | As I indicated, it was information to |
| 19 | confirm the MPAA produced Excel spreadsheet that |
| 20 | came mid-August following the Judge's July 30 |
| 21 | Order. And the while the excerpt wasn't |
| 22 | that page wasn't printed out and given to any |

particular party, the Excel spreadsheet was 1 produced by the MPAA to all parties. 2 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, just for a 3 point of clarification, this declaration is dated 4 5 October 13, 2014. But MPAA's written rebuttal statement was filed on October 15, 2014. 6 7 So this, I think was in IPG's written 8 rebuttal statement, not responding to MPAA's. And Your Honor, I want 9 MR. MacLEAN: 10 to point out first of all, the parties have continuing discovery obligations. The date when 11 this excerpt was produced or was generated, is 12 13 irrelevant to the question of whether it should 14 have been produced. Secondly, my objection is not only a 15 it's objection, evidentiary 16 discovery an 17 objection, best evidence. should -if Wе there's going to be testimony about a summary of 18 19 voluminous documents that summary should be in front of us so that the parties can read it. 20 don't read the Judge's rules 21

regarding summaries of voluminous records

| 1 | meaning a witness can just orally testify about |
|----|---|
| 2 | the contents of voluminous documents. I read it |
| 3 | to say that the summary itself will be the |
| 4 | document. |
| 5 | And it's not a substitute. It's not |
| 6 | to allow witnesses to simply testify. We need |
| 7 | that summary. The summary that these witnesses |
| 8 | are testifying to. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor oh, I'm |
| 10 | sorry. |
| 11 | MS. PLOVNICK: I was just going to |
| 12 | say. We certainly have the whole spreadsheet. |
| 13 | So, and I think maybe that's not a question. |
| 14 | We just don't know what Ms. Millen reviewed. |
| 15 | I think that's my objection. Is that |
| 16 | I don't know what was shown to Ms. Millen. I |
| 17 | know what the whole 44 the whole record looks |
| 18 | like, but I don't know what she saw. |
| 19 | JUDGE STRICKLER: I think that |
| 20 | objection is well placed. But she does say in |
| 21 | paragraph four of her certification, she does |
| 22 | have this to say, identifies two particular |

series, Healthy Living and Healthy Living Mysteries of the Mind.

Now she claims that she's been shown or sees a conflict between different documents as to who is entitled to make the claim on her company's behalf. There is no information in there to tell us what she relied on.

So it may well be the case that IPG has to prove that they -- or assert that there was a conflict. And then you can rebut that as to whether there indeed was a conflict.

But her self-serving statement without more, will be given whatever weight we can give it in the absence of anything to corroborate it. But it may be it's not a question of best evidence, it's more a question of other -- there is other evidence that supports or does not support the allegation of a conflict.

MR. MacLEAN: Well, Your Honor, I do think it's a question of best evidence because she is testifying to the contents of whatever it was she was provided. I recognize that there are

statements in this declaration that do not 1 testify to the contents of the document. 2 My objection is addressed particularly 3 to paragraph three and five of the declaration. 4 I will also say I cannot quite make out what 5 paragraph six says in my copy. But at any rate, 6 7 I object to paragraphs three and five and we move to strike. 8 MR. BOYDSTON: Why five? I understand 9 10 I don't see anything in five that refers to the other materials. 11 The first -- well, I 12 MR. MacLEAN: 13 would say I would move to strike the first sentence of paragraph five, which at least in 14 context appears to me to be based on something 15 16 she saw in a summary that was produce to her, 17 that was saw by her. BOYDSTON: Well, there's also 18 MR. evidence that it was explained to her. 19 told to her. 20 She named JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me. 21 22 then she said royalties programs and two

| 1 | attributable to each of the foregoing series. I |
|----|---|
| 2 | take that as a direct reference to the preceding |
| 3 | paragraph. |
| 4 | And you know, I could be wrong. We |
| 5 | can only look at what we have. We can only |
| 6 | interpret it and give it whatever weight is |
| 7 | appropriate under the circumstances. |
| 8 | So Exhibit 32 is admitted and the |
| 9 | Judges will weigh it and determine whether it has |
| 10 | value or not. Probative value. |
| 11 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 12 | document was received into |
| 13 | evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 32.) |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 15 | Please take a look at what's been |
| 16 | marked as Exhibit 33. It purports to be a |
| 17 | declaration by Jonathan Plowman of Questar. |
| 18 | MR. GALAZ: Questar. |
| 19 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 20 | Q Are you familiar with this person and |
| 21 | entity? |
| 22 | A Yes I am. |

| 1 | Q And is Questar a claimant, an IPG |
|----|--|
| 2 | claimant? |
| 3 | A Yes. They have been for Questar |
| 4 | has been an IPG represented claimant for several |
| 5 | years. |
| 6 | Q And what programming do they own as |
| 7 | far as has been explained to you? |
| 8 | A They have an extensive array of |
| 9 | programming. The one that's at issue for |
| 10 | purposes of this proceeding was just the program |
| 11 | It's a Miracle. Which was being claimed |
| 12 | according to again, the spreadsheet that was |
| 13 | given to us by the MPAA, by DTG Communications. |
| 14 | Q And did you bring that to the |
| 15 | attention of Mr. Plowman? |
| 16 | A Yes. And in response thereto he |
| 17 | prepared this declaration for me. |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 19 | move that Exhibit 33 be admitted. |
| 20 | MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I have two |
| 21 | objections. One is just to renew my objection to |
| 22 | paragraph three. It's the exact same language. |

| 1 | It's the same objection. |
|----------|---|
| 2 | And then I also further object that |
| 3 | this document is not dated and therefore it is |
| 4 | incomplete and unclear. |
| 5 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, it is |
| 6. | undated, but it's clear it was made sometime in |
| 7 | October 2014. And I'm not sure if lack of a more |
| 8 | specific date is of any consequence. But you can |
| 9 | put that into the weight I suppose. |
| 10 | MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, may I have |
| 11 | a word here. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: You may. |
| 13 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 14 | BY MR. MACLEAN: |
| 15 | Q Mr. Galaz I believe you just said this |
| 16 | |
| I | declaration was prepared by Mr. Plowman? |
| 17 | declaration was prepared by Mr. Plowman? A After speaking with him, the form was |
| 17 18 | |
| | A After speaking with him, the form was |
| 18 | A After speaking with him, the form was sent to him for his review and revision to the |
| 18 | A After speaking with him, the form was sent to him for his review and revision to the extent it was necessary. |

| 1 | certain form. Parties don't necessarily know how |
|----|---|
| 2 | to prepare a declaration and what needs to be in |
| 3 | a declaration. |
| 4 | So we would prepare the declaration |
| 5 | for them with the necessary language. And submit |
| 6 | that to them. |
| 7 | Q And you mean you would prepare? |
| 8 | A I did, personally, yes. |
| 9 | Q You did personally? |
| 10 | A I did personally. |
| 11 | Q Okay. And when you say a form, you |
| 12 | mean you put the caption on the top, the word |
| 13 | declaration, signature line on the bottom? |
| 14 | A It would be actually the caption, I |
| 15 | think what I gave to parties would say I blank, |
| 16 | swear under penalty of perjury the following is |
| 17 | true and correct. I know that I would never know |
| 18 | who was going to I would have the date October |
| 19 | blank because it was during October. |
| 20 | I wouldn't know who necessarily was |
| 21 | going to be executing it. Some of the parties |
| 22 | revised their's to type in their name rather than |

| 1 | have a printed name. They just simply typed it |
|----|---|
| 2 | in themselves. They would make revisions to the |
| 3 | form themselves. |
| 4 | Q And the remainder of the content of |
| 5 | the declaration, would you provide as part of the |
| 6 | draft that you created? |
| 7 | .A I'm sure paragraph one was part of the |
| 8 | form. Paragraph two, probably started off, I am |
| 9 | an authorized representative of blank. And then |
| 10 | the rest was all subject to the conversations |
| 11 | that we had with the particular party that we |
| 12 | were asking to execute a declaration. |
| 13 | Q And the rest would be drafted by you |
| 14 | at least initially? |
| 15 | A In some cases yes. In some cases no. |
| 16 | Q Okay well, we're talking specifically. |
| 17 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I believe |
| 18 | that we are under the clock here and we're trying |
| 19 | to get out of here by Thursday, tomorrow. And I |
| 20 | think you've voir dired the heck out of this |
| 21 | issue. |
| 22 | MR. MacLEAN: We're talking about IPG |

| 1 | 33 was this one. Was the content of this |
|----|---|
| 2 | declaration drafted by you? |
| 3 | MR. GALAZ: I don't recall. I don't |
| 4 | recall if I did it following a conversation with |
| 5 | him or then sent it to Questar and had them fill |
| 6 | it in. I just don't recall. |
| 7 | MR. MacLEAN: No objection to this |
| 8 | Exhibit, Your Honor. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 33 is |
| 10 | admitted. |
| 11 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 12 | document was received into |
| 13 | evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 33.) |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 15 | Mr. Galaz, obviously what we've just |
| 16 | seen are a number of IPG represented claimants |
| 17 | for whom their programs are being claimed by the |
| 18 | MPAA through a broadcaster or another entity. |
| 19 | Have you done a calculation as to how many, not |
| 20 | just the ones we've discussed, but how many total |
| 21 | you found like that? |
| 22 | MR. GALAZ: We found I mean the |

calculations that we put in is that I think of our group of represented claimants 98 of them have one or more of their claimed programs also being claimed by the MPAA. I'm refreshing my recollection by looking at my declaration of those, there's 1,872 program year combinations.

And of that 1,872, 761 of them are being attributed to entities for which there is literally paper between the purported no copyright owner and the MPAA. Not an identification of titles, not a contract, not an item of correspondence. Literally nothing.

That's one calculation that's been done. With regard to the other calculations that you were asking me about, it's almost too difficult to do.

When you go through this and you start seeing the number of circumstances in which a program is being attributed to some that you just know is not correct. And we attempted to present exemplars so to speak. Because we couldn't get to all of them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 1 | If we were going to all of them, |
|----|---|
| 2 | literally you know, with focusing on copyright |
| 3 | registrations and this and that, it would have, I |
| 4 | mean it could take a year. |
| 5 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 6 | Q Thank you. Now at some point in time |
| 7 | IPG and Fintage Publishing well, strike that. |
| 8 | At some point in time did IPG and |
| 9 | Fintage Publishing have an agreement with each |
| 10 | other? |
| 11 | A Yes. We were representing their U.S. |
| 12 | interest and they were representing we were |
| 13 | representing their North American interest and |
| 14 | they were representing our interests in Europe. |
| 15 | Q In that regard did IPG and Fintage |
| 16 | jointly enter into contracts with certain |
| 17 | programmers? |
| 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | Q Were some of those programmers Spanish |
| 20 | language programmers? |
| 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q Do you recall any of them? |

| 1 | A Two in particular. TV Azteca and |
|----|---|
| 2 | Televisa. They were in fact clients that were |
| 3 | secured by me following my visit to their offices |
| 4 | in Mexico City. |
| 5 | Q Let me ask you to take a look at |
| 6 | what's been marked as Exhibit 34. Do you |
| 7 | recognize that document? |
| 8 | A Yes. It was the contract that was |
| 9 | entered into between the joint venture of Fintage |
| 10 | WSG and Televisa. |
| 11 | Q And |
| 12 | A And you can see my signature on, I |
| 13 | believe the third page. |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to |
| 15 | move that Exhibit 34 be admitted. |
| 16 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 17 | MR. MacLEAN: No objection. |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 34 is |
| 19 | admitted. |
| 20 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 21 | document was received into |
| 22 | evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 34.) |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Now at some point did those entities |
| 3 | excuse me, before I do that. Please take a |
| 4 | look at what's been marked as Exhibit 35 and tell |
| 5 | me if you recognize that? |
| 6 | MR. GALAZ: That was the agreement |
| 7 | entered into between the joint venture of Fintage |
| 8 | WSG and TV Azteca. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Now at some point were |
| 10 | these two oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor, I move to |
| 11 | admit Exhibit 35. |
| 12 | MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. |
| 13 | MR. MacLEAN: No objection. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: 35? |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: At some point in time |
| 16 | were these agreements terminated? |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, did we |
| 18 | were looking at 34 and you asked for admission of |
| 19 | 35? |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: I did, I'm sorry, Your |
| 21 | Honor, I |
| | |

| 1 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. I was asking for |
|----|--|
| 2 | the admission of 35. |
| 3 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And there's no |
| 4 | objection to that as well? |
| 5 | MS. PLOVNICK: No. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 35 is admitted. |
| 7 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 8 | document was received into |
| 9 | evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 35.) |
| 10 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 11 | Q At some point in time are you aware |
| 12 | as to whether or not at some point in time these |
| 13 | two contracts were terminated? |
| 14 | A · Yes. |
| L5 | Q And when did that happen? |
| 16 | A It was I recall, following my |
| 17 | incarceration. I can actually picture the |
| 18 | letters sitting on my desk. And a little |
| L9 | background is we had parted ways with Fintage at |
| 20 | some point in I believe 2002/2003. |
| 21 | And had agreed that the benefits of |
| 22 | this contract could be continued to be held by |

Fintage. We basically wanted a divorce. 1 said we're going to take these and you can take 2 And that was the agreement. 3 Nonetheless, I received a notice of 4 And why it caught my 5 termination of this. attention so much was that one of them was very, 6 7 very harshly worded. And this was probably a long time, a couple of years after we had already 8 separated ways with Fintage. 9 10 Nonetheless, we had received the termination notice along with Fintage. 11 Do you remember approximately when 12 Q 13 that was? I was trying to narrow it down before 14 because I can actually recall seeing it on my 1.5 desk in a house that I was living in in 2006 to 16 17 2007. So I know at that point, by that point, it had already been in and it might have been 18 sometime earlier then that. 19 The only clear date that I had in my 20 mind is that it was -- that it post-dated my 21 22 incarceration by a year or two.

| 1 | Q Now during the time that Fintage and |
|----|--|
| 2 | IPG were working together, did it enter into any |
| 3 | agreements with EGEDA? |
| 4 | A We also entered into an agreement with |
| 5 | EGEDA. |
| 6 | Q And did EGEDA what was the nature |
| 7 | of that and what did EGEDA do? |
| 8 | A Well, it was it was similar to the |
| 9 | extent that we were going to represent EGEDA to |
| 10 | the extent that they had any right to the |
| 11 | extent that they had any rights outside of the |
| 12 | United States as an agent, we were going to |
| 13 | represent those interests. |
| 14 | Q Going back to Televisa SA and TV |
| 15 | Azteca, you explained that you got termi you |
| 16 | got a notice of termination in 2006 or 2007. In |
| 17 | reviewing the MPAA materials that you were |
| 18 | provided with, did you see any indication or any |
| 19 | evidence that Fintage was being accorded the |
| 20 | right to collect royalties on behalf of Televisa |
| 21 | SA and TV Azteca? |
| 22 | A Yes I did. The evidence that was |

produced in discovery was that the programming 1 claimed by Televisa and TV -- or I should say 2 accorded to Televisa and TV Azteca was being 3 4 claimed by Fintage. TV Azteca and Televisa of course don't 5 have contracts with the MPAA. Fintage has a 6 No termination notices 7 contract with the MPAA. were provided even though I know that they exist. 8 And quite frankly, I would have them, 9 10 but for the fact that we no longer represented Televisa and TV Azteca, and I saw no reason to 11 keep them. However, I recall them. And the one 12 that I told you about that I recall in particular 13 14 was with Televisa, because it was very, very 15 harshly worded. Now, getting over to --16 17 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, I'm We're going to take a break at this time. 18 sorry. for 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. Just 20 everyone's planning, I have just -- not that I I just have a couple of want to keep going. 21 22 questions left and then I will be done with Mr.

| 1 | Galaz. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 15 |
| 3 | minutes. |
| 4 | (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter |
| 5 | went off the record at 3:02 p.m. and |
| 6 | resumed at 3:22 p.m.) |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. Mr. |
| 8 | Boydston. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 10 | I think when we broke, we were talking about the |
| 11 | empty EGEDA and the fact that the IPG-Fintage |
| 12 | joint venture had entered into an agreement with |
| 13 | EGEDA, do you recall that? |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. |
| 15 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 16 | Q And pursuant to that agreement, what |
| 17 | did EGEDA do? |
| 18 | A Well, EGEDA gave us the identity of |
| 19 | the various entities with which it had |
| 20 | affiliated, that is, according to they claim |
| 21 | in the United States they were all |
| 22 | Spanish-language entities, and I think my |

| 1 | recollection was that they were almost all from |
|----|---|
| 2 | Spain. There were a few other Spanish-language |
| 3 | territories, but generally, they were all from |
| 4 | Spain. |
| 5 | Q Now when IPG and EGEDA and Fintage, |
| 6 | rather, split apart, who took over the duties to |
| 7 | deal with the EGEDA properties in the United |
| 8 | States, Fintage or IPG? |
| 9 | · A Fintage. We went through our |
| 10 | basically went through a divorce with them and |
| 11 | went through any claim to the EGEDA contract. |
| 12 | Q Now did EGEDA ever provide IPG and |
| 13 | Fintage with evidence showing that it indeed had |
| 14 | contractual rights with the entities it purported |
| 15 | to represent? |
| 16 | A No. |
| 17 | Q And what were some |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry did whom? |
| 19 | MR. BOYDSTON: Sorry, Your Honor. I |
| 20 | was referring to this entity EGEDA, which is a |
| 21 | Spanish identity |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, all right. I |

| 1 | just |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: and whether or not |
| 3 | they ever identified or provided IPG and |
| 4 | Fintage with evidence to show that they actually |
| 5 | had contractual relationships with the property |
| 6 | owners they claimed to represent. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: And the answer was no, |
| 8 | they have not. |
| 9 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 10 | Q And do you recall the names of some of |
| 11 | those entities? |
| 12 | A No, actually, I don't. There's, |
| 13 | literally, there's lots of them. |
| 14 | Q Have you seen in looking at the MPAA |
| 15 | information that we've been discussing here |
| 16 | today, have you seen the names of some of those |
| 17 | entities did you recognize the names of some |
| 18 | of those EGEDA entities as being entities now for |
| 19 | which MPAA is making claim? |
| 20 | A There are some, but predominantly, the |
| 21 | programs that yes, there are some, because I |
| 22 | went back and I compared the list of entities |

that EGEDA had reported to us and those that were 1 being claimed by the MPAA where Fintage 2 identified as the agent of those entities. 3 fact, found. Ι am iust Tn we 4 5 refreshing my recollection, numerous, and just some of them right here -- Video Mercury Films 6 7 Video Universal S.A., Venevision S.A., is Internacional, Telefe, which Television 8 Federal Internacional S.A., Producciones Patoce 9 10 S.A., PolyGram Iberica S.A. -- all of those were entities that were represented by EGEDA to us 11 were represented by EGEDA. 12 13 Within the MPAA's spreadsheet, their Excel spreadsheet that they provided us, it's 14 indicated that those are entities on whose behalf 15 MPAA is making program claims, identifying them 16 17 as the purported owner, but not identifying EGEDA as the agent but identifying instead Fintage as 18 the agent. 19 And EGEDA is the sub-agent? 2.0 It presumably would be because there's 21 course, we haven't seen the contract 22 οf

| 1 | between Fintage and EGEDA or a contract between |
|----|---|
| 2 | Fintage and any of these entities, but given on |
| 3 | top of that there are I think 385 circumstances |
| 4 | in which a particular program has been claimed by |
| 5 | the MPAA and attributed to EGEDA as the owner, |
| 6 | and they're not an owner or distributor of |
| 7 | programming. |
| 8 | Q Let me ask you to take a look at |
| 9 | what's been marked as Exhibit 36, and that is a |
| 10 | list, it's got the title Claimants Claimed by |
| 11 | Fintage House through EGEDA. And did you create |
| 12 | this list? |
| 13 | A Yes, I did. |
| 14 | Q And what did you create it from? |
| 15 | A Again, this was taken from the Excel |
| 16 | spreadsheet that the MPAA provided to us, and |
| 17 | this has distilled down those entities that |
| 18 | Fintage House has represented in that list to be |
| 19 | the agent of, but for which our own information |
| 20 | is that EGEDA was in fact the agent of that |
| 21 | entity. |
| 22 | Q And is there anything in the record |

1 that -- are there any agreements in the record that make the connection between EGEDA and these 2 entities? 3 There's no connection between EGEDA 4 Α There's no these entities. connection 5 6 between Fintage and these entities. There's no 7 connection between the MPAA and these entities. Thank you, Your Honor. 8 MR. BOYDSTON: 9 Thank you, Mr. Gal -- Galaz, rather. Your Honor, I have nothing further. 10 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran? 11 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, Your Honor, I beq 1.3 your pardon. Very quickly, I wanted to -- I 14 wanted to make a proffer of providing a document 15 like the document Judge Strickler described. 16 in short order, IPG would spread information that we've been referring to provided 17 by the MPAA and cull it down to just the entities 18 that were testified about here today and provide 19 20 electronically to the parties and to the Judges, 21 if that is convenient, by Tuesday of next week. 22 And would ask that it. be we

| 1 | provisionally marked as Exhibit 127, to be either |
|----|---|
| 2 | admitted or not admitted later on by the Judges, |
| 3 | and it would provide the MPAA the opportunity, if |
| 4 | they wanted to, to contradict Mr. Galaz's |
| 5 | statement thereon. |
| 6 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Your proffer is that |
| 7 | this exhibit that you would create would be |
| 8 | nothing other than a memorialization of Mr. |
| 9 | Galaz's testimony to that effect today? |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: That's correct. |
| 11 | MS. PLOVNICK: We've already heard his |
| 12 | testimony and we have the whole spreadsheet, so |
| 13 | |
| 14 | MR. BOYDSTON: I we are making this |
| 15 | proffer largely to address any possible concern |
| 16 | that might be out there in the ether due to the |
| 17 | comments by counsel for SDC. |
| 18 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Should we hear from |
| 19 | the ether? |
| 20 | (Laughter.) |
| 21 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, if you |
| 22 | would like to prepare a summary document, you may |

We won't number it or mark it or make a ruling on its admissibility. Certainly, if you were to produce such a document and if the other with find fault i.t. as in parties do not inaccuracies, it will be helpful to the Judges and aid in their deliberations, since the Judges don't have the entire spreadsheet, it was a discovery document.

MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, I would also suggest that I -- well, we just have a fundamental objection to somehow showing up -- a party trying to show up evidence at this point.

And I think the whole discussion, ultimately it might be a misunderstanding of what Mr. Galaz -- and I intend to ask questions about that, and then hopefully by the time we get through the questions, that will just illuminate what perhaps has been a misunderstanding.

JUDGE BARRETT: It would be merely a summary document, an illustrative document, if you will, a demonstrative -- not demonstrative, but illustrative of Mr. Galaz's testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Before it can be admitted or considered for admission, all parties would have an opportunity to review it, you could have it overnight, you can have it over lunch.

JUDGE STRICKLER: I might add, in that vein, to the extent you see any discrepancies between Mr. Galaz's testimony and the summary itself document when you do your proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, you can certainly point out that the summary differs from what was said and make whatever argument you want that regard, because it's to make in our intention as of now to rely on the testimony, not a document that's being created at the eleventh . hour simply to be helpful.

If it's different, it's not helpful, and it's too late.

MR. OLANIRAN: I guess, just for the record, we were worried about the prejudicial effect that such a document might have, even allowing a summary of a document that we believe . is fundamentally misunderstood.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The IPG's arquments are well-articulated in their briefs. There is a summary of those arguments in the spreadsheets that Your Honors asked us, asked each party to been provided. provide. Those have Galaz has testified to what he entities the MPAA represents. Ι

understands about the relationships between the intend to cross-examine him on those issues, and at the end of the day, his answers, my questions, and the same for MPAA, will fit in one of those boxes.

So asking again to show up a document believe is either flatly wrong misunderstood could be prejudicial.

Well, we don't have JUDGE BARRETT: It hasn't been produced. the document here. Ιt hasn't been offered. And you can arque all of those fine points, Mr. Olaniran, if and when Mr. Boydston shows up with a document that he wants us to consider, and then we can weigh whether we think it is beneficial to us as the finders of fact or whether we think the record is sufficient

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 1 | without it, all right? Mr. MacLean |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Is any I am |
| 3 | sorry. |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 5 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Is any counsel |
| 6 | getting daily copy of the transcripts, or are you |
| 7 | awaiting the transcripts on the same time frame |
| 8 | we are? |
| 9 | MS. PLOVNICK: We just put in a |
| 10 | request for daily, but it has I don't think |
| 11 | that it has taken effect yet, so we will get it |
| 12 | soon, but we don't have any of it yet. |
| 13 | JUDGE STRICKLER: I ask only because |
| 14 | to the extent there may be any difference between |
| 15 | the testimony that's supposed to be memorialized |
| 16 | in the summary document and the summary document |
| 17 | itself, and you have a daily copy, you'd be able |
| 18 | to tell us that. |
| 19 | MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. |
| 20 | JUDGE STRICKLER: So that illuminates, |
| 21 | Mr. Olaniran, the prejudicial problem, because we |
| 22 | may be prejudiced, but at least it will be |

pointed out to us. 1 (Laughter.) 2 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean? 3 MR. MACLEAN: Okay, Your Honor, first 4 of all I have no objection to the procedure the 5 Judges have described, and provided of course 6 7 chance to respond, and Ι that have a. understand that completely. 8 However, since we're on this topic, we 9 10 -- I move for an order compelling the production of the summary that was provided to the witnesses 11 whose declarations have been admitted here. That 12 is something that -- that's a document that has 13 not been produced to us and something that we 14 should have the opportunity to examine. 15 16 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, I am -- I 17 get your pardon, Mr. MacLean, I don't understand, what document are you referring to? 18 JUDGE STRICKLER: I think this is a 19 tit-for-tat argument. He is shifting gears and 20 21 he is saying he wants these underlying documents

that were referenced in the certifications of the

declarations that the witness testified about 1 from claimants that were not attached as exhibits 2 to those certifications or declarations. 3 MR. MACLEAN: Correct. But where the 4 benefit --5 (Simultaneous speaking.) 6 MR. MACLEAN: -- said I reviewed this 7 list of conflicting claims and Mr. Galaz, at 8 least to my understanding, testified that the 9 10 list was an excerpt from a spreadsheet. to see that excerpt, and I think I'm entitled to 11 12 see it. 13 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, that was my 14 continuing objection to paragraph three, is that 15 he took something and showed it to the claimant and had them react in the form of the declaration 16 17 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, this is separate and apart from the summary that we're talking 19 I understand your concern about these 20 declarants saying I reviewed the conflicting 21 22 program claims. We don't know what conflicting

program claims they had reviewed. 1 And as I said before, I think that 2 goes to the weight of the declaration. 3 want to give us some three page brief on whether 4 it's appropriate for us to reconsider that, and 5 6 7 Your Honor, this isn't MR. MACLEAN: -- what I am saying now is not an objection to 8 the admission of the declarations. I am asking 9 10 for -- to compel the production of that document so that we can examine it since the declarants 11 12 have --13 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think -sorry, I think we've covered this, you've ruled 14 I don't think the fact that I made a 15 16 proffer to meet one of these requests is an open 17 door for him to start making new motions. I am not even sure what he's asking for, to be honest. 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. I will discuss 19 20 this with my colleagues, and we will let you Boydston, whether there 21 ${\tt Mr.}$ are

additional documents that you do need to provide.

| 1 | It's a little late in the proceedings to be |
|------|---|
| 2 | asking for us to compel discovery, but I |
| 3 | understand what you're getting at, and we'll talk |
| 4 | about it, we'll let you know. We'll let you |
| 5 | know, more importantly, Mr. Boydston, because you |
| 6 | and your clients would be the ones who would have |
| 7 | to come up with that. |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: That data. |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I beg your |
| 11 | pardon. I didn't move that Exhibit 36 go in. |
| 12 | That was the last charge that Mr. Galaz testified |
| 13 | about, and we move that Exhibit 36 be admitted. |
| 14 | MS. PLOVNICK: As long as it's clear |
| 15 | it wasn't created by MPAA, we have no objection |
| . 16 | to it. |
| 17 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: 36 is admitted. |
| 19 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 20 | document was received into evidence as IPG |
| 21 | Exhibit 36.) |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. |

| 1 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran? |
|-----|---|
| 2 | MR. OLANIRAN: Sure. Good afternoon, |
| 3 | Mr. Galaz. My name is Greg Olaniran. |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. |
| 5 | MR. OLANIRAN: And I am counsel for |
| 6 | MPAA. I just wanted to talk a little bit about |
| 7 | the exchanges we've had this afternoon about the |
| 8 | spreadsheet. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 10 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 11 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 12 | Q And excuse me, just to be clear, |
| 13 | Exhibit 12 for example and similar exhibits that |
| 14 | you pulled from this spreadsheet, this Excel |
| 15 | spreadsheet that's your, Exhibit 12 for |
| 16 | example would be your version of those MPAA |
| 17 | titles that are being attributed directly to MPAA |
| L8 | representative agents, is that a fair |
| L9 | characterization? |
| 20 | A The documents that are spreadsheets |
| 21 | that I have prepared here were, as I had |
| 1.1 | |

indicated, derived from the Excel spreadsheet

| 1 | that the MPAA provided to us, correct, that has |
|----|---|
| 2 | culled down certain information that is |
| 3 | represented to be what each of these spreadsheets |
| 4 | are. |
| 5 | Q So there's an Excel spreadsheet that |
| 6 | MPAA provided to you ? |
| 7 | A That was 44,000 entries. |
| 8 | Q Just a yes or no will be fine. |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q Okay. MPAA provided an Excel |
| 11 | spreadsheet to you, and you then pulled out |
| 12 | specific types of information from that |
| 13 | spreadsheet to make some of the exhibits that |
| 14 | have been discussed this afternoon. |
| 15 | A That's correct. |
| 16 | Q And one of those exhibits was Exhibit |
| 17 | 12, where you in my understanding, you |
| 18 | attempt to demonstrate MPAA claimed titles that |
| 19 | are attributed directly to agents, is that a fair |
| 20 | description of it? |
| 21 | A That is correct, but even beyond that. |
| 22 | They are attributed not just to the agents that |

| represented they are accorded to the purported |
|---|
| copyright owners that are represented by agents. |
| JUDGE STRICKLER: I just want to make |
| sure we're talking about the same document, |
| because your question is about Exhibit 12 and |
| you're making reference to titles, but I don't |
| see titles listed on Exhibit 12. |
| JUDGE FEDER: Claimants, I am sorry, |
| claimants. |
| JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. |
| JUDGE FEDER: Claimants. |
| JUDGE BARRETT: By again, by |
| claimants, you are referring to the owners of the |
| rights, not necessarily the representative agent |
| of the owner? Or are you talking about a joint |
| claimant? Might be an agent. |
| BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| Q Well let me ask a different question. |
| What is Exhibit 12 referencing? Just to make it |
| easier. |
| A These are entities that have been |
| accorded by the MPAA within its Excel spreadsheet |
| |

as the copyright owner to programs claimed by the 1 MPAA, but only limited to those circumstances 2 where that particular owner in one or more years 3 has been represented by an agent. 4 I am a little confused about the last 5 6 part: I can give you an example. Okay. Α 8 0 Okay. Let's say that the MPAA entered into 9 Α an agreement with company XYZ. And company XYZ 10 has been accorded ownership of program XYZ. 11 would not appear -- company XYZ would not appear 12 13 here because the contractual relationship is 14 directly between the MPAA and company XYZ. contrast, that exact If. 15 by relationship between company XYZ and program XYZ 16 17 appeared in the MPAA data that indicated that company XYZ was represented through an agent, 18 i.e. there is no contractual or correspondence 19 20 relationship between the MPAA and company XYZ, company XYZ appears here. 21

And the means by which we identified

| 1 | whether or not there is an agent or not in one or |
|----|---|
| 2 | more years is the MPAA data was handled in two |
| 3 | different ways. One way was in the column under |
| 4 | which they identified copyright owner. In some |
| 5 | circumstances, they put in parentheses the name |
| 6 | of the agent. And that would be, for instance, |
| 7 | First Miracle Productions (Compact). |
| 8 | In other circumstances, for other |
| 9 | years, they had an additional column that simply |
| 10 | said agent. |
| 11 | Q Okay. So really, Exhibit 12 is where |
| 12 | there's no documented relationship between MPAA |
| 13 | and the copyright owner. |
| 14 | A That's correct, and that's the |
| 15 | heading. But for one or more years, not |
| 16 | necessarily for all years. |
| 17 | Q I understand. Thanks for the |
| 18 | clarification. But you also have other exhibits |
| 19 | where agents attributed title ownership also, is |
| 20 | that right? |
| 21 | A Other examples in which a |
| 22 | Q You have other exhibits in which you |

| 1 | identify agents that are being attributed |
|----|---|
| 2 | copyright ownership, is that |
| 3 | A Correct. Where a known agent has been |
| 4 | and that would be an example, for instance, |
| 5 | like EGEDA. We know EGEDA is |
| 6 | Q Understood, understood. |
| 7 | A and their attributed copyright |
| 8 | owner. |
| 9 | Q So let's get back to the Excel |
| 10 | spreadsheet. You know that MPAA filed a written |
| 11 | direct statement, Ms. Saunders filed a written |
| 12 | direct statement, correct? |
| 13 | A Correct. |
| 14 | Q Okay. And in the written direct |
| 15 | statement, she had a list of she identified a |
| 16 | list of titles that are claimed by MPAA, right? |
| 17 | A Correct. |
| 18 | Q And then following the submission of |
| 19 | the written direct statement, there was |
| 20 | discovery, correct? |
| 21 | A Correct. |
| 22 | Q And during discovery we produced |

| 1 | certifications of title for the titles that have |
|-----|---|
| 2 | been claimed in her written direct statement, |
| 3 | correct? |
| 4 | A That is correct. |
| 5 | Q Okay. And then there was discovery |
| 6 | disputes which resulted in a motion to compel by |
| 7 | IPG, correct? |
| 8 | A Correct. |
| 9 | Q And it was pursuant to that discovery |
| 10 | dispute that the Judges made the July 30 ruling, |
| 11 | correct? |
| 12 | A That is correct. |
| 1.3 | Q And then when the and our response |
| 14 | to your motion to compel was that there was no |
| 15 | database as you requested with regard to matching |
| 16 | owners and titles in the manner that you |
| L7 | requested, do you recall that? |
| L8 | A That was your response, but not the |
| L9 | testimony of Ms. Saunders. |
| 20 | Q We are not talking about the testimony |
| 21 | of Ms. Saunders. That stands, and let her speak |
| 22 | for herself |

| 1 | A You are right. That was your |
|----|--|
| 2 | representation that there was no electronic |
| 3 | document that paired the MPAA-represented |
| 4 | copyright owner or claimant, even an agent, with |
| 5 | a particular program. |
| 6 | Q Okay. So in response to the parties' |
| 7 | dispute, the Judges issued an order on July 30, |
| 8 | correct? |
| 9 | A Correct. |
| 10 | Q Okay. And that order directed MPAA to |
| 11 | produce an electronic database, an electronic |
| 12 | spreadsheet, correct? |
| 13 | A That identified the claimant paired |
| 14 | with the program being claimed. |
| 15 | Q That identified the information in the |
| 16 | manner that you wanted, essentially. |
| 17 | A That's correct. |
| 18 | Q Okay. And so in response to that, you |
| 19 | received the Excel spreadsheet. |
| 20 | A The electronic document, correct. |
| 21 | Q Okay. So you now have in your hand at |
| 22 | that point Ms. Saunders's written direct |

| 1 | testimony listing the claims that are being made |
|----|--|
| 2 | by MPAA. You also have the hard copy of the |
| 3 | certifications, correct? |
| 4 | A The correct. |
| 5 | Q Okay. And now you have the Excel |
| 6 | spreadsheet. |
| 7 | A The Excel spreadsheet that ties those |
| 8 | two together, correct. |
| 9 | Q Well you have an Excel spreadsheet |
| 10 | that was prepared by Ms. Saunders's lawyer, |
| 11 | correct? |
| 12 | A Correct. |
| 13 | Q Okay. And you also get a digitized |
| 14 | copy of the appendices to Ms. Saunders's |
| 15 | testimony. And I use the word digitized to make |
| 16 | a distinction between electronic and digitized |
| 17 | hard copies which you can search, you can |
| 18 | certainly search, versus electronic spreadsheet |
| 19 | derived from a software such as Excel. |
| 20 | A Actually, I am not sure about that |
| 21 | last part. I don't recall whether or not we did |
| 22 | or not, but I do want to clarify one thing, |

| 1 | because the Excel spreadsheet that you're |
|----|---|
| 2 | referring to |
| 3 | Q Yes. |
| 4 | A I think you may have referred to it |
| 5 | as somehow digitizing or putting in electronic |
| 6 | form the certifications, and that is not |
| 7 | completely clear, and that's why we were |
| 8 | referring to how it's not stricken, so if you |
| 9 | have the certification |
| LO | Q Let's look at Exhibit 333. |
| L1 | A 333. Okay. |
| L2 | Q Now the statement you just made about |
| L3 | a reference to certifications, do you see that in |
| L4 | here? I just want to make sure. |
| L5 | A The reference to certifications that |
| 16 | I just made? |
| 7 | Q Yes. |
| .8 | A I am somewhat at a loss. Are you |
| .9 | Q I am sorry. I thought you made a |
| 20 | reference to something we represented to you |
| 21 | about certifications. |
| 22 | A Right. Here, I think there was a |
| | |

| 1 | representation my understanding from Ms. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Plovnick is she was saying that the Excel |
| 3 | spreadsheet was a representation or digitization, |
| 4 | some word to that effect, of what was in the |
| 5 | certifications, and that's what prompted the |
| 6 | Judges to say well, does it show, for instance, |
| 7 | where there has been something stricken? |
| 8 | That's not it. It's nothing of that |
| 9 | sort. There's |
| 10 | Q So but, let me just direct your |
| 11 | attention to the first page of the letter. |
| 12 | A Okay. |
| 13 | Q And the second paragraph. |
| 14 | A All right. |
| 15 | Q And one two three, the fourth line |
| 16 | from the bottom of the second paragraph, the |
| 17 | sentence that starts with "In addition," do you |
| 18 | see that? |
| 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | Q And it says, "In addition, MPAA is |
| 21 | producing electronic copies of Appendices A and B |
| 22 | to Jane Saunders's written testimony in this |

| 1 | proceeding. |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Okay. |
| 3 | Q Right? So you have Ms. Saunders's |
| 4 | testimony, and you have the certifications, and |
| 5 | |
| 6 | A The hard copies of the certifications? |
| 7 | Q The hard copies of the certifications, |
| 8 | correct. |
| 9 | A Okay, 1,000 pages, okay, all right |
| 10 | Q And then you have this created |
| 11 | electronic sheet that didn't exist before the |
| 12 | Judges' orders. |
| 13 | A Well, I think that's at issue, |
| 14 | actually. |
| 15 | Q Okay. Now in compiling all of the |
| 16 | exhibits that relied on the Excel spreadsheet, |
| 17 | for example with regard to the titles that the |
| 18 | MPAA is claiming, did you look at the |
| 19 | certifications? |
| 20 | A I did not and just to put this in |
| 21 | context, the certifications are, if I recall, |
| 22 | you've got some in evidence |

| 1 | Q It's a yes or no question, Mr. Galaz. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A No. |
| 3 | Q Thank you. In preparing exhibits that |
| 4 | you derived from the Excel spreadsheet dealing |
| 5 | with MPAA's titles, did you look at Ms. |
| 6 | Saunders's written direct testimony, Appendix B |
| 7 | for Satellite and Satellite and Cable Testimony? |
| 8 | A I presumed it was an accurate |
| 9 | representation of it, so no, I didn't make a |
| 10 | comparison between the two. |
| 11 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Did you say you |
| 12 | presumed it was an accurate or inaccurate? |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: An accurate |
| 14 | JUDGE STRICKLER: An accurate |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: That the same titles |
| 16 | that appear in one are the same titles that |
| 17 | appear in the other. |
| 18 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. |
| 19 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 20 | Q So you didn't rely on certifications |
| 21 | and you didn't rely on her written direct |
| 22 | statement. |

relied on the -- to create the 1 Ά documents that I have appended as exhibits and 2 have been introduced here, I relied exclusively 3 on the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet that ties 4 the claimants with their claimed programs. 5 Fair enough. So if in fact there was 6 a discrepancy, an addition that a hard copy 7 certification would have provided for example 8 that didn't show up on the Excel spreadsheet, you 9 10 would not have captured that? If there was a discrepancy, which I 11 presume there would not be, then -- then, I am 12 1.3 sorry, your question is if I had checked an electronic version 14 οf Appendix to Ms. Saunders's testimony, whether I would have caught 15 I would have caught it if there was a 16 17 discrepancy. I would not have thought there would have been a discrepancy. One was supposed 18 19 to be representative of the other. 20 Well, your understanding. Q that's 21 just clear about that. Your Let's be

understanding is that the Excel spreadsheet is

| 1 | supposed to be representative of the |
|----|---|
| 2 | certifications and Appendix B, for example, in |
| 3 | Ms. Saunders's testimony. |
| 4 | A Well, I |
| 5 | Q Yes or no first, before you give an |
| 6 | answer to that question |
| 7 | A Well in a yes or no context, I can't |
| 8 | answer your question. |
| 9 | Q Okay. We'll move on, then. |
| 10 | Now one of IPG's claims is that MPAA |
| 11 | failed to substantiate its authority to |
| 12 | represent, I think you had 582 claimants, is that |
| 13 | correct? |
| 14 | A For one or more years, correct. |
| 15 | Q For one or more years. So and the |
| 16 | copyright owners that you're talking about the |
| 17 | basis for this is that you don't see a documented |
| 18 | relationship between the copyright owner and |
| 19 | MPAA, is that correct? |
| 20 | A Not entirely. |
| 21 | Q Okay, what's not correct about that? |
| 22 | A Well not only is there not a document |

| 1 | that establishes communication or contractual |
|----|---|
| 2 | relationships between the purported copyright |
| 3 | owner and the MPAA, but also the purported |
| 4 | copyright owner and the purported agent. There's |
| 5 | no documents for the particular years that we're |
| 6 | dealing with that demonstrates that that party is |
| 7 | making claim for programs for that year or has |
| 8 | authorized anybody to represent them for that |
| 9 | particular year. There's literally nothing. |
| 10 | Q No relationship, no documented |
| 11 | relationship between the copyright owner and the |
| 12 | agent or the copyright owner and MPAA, is that a |
| 13 | fair |
| 14 | A That's correct. |
| 15 | Q Thank you. And did you raise this |
| 16 | issue before in the last proceeding, in the |
| 17 | '00-'03 proceeding? |
| 18 | A We raised it in |
| 19 | Q Just a yes or no. |
| 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | Q Thank you. And are you aware that the |
| 22 | Judges ruled on this issue before, that there's |

| 1 | no requirement to produce every single agreement |
|----|---|
| 2 | that an agent has with a copyright owner? Yes or |
| 3 | no. |
| 4 | A Well, again, in that narrow context, |
| 5 | I'd say no. |
| 6 | Q You're not aware that the Judges ruled |
| 7 | as such? |
| 8 | A That was not my understanding of the |
| 9 | ruling |
| 10 | Q Okay, let's move on. There is no |
| 11 | point in debating the law with you. |
| 12 | A I am not debating. |
| 13 | Q IPG also, has also claimed that the |
| 14 | Foreign Collection Societies are falsely |
| 15 | attributed copyright ownership, correct? |
| 16 | A Correct. |
| 17 | Q And you testified to some of that |
| 18 | earlier today. And you identified |
| 19 | Australian-based Screenrights and also EGEDA, and |
| 20 | I wish I could actually pronounce the full name |
| 21 | of EGEDA, but I am a little short on Spanish. |
| 22 | But it's E-G-E-D-A, I think, that's the acronym |

| 1 | for EGEDA. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And with regard to Screenrights, what |
| 3 | evidence did you rely on to conclude that |
| 4 | Screenrights was presented to serve as the |
| 5 | copyright owner? |
| 6 | A I am sorry, what evidence did I rely |
| 7 | on for? I didn't hear the last |
| 8 | Q Concluding that Screenrights has been |
| 9 | presented as a copyright owner. |
| 10 | A That they are identified as the |
| 11 | purported copyright owner? |
| 12 | Q Yes. |
| 13 | A It appears actually in two documents. |
| 14 | It appears in the electronic Excel spreadsheet |
| 15 | that the MPAA has produced, pursuant to the July |
| 16 | 30 order, and I have one of our admitted exhibits |
| 17 | as one that identifies for which programs |
| 18 | Screenrights has been identified as the owner of |
| 19 | a particular program. |
| 20 | Q And that identification |
| 21 | A I didn't finish, I am sorry. |
| 22 | Q That's okay. |

| 1 | A And then the second document is |
|----|---|
| 2 | actually the certifications of that AVCS |
| 3 | Screenrights has executed, some of which are in |
| 4 | your exhibit book. |
| 5 | Q The actual certifications? |
| 6 | A. The actual certifications have an |
| 7 | attachment that at the top says who the owner is, |
| 8 | and then it identifies Screenrights. |
| 9 | Q So with regard to Screenrights, you |
| 10 | wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking |
| 11 | at certifications? |
| 12 | A No, I didn't. But I have since |
| 13 | because that was included in your exhibits, and I |
| 14 | witnessed it there. |
| 15 | Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338. |
| 16 | A Okay. |
| 17 | Q Are you there? |
| 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | Q Okay. And Exhibit 338 is the |
| 20 | Certification of Entitlement that was completed |
| 21 | by Screenrights. And in that exhibit, do you |
| 22 | have the certification for 2007, 2008, and 2009? |

| 1 | A Okay. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Okay. And on each one of the |
| 3 | certifications, there are three ways in which a |
| 4 | claimant could identify its status. Do you see |
| 5 | that? |
| 6 | A Are you talking just on the first |
| 7 | page? |
| 8 | Q On the first page, yes. |
| 9 | A Or the second page as well? |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: This one is restricted. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: This is restricted and |
| 12 | it's also not admitted yet into evidence, so |
| 13 | MR. OLANIRAN: It is admitted. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, I am sorry, my |
| 15 | notes are defective. If you have not signed a |
| 16 | confidentiality agreement, would you please wait |
| 17 | outside? If I remember I'll invite you back in |
| 18 | before the day is over. And feel free to put |
| 19 | that closed session sign on the other side of the |
| 20 | door. |
| 21 | Thank you. Okay, go ahead, Mr. |
| 22 | Olaniran. |

| 1 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, |
| 3 | on the very first page, the Certificate of |
| 4 | Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed |
| 5 | you have three boxes in which you could check |
| 6 | the status, correct? |
| 7 | A Correct. |
| 8 | Q One of the boxes that you could check |
| 9 | is that owner other than corporation of |
| 10 | partnership, right? |
| 11 | A Correct. |
| 12 | Q And you could check as an agent of |
| 13 | owner other than corporation of partnership, |
| 14 | correct? |
| 15 | A Correct. |
| 16 | Q And you could check as an officer or |
| 17 | partner. |
| 18 | A Officer well, clarification. |
| 19 | Officer or partner of the legal entity identified |
| 20 | as the owner or authorized agent of the owner of |
| 21 | the programs on the print-out. |
| 22 | O Okay |

| 1 | A So it seems somewhat ambiguous as to |
|----|---|
| 2 | whether you're you're purporting to be an |
| 3 | officer or partner, but it's not clear whether |
| 4 | you're an officer or partner of an agent or the |
| 5 | underlying owner. |
| 6 | Q Move to strike, Your Honor, that's not |
| 7 | a response that |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, he is only |
| 9 | reciting what the thing says |
| 10 | MR. OLANIRAN: No, he is actually |
| 11 | trying to interpret a form that he is not an |
| 12 | author. |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: Granted. |
| 14 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 15 | Q So on so with respect to the |
| 16 | Certification of Entitlement for 2007, |
| 17 | Screenrights checks as an agent of owner, doesn't |
| 18 | it? |
| 19 | A It checks agent of owner. |
| 20 | Q. Okay, thank you. And why don't you |
| 21 | look at the certifications for 2008? |
| 22 | A They all, I'll acknowledge, 2007, |

| 1 | 2008, and 2009, the instances you've provided |
|----|---|
| 2 | here, in each circumstance they've checked agent, |
| 3 | but then the next |
| 4 | Q That's not what I asked. |
| 5 | A the next exhibits contradict that. |
| 6 | Q I am not asking you about the next |
| 7 | exhibits. |
| 8 | A I am not talking about the next |
| 9 | exhibit, I am talking about the attachment to the |
| 10 | face page on the exhibit. |
| L1 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Galaz, wait for |
| L2 | the question, okay? |
| L3 | MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| L4 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| L5 | Q You also testified that EGEDA |
| L6 | presented itself as a copyright owner, correct? |
| L7 | A I don't think I said EGEDA presented |
| -8 | itself as a copyright owner. What I think I said |
| _9 | is that the MPAA is attributing them as the |
| 20 | copyright owner. |
| 21 | Q MPAA is attributing copyright |
| 22 | ownership to EGEDA |

| 1 | A Correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q so are you, are you ⊙kay. |
| 3 | A I have no idea whether EGEDA is |
| 4 | because there is no correspondence between EGEDA |
| 5 | and any entity that has been produced to us. |
| 6 | Q So and your basis, again, is the Excel |
| 7 | spreadsheet, is that right? |
| 8 | A The Excel spreadsheet that the MPAA |
| 9 | produced that combines the identified copyright |
| 10 | owner and agent, if there is an agent, with a |
| 11 | particular program. There are 385 circumstances |
| 12 | in which EGEDA is identified as the owner without |
| 13 | agent. |
| 14 | Q Understood. Now, it would save a lot |
| 15 | of time if you didn't keep explaining the Excel |
| 16 | spreadsheet over and over again. I think we get |
| 17 | the idea. |
| 18 | Now other than the Excel spreadsheet, |
| 19 | did you review any other discovery with regard to |
| 20 | the status of EGEDA as a copyright owner? |
| 21 | A There was nothing offered by EGEDA |
| 22 | that was produced. |

| 1 | Q Let me direct your attention to |
|----|---|
| 2 | A At least that I recall. |
| 3 | Q Exhibit 341. |
| 4 | A Okay. |
| 5 | Q And Exhibit 341, do you recognize that |
| 6 | document? |
| 7 | A It appears to be several documents. |
| 8 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Which exhibit, |
| 9 | counsel? |
| 10 | MR. OLANIRAN: 341, Your Honor. |
| 11 | Pre-marked as 341, it hasn't been admitted yet. |
| 12 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 13 | Q Have you had a chance to review it? |
| 14 | A I am just glancing over it until you |
| 15 | direct me to something in particular. |
| 16 | Q I asked you if you recognized the |
| 17 | document |
| 18 | A And I was saying it appears to be |
| 19 | multiple documents. |
| 20 | Q There's multiple documents of the same |
| 21 | kind, if you will. |
| 22 | A They there's the certification |

| 1 | signed by Fintage on behalf of Bell-Phillip for |
|----|---|
| 2 | 2001, 2002 well I mean, I don't know if you |
| 3 | want me to go through all of them, but they are |
| 4 | various certifications, they are a like type of |
| 5 | document. |
| 6 | Q Okay. And you would have received |
| 7 | these in discovery. You received these in |
| 8 | discovery, did you not? |
| 9 | A I believe so, as indicated by the |
| 10 | Bates stamp. That would indicate that they were |
| 11 | received, this one in Satellite, if it's preceded |
| 12 | by an S, Satellite, if it's preceded by a C, it's |
| 13 | Cable. |
| 14 | Q And move to admit Exhibit 341, Your |
| 15 | Honor. |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, I am |
| 17 | not sure that this witness is able to |
| 18 | authenticate this, so I would object on that |
| 19 | ground. |
| 20 | There is another ground I'd like to |
| 21 | object to that's a bit more weighty and applies |
| 22 | to a few other exhibits as well. And this is, my |

objection is as follows: it goes back to the 1 testimony of Jane Saunders, and specifically with 2 regard to, like looking at 341, the second page 3 is a listing of just two programs. The fourth 4 page is a listing of programs, and each of these 5 have the certification followed by a listing of 6 7 the number of programs. And my question to Ms. Saunders was, 8 9 you know, where do these come up? Where do these 10 come from, these lists? There must have been some sort of a way to mesh together the owners of 11 12 copyright and particular programs. 13 And she said, well, at the MPAA all we have is paper to do this, but at our third-party 14 vendor, they had a computer database they used to 15 16 generate these sheets that would then be, then, 17 you know, stuck with the certifications and sent 18 out. 19 And I said, well, okay, so your unit had them, your vendor had it --2.0 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Could you give us a 22 legal basis for the objection, Mr. Boydston,

instead of a narrative? 1 MR. BOYDSTON: I am sorry, Your Honor, 2 it was just I had to describe what I was talking 3 The legal basis is they never produced 4 the electronic database that generated the list 5 б of programs. They claim that no such electronic 7 database existed, and yet when I questioned Ms. 8 Saunders, she indicated that the third-party 9 10 vendor did have such database, the Whitt entity, and that they provided that hard drive 11 counsel. 1.2 13 So counsel had the hard drive from Mr. Whitt's company that generated these lists even 14 though they said in discovery that they didn't 15 16 have such a thing, that they, in discovery, they 17 insisted no, we have no such thing. And in fact it did exist, with the third-party vendor who, in 18 19 2010, turned it over to counsel. 20 And I apologize because just, this has to do with testimony of several days ago. 21

MR. OLANIRAN:

22

Your Honor, that's a

complete mischaracterization of Ms. Saunders's 1 I distinctly recall Ms. 2 testimony. explaining --3 MR. BOYDSTON: I beg your pardon, can 4 5 you speak up? MR. OLANIRAN: I distinctly recall Ms. 6 7 Saunders explaining about the piles of paper that Ms. Kessler had to deal with when it was time to 8 complete the certification projects. 9 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Precisely. And what 11 Ms. Saunders said was that the program lists that are behind each of these certifications were 12 13 delivered to Ms. Kessler from the third-party vendor, but that the third-party vendor came up 14 with them by electronic means and then handed 15 16 them over to the MPAA. 17 And then she continued to respond to my questions. I said, well what happened to that 18 database? She said, a third-party vendor stopped 19 20 doing business and gave its hard drive to counsel for the MPAA. So, when we asked in discovery, 21

when we demanded that, the MPAA responded that it

| 1 | didn't have it. Well, clearly it had it in its |
|----|---|
| 2 | control in that the exact hard drive that created |
| 3 | those lists had been provided to counsel for the |
| 4 | MPAA. |
| 5 | MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, I find this |
| 6 | quite interesting given that IPG itself has |
| 7 | actually moved in one or maybe two of very, very |
| 8 | similar exhibits, which are certifications |
| 9 | supported by these same sheets that he is now |
| 10 | campaigning to have kept out of evidence. |
| 11 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, no, I don't |
| 12 | think so. There was no database demanded of us |
| 13 | that we said didn't exist that in fact was in |
| 14 | control of counsel or of my client. |
| 15 | MR. OLANIRAN: No, I am saying that |
| 16 | you have actually moved in I believe you have |
| 17 | moved in one of the |
| 18 | JUDGE BARRETT: No cross talk, please. |
| 19 | Mr. Olaniran, address your comments to the bench. |
| 20 | MR. OLANIRAN: Sorry about that, Your |
| 21 | Honor. |
| 22 | What I was saying is that I think |

| 1 | I don't recall the exhibit number, but I believe |
|----|--|
| 2 | one of the certifications was actually moved in |
| 3 | while IPG was presenting. |
| 4 | MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, that's true. 338 |
| 5 | was moved in before we got to that point in Ms. |
| 6 | Saunders's declaration, or testimony. I didn't |
| 7 | raise any objection to that there, but that |
| 8 | doesn't mean I can't raise one here. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: Well 338 is in, so |
| 10 | MR. BOYDSTON: Right, I no debate |
| 11 | there, I am not objecting to that. I am |
| 12 | objecting to this one. |
| 13 | MR. OLANIRAN: It is all the same type |
| 14 | of evidence, Your Honor. It's |
| 15 | MR. BOYDSTON: Certifications |
| 16 | MR. OLANIRAN: Certifications, let me |
| 17 | finish. Certifications on the front page, and |
| 18 | the computer print out is attached to each |
| 19 | certification form. |
| 20 | MR. BOYDSTON: There is no question it |
| 21 | is the same type of document. I didn't object to |
| 22 | the other. That doesn't preclude me from |
| | |

objecting to this one or others. 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: What's the legal 2 objection? 3 The legal objection is MR. BOYDSTON: 4 the second page's list of the titles were derived 5 from an electronic database that we demanded in б discovery, the MPAA said it didn't exist, Ms. 7 Saunders's testimony indicated it did exist with 8 a third-party vendor, and in 2010, if my memory 9 10 served, the vendor gave it to counsel for the 11 MPAA. Thereafter, demanded it in 12 we 1.3 discovery and it wasn't produced, and therefore I am objecting to this document on the grounds that 14 the program that produced -- the program list was 15 16 not produced, even though it was within the control of the MPAA and it was requested. 17 18 JUDGE STRICKLER: How have you come to the conclusion, maybe I am missing it, that 19 Exhibit 341 was derived from the document from 2.0 the spreadsheet that you didn't receive? 21 MR. BOYDSTON: Because when I asked 22

Ms. Saunders where those lists came from, she said they were delivered to the MPAA by the third-party vendor, the Whitt entity, and that the Whitt entity created electronically with a program that took the big giant lists of all the program owners and connected the two.

And then she said they printed out a big stack and gave it to Marsha Kessler to match up with the certifications. And then I said well gee, whatever happened to that electronic database? And she said well, Whitt went out of business and turned over the hard drive to counsel, meaning counsel for the MPAA.

Thereafter, during these proceedings, we demanded such a document, or I should say database. We were told it didn't exist, and then that was contradicted by Ms. Saunders's testimony.

JUDGE STRICKLER: So you're saying you would have had the same objection to 338 had you had other information or thought of it

| 1 | previously? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. BOYDSTON: Perhaps. And maybe I |
| 3 | would have let 338 come in if I wanted to see |
| 4 | certain information in 338. But at the time 338 |
| 5 | came out, I was asking a question of Ms. |
| 6 | Saunders, and I wanted to continue asking my |
| 7 | question, and she hadn't provided that |
| 8 | information at that time. |
| 9 | JUDGE STRICKLER: And you're offering |
| 10 | this into evidence now based on what foundation, |
| 11 | Mr. Olaniran? |
| 12 | MR. OLANIRAN: Off the basis that we |
| 13 | produced it to them in discovery. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: In hard copy? |
| 15 | MR. OLANIRAN: In hard copy, yes. And |
| 16 | Your Honor, I wish I had the transcript of Ms. |
| 17 | Saunders's testimony, so I am at a disadvantage. |
| 18 | What we've heard is Mr. Boydston's version of |
| 19 | what Ms. Saunders testified to, and |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: And you would |
| 21 | controvert that by saying what? |
| 22 | MR. OLANIRAN: By saying that is not |

| 1 | Ms. Saunders's testimony. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: Can you paraphrase |
| 3 | what you think Ms. Saunders's testimony is? |
| 4 | MR. OLANIRAN: I said earlier to Ms. |
| 5 | Saunders that she had a stack of paper, and what |
| 6 | I suspect this is what I am almost certain |
| 7 | this is, actually, I asked Ms. Saunders again, |
| 8 | are the copies of the stacks of paper that Ms. |
| 9 | Kessler used to compile the certifications. |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay yeah. We |
| 11 | still have the issue of Mr. Whitt's hard drive |
| 12 | being when he retired, he turned over his hard |
| 13 | drive except for the one he later discovered in |
| 14 | his basement, which we've learned in a companion |
| 15 | proceeding. Did he turn that over to MPAA? Did |
| 16 | he turn that over to your firm? Does anybody |
| 17 | know what he did with his hard drive when he |
| 18 | retired? |
| 19 | MR. OLANIRAN: I don't recall Ms. |
| 20 | Saunders's testimony on that particular |
| 21 | exactly what the |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: MPAA got it, that's |

| 1 | what I recall, not that counsel got it. But be |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | that as it may. |
| 3 | MR. OLANIRAN: It was something along |
| 4 | those lines, and I forget what she said about the |
| 5 | availability of it, something like that. |
| 6 | JUDGE STRICKLER: The issue at hand is |
| 7 | whether or not to admit 341. |
| 8 | MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. |
| 9 | JUDGE STRICKLER: And the question |
| 10 | there is an objection based on a lack of |
| 11 | foundation, you didn't have sufficient documents |
| | |
| 12 | that were used to create 341, correct, Mr. |
| 12 13 | that were used to create 341, correct, Mr. Boydston? |
| | |
| 13 | Boydston? |
| 13 | Boydston? MR. BOYDSTON: Correct. |
| 13 14 15 | Boydston? MR. BOYDSTON: Correct. JUDGE STRICKLER: And the witness who |
| 13 14 15 16 | Boydston? MR. BOYDSTON: Correct. JUDGE STRICKLER: And the witness who can provide that foundation is sitting right |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | Boydston? MR. BOYDSTON: Correct. JUDGE STRICKLER: And the witness who can provide that foundation is sitting right here, Ms. Saunders, in the courtroom, right now. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Boydston? MR. BOYDSTON: Correct. JUDGE STRICKLER: And the witness who can provide that foundation is sitting right here, Ms. Saunders, in the courtroom, right now. MR. BOYDSTON: Right. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Boydston? MR. BOYDSTON: Correct. JUDGE STRICKLER: And the witness who can provide that foundation is sitting right here, Ms. Saunders, in the courtroom, right now. MR. BOYDSTON: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. So we'd like to |

| 1 | (Laughter.) |
|-----|---------------------------------------|
| 2 . | JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Saunders, you |
| 3 | remain under oath. |
| 4 | MS. SAUNDERS: Yes, absolutely. |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: And you must not swear |
| 6 | any. |
| 7 | MS. SAUNDERS: Unless thank heavens |
| 8 | counts as a swear, I will try. Who is |
| 9 | questioning me? |
| 10 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran is |
| 11 | MS. SAUNDERS: Okay. |
| 12 | JUDGE BARRETT: But Mr. Boydston may |
| 13 | voir dire |
| 14 | MS. SAUNDERS: Absolutely. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: depending on where |
| 16 | we go with this. Mr. Olaniran? |
| 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 18 | BY MR. OLANIRAN: |
| 19 | Q Ms. Saunders, are you looking at |
| 20 | Exhibit 341? |
| 21 | A Yes, I am. |
| 22 | Q And what is that exhibit? |

| 1 | A It is a certification for actually, |
|----------------------|---|
| 2 | it is a series of certifications executed by a |
| 3 | Fintage, by an officer or the managing director |
| 4 | of Fintage, in respect of claims made for various |
| 5 | satellite and cable retransmission royalties over |
| 6 | the period of 2001, 2002 hold on, it's going |
| 7 | to take me a while I am guessing up through, |
| 8 | let's see, 2004, 2007, I am going to guess it's |
| 9 | through unless you want me to go through every |
| 10 | single one, which is going to take me a minute |
| 11 | because unhelpfully, there's no colored paper |
| 12 | between these. |
| 13 | Q Okay. That's |
| 14 | |
| 7.4 | A It goes up through it says 2004 to |
| 15 | A It goes up through it says 2004 to 2009, so |
| | |
| 15 | 2009, so |
| 15 16 | 2009, so Q Okay, and it's not just one, there's |
| 15 16 17 | 2009, so Q Okay, and it's not just one, there's multiple entities on whose behalf Fintage |
| 15 16 17 18 | 2009, so Q Okay, and it's not just one, there's multiple entities on whose behalf Fintage executed the |
| 15 16 17 18 | 2009, so Q Okay, and it's not just one, there's multiple entities on whose behalf Fintage executed the A Yes, yes, that is correct, yes, that |

| 1 | Q How was the document created? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A Created? |
| 3 | Q Yes. |
| 4 | A So as I testified previously, Mr. |
| 5 | Whitt gave, produced to Ms. Kessler hard copies |
| 6 | of the list of titles which and the claimants |
| 7 | associated with those titles, to which Mr. |
| 8 | Kessler would append, or appended, a cover |
| 9 | Certification of Entitlement form for the |
| 10 | claimant to execute confirming that the capacity |
| 11 | in which they make the claim, that they filed a |
| 12 | valid claim for the royalties, and confirming |
| 13 | through either through notations on the titles |
| 14 | list in each year which titles they want to or |
| 15 | they could claim cable retransmission or |
| 16 | satellite retransmission royalties. |
| 17 | I think I got the grammar all messed |
| 18 | up there. But the years for which and the titles |
| 19 | which they could claim in each of the royalty |
| 20 | years. |
| 21 | Q You have other certifications in the |
| 22 | record, don't you? |

| 1 | A Yes, yes we do. I think we have a |
|----|---|
| 2 | few. I think we have certifications for many of |
| 3 | the claimants that IPG has challenged. |
| 4 | Q Would you look at 337, the exhibit |
| 5 | pre-marked as 337? |
| 6 | A Yes, yes. This is a certification |
| 7 | signed by Allied Communications by C.B. McKay, as |
| 8 | a Regional CEO for Allied Communications. And do |
| 9 | you need me to tell you for what years? It |
| 10 | appears to be satellite royalties in 2005 with a |
| 11 | list of titles appended. |
| 12 | Q Also, would you look at Exhibit 339 |
| 13 | please? |
| 14 | A Yes. Also a certification, executed |
| 15 | on behalf of CBS Broadcasting on behalf of King |
| 16 | World Productions, signed by the Vice President |
| 17 | and Assistant Secretary Martin Messinger |
| 18 | Q Okay |
| 19 | A for, sorry, for satellite royalties |
| 20 | in calendar year 2000, with a fairly lengthy |
| 21 | title list. Oh, sorry, with a title list, and |
| 22 | then a satellite retransmission royalties |

| 1 | certification for calendar year 2000. Both are |
|----|---|
| 2 | certified to as officer or partner of the entity |
| 3 | identified as the owner or authorized agent. |
| 4 | MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is |
| 5 | covering old ground. |
| 6 | JUDGE BARRETT: May I just jump in |
| 7 | here and say something to cut to the chase. Mr. |
| 8 | Whitt created these papers, gave them to Ms. |
| 9 | Kessler. Ms. Kessler ran with the ball. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: When Mr. Whitt |
| 12 | retired, he took the hard drive out of his |
| 13 | computer. Do you have any knowledge what he did |
| 14 | with it at that point? |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 16 | JUDGE BARRETT: And what is that? |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: He gave the hard drive |
| 18 | to MPAA, and I gave that hard drive to counsel. |
| 19 | I think this is the part of my testimony where I |
| 20 | said I was not the cyber-judge. I took the hard |
| 21 | drive and I gave it to my counsel, and I |
| 22 | instructed my counsel to extract from it whatever |

they could. 1 I specifically did not say that Mr. 2 Whitt had a database. I think I specifically 3 said that I did not know how Mr. Whitt conducted 4 his operations or how he compiled his data. 5 did say that Mr. Whitt did rely on broadcast data 6 7 that was acquired in order to generate those That was the extent, I believe, of how I 8 9 characterized Mr. Whitt's operation. Mr. Olaniran, could 10 JUDGE BARRETT: you make a representation as an officer of the 11 12 court that your office made an effort to extract data from Mr. Whitt's hard drive? 13 MR. OLANIRAN: Yes we did, Your Honor. 14 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And what did you do with the data you were able -- if you were 16 able to extract any, what did you do with it, or 17 how did you configure it, and where is that base 18 of knowledge at this point? 19 20 MR. OLANIRAN: Ι the am not cyber-lawyer. If Ms. Plovnick --21 JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick --22

MR. OLANIRAN: Yes. 1 We examined the hard MS. PLOVNICK: 2 drive, and we found various -- you know, we went 3 though the hard drive, and we extracted what we 4 could, and we used as much of it as we could to 5 form the Excel spreadsheet that we produced. 6 7 We tried to be as -- you know, to cull And so that is the 8 what we could to do that. electronic record that we were able to create 9 10 based on what was not in a database form. JUDGE STRICKLER: What form was it in? 11 MS. PLOVNICK: It was multiple, all 12 1.3 over the place, very not very well-maintained condition files, I assess that this way. 14 also not a cyber person, but it was a collection 15 16 of bits --17 JUDGE BARRETT: making a Are you 18 representation that your law firm extracted 19 everything that was extractable from the hard 20 incorporated everything into drive and 21 spreadsheet that you created in response to the

22

Judges' discovery order?

Yes, Your Honor. MS. PLOVNICK: 1 MR. OLANIRAN: I would also add -- and 2 Mr. Whitt has some damage to some of the drives, 3 and I think that issue in fact came up. 4 of the proceedings, I think perhaps they were 5 describing a 99 percent damage --6 7 MS. PLOVNICK: I think that was the one in his basement. 8 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think it 9 ought to be taken into consideration that Mr. 10 11 Olaniran has said he is not the cyber-lawyer, so now all of a sudden he knows what the damage is. 12 13 I don't know how that came up. JUDGE BARRETT: Well we were just here 14 15 minutes ago in the 1999 proceeding, and we had 15 16 extensive testimony from Mr. Whitt. And I don't 17 think we need to repeat that here, but to the extent we need to know what Mr. Whitt's processes 18 19 and history are or were, we can incorporate the 20 transcript of the 1999 hearings -- we all know hàd a company, he did his data 21 Mr. Whitt

manipulation, he retired, he turned over what he

could, he found one in his basement on the eve of 1 our determination in the '99 proceeding, you 2 know, we are familiar with that, okay? 3 So all I need to establish today, or 4 all we need to establish, is whether there is any 5 data anywhere in any form, I mean useable form, 6 7 that is not included in the spreadsheet that 8 MPAA's counsel produced in response to 9 Judges' July order. And I understand you -- I 1.0 will let you answer that, is there, to your 1.1. knowledge? 12 MS. PLOVNICK: Not to my knowledge. 13 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 14 MR. OLANIRAN: I am very confident, Your Honor, that there isn't. 15 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. 17 MR. OLANIRAN: And if I could add one 18 final point, I know -- and this is why it was absolutely critical that for Appendices A and B 19 for Ms. Saunders's testimony, you could not rely 2.0 21 on anything else but the list of claimants 22 supported by the representation record and the

| 1 | list of titles supported by the certification. |
|----|---|
| 2 | JUDGE STRICKLER: As opposed to the |
| 3 | Excel spreadsheet. |
| 4 | MR. OLANIRAN: As opposed well. |
| 5 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Among other things, |
| 6 | as opposed to the Excel spreadsheet |
| 7 | MR. OLANIRAN: Again, if you read our |
| 8 | briefs, we were trying to explain as much as we |
| 9 | can. This is exactly the problem that we were |
| 10 | trying to articulate in our briefs because our |
| 11 | interpretation of the discovery rule is to |
| 12 | provide documents that underlie the testimony. |
| 13 | The document that underlies Ms. Saunders's |
| 14 | testimony with regard to the titles that were |
| 15 | claimed are the certifications which we provided, |
| 16 | and also, the list appended to her testimony |
| 17 | which was digitized, I believe, in searchable |
| 18 | form, for IPG to use. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean, you were |
| 20 | on your feet. |
| 21 | MR. MACLEAN: Oh. Your Honor, I |
| 22 | decided not to interrupt. However, since you |

have called on me, Your Honor -- and I 1 actually a little bit embarrassed to raise this 2 because I know how it might sound -- however, Mr. 3 Galaz is at this very moment a witness on the 4 stand even though he is not sitting in the 5 witness chair right now. 6 Ms. Saunders is testifying only as to, 7 as I understand, the foundation with respect to a 8 9 document that was offered in Mr. 10 testimony. Ι would request the Judges admonish and direct Mr. Galaz not to pass notes 11 12 and whisper with his counsel, particularly regarding his testimony while he is a witness on 13 the stand. 14 15 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, there has 16 communication between us about been no There has been communication with us 17 testimony. testimony, think 18 about her and Ι that's 19 permissible. 2.0 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, it is. 21 Thank you, Mr. MacLean. 22 Mr. Boydston, do you want to ask any

| 1 | questions of Ms. Saunders before your client gets |
|----|---|
| 2 | back on the stand? |
| 3 | MR. BOYDSTON: I would. Can I just do |
| 4 | it from here? |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: As long as the court |
| 6 | reporter can hear you. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. |
| 8 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 9 | BY MR. BOYDSTON: |
| 10 | Q Now Ms. Saunders, and correct me if |
| 11 | I'm wrong, I am only going off memory, I don't |
| 12 | have a transcript, but my recollection was that |
| 13 | this all came up originally in the context of me |
| L4 | asking you about Appendix A and B to your |
| L5 | declaration, one of which listed programs and one |
| L6 | of which listed program owners. Do you |
| L7 | A Claimants, claimants. |
| L8 | Q recall thank you, claimants. |
| L9 | Thank you, claimants, yes. Do you recall that |
| 20 | ? |
| 21 | Q I do. |
| 22 | A And my question was initially |

something along the lines of, you know, how did you connect the two? From this big list of programs, how do you connect which programs are owned by which claimant? And you said that Mr. Whitt did that, the third-party vendor. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And I said, okay. And do you know how he did it? And you said something to the effect of I don't know, but he took those things and he used some sort of computer programs or something like that to do it and came up with lists and then returned them to us in a paper format, at which point Marsha Kessler and then her successor put them together with certifications. Is that accurate?

A I don't know if the actual words you just used are accurate, but the concept is absolutely spot-on. I do not know what was in Mr. Whitt's machines, his processes. I do not know if he created a database. I do not know how he used the information that came to him. All I

| 1 | know is what the MPAA got and distributed to his |
|-----|--|
| 2 | claimants, or I should say, I know that Ms. |
| 3 | Kessler distributed it to her claimants. |
| 4 | Q What were where did the appendices |
| 5 | come from? |
| 6 | A The appendices were they were |
| 7 | generated, as I understand it, by Mr. Whitt in |
| 8 | respect of each claimant. |
| | |
| 9 | Q Okay. Just to make clear, when I say |
| LO | appendices, I mean the Appendix A and Appendix B |
| L1 | |
| L2 | A Oh, I am so sorry. The list of our |
| L3 | claimants and of our titles? |
| L4 | Q Yes, right. |
| L5 | A I those lists were prepared by |
| .6 | counsel in response to, or based on the |
| .7 | certification reports that were returned to MPAA |
| -8 | by our claimants. |
| .9 | Q So they would get the certification |
| 20 | reports in and they'd just make a big list? |
| 21 | A Yes, exactly. It was really arduous. |
| 22 | Q Then you testified I asked you, I |
| - 1 | 1 |

think, you know whatever -- actually, I don't 1 2 remember --And I should also add it was really Α 3 expensive. 4 it 5 bet was. You then recollection is that you then testified that when 6 Mr. Whitt retired, he turned over his hard drive 7 to you, and then you turned it over to your 8 counsel, correct? 9 1.0 He turned it over, actually, to then, 11 our then-Director for Retransmission Royalty, Sandra Pope, who at my instruction turned it over 12 13 -- actually, I believe that my instruction was that my lawyers send a courier and collect from 14 15 Ms. Pope's office literally every scrap of every thing that she could find or lay her hands on 16 17 that pertained to these proceedings and these 18 royalty years, including Mr. Whitt's hard drive. 19 The Appendix A and to your declaration, you remember my talking about the 2.0 21 programs --The lists and the claimants and the 22 Α

parties, yes? 1 Did you communicate with counsel as to 2 how those were created, or do you know how those 3 were created by counsel? Did they type up the 4 1,000 pages, or do you know? 5 I don't know. Α 6 7 Now given that the certifications and 0 the program lists attached to the certifications 8 were created by Mr. Whitt, to your knowledge, 9 10 from the -- and using his devices, whatever they were, and given that the chart that was given to 11 IPG pursuant to the order by the court was 12 13 created by the same source, according to your counsel, wouldn't you expect that they would line 14 15 up? I believe, and I had lengthy 16 Α No. 17 conversations with my lawyers during which I almost tore my hair out because this process took 18 19 forever and cost thousands and thousands of dollars --20 And which one was this extraction? 21 Q 22 Extracting the lists of claimants and Α

owners by royalty year to cover all the years at 1 issue in this proceeding, and it was beyond 2 frustrating that we didn't have a single, to use 3 your word, database that we could draw from 4 because that would have made all of our lives so 5 much easier. 6 7 The extraction you are talking about is what extraction, the extraction from Mr. 8 Whitt's hard drive? 9 10 I am talking about the melding Α No. together or the retrieval of data from all the 11 sources at our disposal, which were Mr. Whitt's 12 13 hard drive, the paper records individually by 14 royalty year, and the process of making a compendium that went claimant by year for all the 15 years and all the claimants that are at issue in 16 17 this proceeding. 18 And when did this process that you're describing right now take place? 19 I am a little confused. 2.0 I actually don't recall. 21 Д Well 22 remember many conversations that I had from my

home office, walking around in frustration in my 1 This fall, this fall -- this fall, living room. 2 starting back in the spring, I don't, I am sorry, 3 I do not recall. 4 Do you recall if that was the effort 5 that was made in response to the Judges' order to 6 7 come up with --8 Α Oh no, I --MR. OLANIRAN: Objection, Your Honor. 9 10 We are now wading into way outside the scope. MR. BOYDSTON: Well I am just -- she 11 was explaining when this took place, and I was 12 13 just trying to identify --14 THE WITNESS: No no, that conversation I remember very, very clearly. 15 That was a phone 16 call I got telling me that we had to produce an 17 electronic record, and I was embarrassed because 18 I believe the order actually said something to 19 the effect that MPAA is such a sophisticated organization, we don't believe that they don't 2.0 21 have an electronic database, by which I was quite

chagrined.

22

| 1 | (Laughter.) |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE STRICKLER: I just want to ask |
| 3 | you a quick question so I can understand this. |
| 4 | Appendix A and Appendix B deal with |
| 5 | certification, okay? |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 7 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Were those based at |
| 8 | all on anything on Mr. Whitt's hard drive? Yes |
| 9 | or no? I am not interested in your living room. |
| 10 | Yes or no? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: I well, I did not |
| 12 | know that until Ms. Plovnick just said that they |
| 13 | used data from Mr. Whitt's hard drive. |
| 14 | MS. PLOVNICK: No, just to clarify. |
| 15 | Appendix A and B are from the certification, and |
| 16 | you know, what she was talking about with the |
| 17 | Excel file was something |
| 18 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay, okay, you know |
| 19 | what, I want to understand this. Exhibits A and |
| 20 | B came from what? |
| 21 | MS. PLOVNICK: The certifications. |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |

| 1 | JUDGE STRICKLER: And not from the |
|----|--|
| 2 | hard drive? |
| 3 | MS. PLOVNICK: Not from the hard |
| 4 | drive. |
| 5 | JUDGE STRICKLER: And the hard drive |
| 6 | was used to create the information that was |
| 7 | required pursuant to our order? |
| 8 | MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 9 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Those are two |
| 10 | distinct things. |
| 11 | JUDGE BARRETT: And perhaps some of |
| 12 | the information that is attached to the |
| 13 | certifications, but we are taking that on faith. |
| 14 | MS. PLOVNICK: Mr. Whitt did those. |
| 15 | JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. |
| 16 | MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. |
| 17 | JUDGE BARRETT: And it's his hard |
| 18 | drive. |
| 19 | JUDGE STRICKLER: Because Mr. Whitt's |
| 20 | hard drive information is derived, at least in |
| 21 | part, from the same from that paper, those |
| 22 | papers that Ms. Kessler had been |

| 1 | MS. PLOVNICK: He made the paper that |
|----|---|
| 2 | went to Ms. Kessler. |
| 3 | MR. OLANIRAN: And just a point of |
| 4 | clarification, Mr. Whitt the sheets that |
| 5 | support the certifications were delivered to MPAA |
| 6 | long before this proceeding |
| 7 | MS. PLOVNICK: Days before. |
| 8 | MR. OLANIRAN: when Mr. Whitt was |
| 9 | still running his operation and he had complete |
| 10 | control of his operation. |
| 11 | MS. PLOVNICK: Very archaic, days of |
| 12 | old |
| 13 | MR. OLANIRAN: Now the databases were |
| 14 | produced, we went scrambling for them to comply |
| 15 | with the Judges' order. |
| 16 | JUDGE STRICKLER: So if someone wanted |
| 17 | to try to impeach Ms. Saunders's Appendix A and |
| 18 | Appendix B by looking at the underlying material, |
| 19 | it's your position, then, that they should not be |
| 20 | looking at the hard any information on that |
| 21 | Excel spreadsheet, but they should be looking at |
| 22 | the underlying documentation itself? |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. OLANIRAN: I am so glad you put it that way because that's exactly the point that I was making earlier, and this is what I meant by it would be a completely meaningless exercise to tabulate or to organize in some form this information on this Excel spreadsheet because it was not the basis for Appendices A and B in Ms. Saunders's accounting.

Τ understand JUDGE STRICKLER: So that, and I thank you, I think I understand your position. But it's IPG's position that they are in a position to if not impeach the value of those appendices, to question them because there are other documents that were within the care, MPAA or its custody, and control of including Mr. Whitt and whomever he gave his hard drive information, and to compare that information with the claims that are being made and representing that these copyrights really weren't owned by or had an agency with those who are represented on Appendices A and B.

You might say pay no attention to that

document behind the curtain, but they want us to 1 pay every bit of attention to it, and then we 2 have to decide whether that's a good impeachment 3 4 or not. That's a fair point, 5 MR. OLANIRAN: Whitt was not deciding who owns the 6 7 copyright or not. JUDGE STRICKLER: But you gave them 8 the documents, Mr. Whitt's information, and he is 9 10 now saying I am comparing that information to what I see in your representations, and I see a 11 difference. And you're saying that difference 12 Whitt's 13 doesn't matter because that Mr.information is of no relevance. 14 MR. OLANIRAN: Well this is Mr. 15 Whitt's information long after he stopped running 16 17 his operation. Anything could have gone on with those databases. What is most relevant is the 18 information that Mr. Whitt delivered to MPAA when 19 20 Mr. Whitt was running his shop and Mr. Whitt was in constant communication with Ms. Kessler and 21

they were trying to figure out a way to support

22

the -- to provide a list for the claimants to sign up on to actually certify.

And that's what made it into the -whatever may be in Mr. Whitt's database that was
provided this June when we were scrambling around
trying to figure out a way to comply with the
order --

JUDGE STRICKLER: Well you had to figure out a way to comply with the order, and I suppose one of the things you could have done -- I am not saying you did, or -- but you could have said we can't comply, there is no such material that exists. Instead you said --

MR. OLANIRAN: We said --

And then you complied by saying here is information that we have that complies with the order and provides the necessary information about the copyrights and the agents and the owners, and now in some sense you are saying well, yeah, we did that for you, but pay no attention to what we did because we had to give

2.0

you something, so we gave you a bunch of junk.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

OLANIRAN: Your Honor, it quite a frustrating experience trying to figure out what to do, and I don't deny that that is -that would have been one option. And we did the best we could under the circumstances, knowing that -- and now, and another way to look at this, had we done what you said and they go in the database and they see the discrepancies between what we produced and -- between the hard copy of the certifications and what's in that database, then we may have come here arquing about the discrepancies.

And I don't -- I think that that's a fair point, but then we get arguing about well why are there discrepancies? And we would still be going back to look at the certifications. That's what the claimants are claiming.

JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. But you produced that. It is in response to our order, but it's in response to our order compelling for the discovery, so it constitutes discovery.

And so you can point out that your own 1 discovery that you produced has holes in it, 2 deficiencies in it, and you can make 3 argument, and you are making that argument --4 MR. OLANIRAN: We actually stated that 5 in the cover letter that went to IPG. And if you 6 7 look at 333, that's exactly why we make that 8 point because we know, as lawyers, we don't produce discovery, and most of the work we claim 9 10 work product privilege on, and we had to find --11 JUDGE STRICKLER: Ι am sorry 12 interrupt you, but that raises an interesting 13 question, and I was concerned when Ms. Saunders 14 said that. She said that she dumped it all on 15 you and it was very expensive, I think that's 16 when you started talking about pulling your hair 17 out in the living room. And there's no reason why that had to 18 be done. That could have been done in-house. 19 20 could have been done with an outside contractor. 21 But it was given to you.

THE WITNESS: You're mistaken.

22

so sorry, that could not have been done in-house.

JUDGE STRICKLER: Yeah, the choice to take evidence of whatever quality and have the attorneys compile the information is a choice that the client makes. It is still the client's discovery. And the adversarial party is free to do with it as it may, and you are free to explain why it may be bad information, but it was still discoverable pursuant to our order. And let the chips fall where they may.

DUDGE BARRETT: It's water way -excuse me, it's water way over the dam right now
whether we required it or not. The fact that we
were incredulous in 2014 that the company didn't
have electronic data for this time period did not
require MPAA to create it, but MPAA in its own
interest as much as in ours, I think, and in the
interest of these proceedings, did the best it
could, okay?

. Now, the fact that MPAA created a spreadsheet and the fact that MPAA's witness supplied data that didn't necessarily correlate

| 1 | to the spreadsheet, those are you know, those |
|----|--|
| 2 | are issues that never the twain shall meet. |
| 3 | There's a spreadsheet out there that combines |
| 4 | everything they could put their hands on, and |
| 5 | there are appendices to this witness's written |
| 6 | testimony based on paper. |
| 7 | MR. BOYDSTON: Can I just have ten |
| 8 | seconds? |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: Am I correct? Yes. |
| 10 | And then we are going to leave because I have an |
| 11 | appointment. |
| 12 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well I guess I can't |
| 13 | have ten seconds. |
| 14 | JUDGE BARRETT: No, you may, Mr. |
| 15 | Boydston. |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: Why didn't they give us |
| 17 | a copy of Whitt's hard drive? Why didn't they do |
| 18 | that? Why did they invent this other thing when |
| 19 | what we were asking for was exactly that? |
| 20 | JUDGE BARRETT: You know, I think |
| 21 | well, I don't know, Mr. Boydston |
| 22 | MR. BOYDSTON: Judge Strickler was |

| 1 | about to ask counsel. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE STRICKLER: No, I believe that |
| 3 | counsel responded |
| 4 | JUDGE BARRETT: The hard drive was on |
| 5 | a late twentieth century gadget. |
| 6 | MR. BOYDSTON: So? |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: You know, I don't know |
| 8 | why they didn't. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Those records would |
| 10 | have been responsive. |
| 11 | MR. OLANIRAN: I think I've explained |
| 12 | about as much as I can, and so two things that I |
| 13 | wanted to address. One is the status of Exhibit |
| 14 | 341. I would like to move to admit 341, and then |
| 15 | I have a quick housekeeping matter. |
| 16 | MR. BOYDSTON: I have no further |
| 17 | questions for the witness. My objection remains, |
| 18 | obviously. |
| 19 | JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Exhibit |
| 20 | 341 is admitted. |
| 21 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to |
| 22 | document was received into evidence as MPAA |

Exhibit 341.) 1 housekeeping JUDGE BARRETT: And 2 matter, Mr. Olaniran? 3 MR. OLANIRAN: The housekeeping matter 4 is that Ms. Saunders has an extensive travel 5 6 schedule coming up. I have spoken to 7 Boydston earlier today, and he had agreed that Ms. Saunders could come on after we'd completed 8 the cross-examination of Mr. Galaz. 9 10 And Ms. Saunders wanted to be able to testify first thing in the morning since first 11 she has to -- she doesn't live in town, she has 12 13 to travel a couple hours to get to her place of residence and then pack, feed the dogs and the 14 horses and the chickens or whatever before she 15 16 heads out to Europe. 17 And I was really wondering if it's possible -- I know that we're in the middle of 18 Mr. Galaz's cross-examination -- if I could 19 20 examine, if Ms. Saunders could be examined first thing tomorrow morning. 21

MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.

22

| 1 | MR. MACLEAN: No objection. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. We'll do that, |
| 3 | starting at 9 o'clock. |
| 4 | MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may I |
| 5 | instruct my witnesses that they need not come |
| 6 | until after lunch tomorrow? |
| 7 | JUDGE BARRETT: I think that's |
| 8 | acceptable. |
| 9 | MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, I am |
| 10 | hoping that this won't go on much longer. Could |
| 11 | you have one of them come before lunch just in |
| 12 | case? |
| 13 | JUDGE BARRETT: That's probably the |
| 14 | better part of valor, Mr. MacLean. Have at least |
| 15 | one come. Pick one. |
| 16 | MR. MACLEAN: How about, can I at |
| 17 | least tell them 11 or something to that effect? |
| 18 | MR. BOYDSTON: Why don't we say 10:30? |
| 19 | (Laughter.) |
| 20 | MR. OLANIRAN: And may Ms. Saunders be |
| 21 | excused? |
| 22 | JUDGE BARRETT: For this evening yes. |

| 1 | And, you know, if well I don't never mind |
|----|---|
| 2 | I am going to stop talking while I still can. |
| 3 | MR. OLANIRAN: Well she'll be here 9 |
| 4 | o'clock tomorrow morning, Your Honor. |
| 5 | JUDGE BARRETT: Nine o'clock tomorrow |
| 6 | morning. Thank you all. |
| 7 | MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 8 | MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. |
| 9 | JUDGE BARRETT: And off the record. |
| 10 | (Whereupon, the hearing went off the |
| 11 | record at 4:40 p.m.) |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | · |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |

| A |
|--|
| A&E 76:6 185:17 186:7 |
| 186:9,14 a.m 1:19 5:2 78:21,22 |
| 112:3,4 ABC 182:2 191:1 205:4 |
| 219:13,21 |
| ability 12:21 146:22 169:9 |
| able 16:6 21:8 49:18 93:22 137:6 161:7 |
| 192:15 230:1 275:17 |
| 305:17 321:16,17 322:9 345:10 |
| above-entitled 1:18 112:2 141:3 265:4 |
| above-referred 105:2 112:10 121:13 123:21 |
| 135:1 154:9 155:22 |
| 160:12 162:14 167:17 170:17 171:2 172:15 |
| 172:21 180:14 187:20 194:18 195:4 200:17 |
| 201:19 204:2,8 206:6 |
| 206:15 208:13,19 210:4,11 213:8 |
| 214:22 216:8,14 218:8,15 220:20 |
| 238:13 239:18 242:4 |
| 251:11 256:11 259:20 261:7 279:19 344:21 |
| Abrahams 122:6 absence 223:8 249:14 |
| absolutely 24:14 148:8 |
| 158:21 166:8 193:15 |
| 194:2,6 196:10 316:4 |
| 316:14 324:19 328:19 |
| Academy 181:3,5,11 |
| 182:11 |
| accept 6:9 acceptable 223:5 346:8 |
| accepts 147:2 |
| access 229:14 230:16 |
| accident 16:11 17:15 |
| accompanying 49:8 |
| accorded 263:19 264:3 282:1,22 283:11 |
| account 53:14 76:21 |
| 77:17 87:19,20 |
| accounting 20:19 |
| 101:20 102:5 113:6 |
| 223:8 337:8 |
| accounts 72:15 73:4,17 79:8 82:20 |
| accurate 11:16,17 |
| 94:19 292:8,12,13,14 |
| |
| 328:16,18 Achievement 202:7 |

```
acknowledge 301:22
acknowledges 147:11
acknowledgment 37:10
  48:10,11 51:10 52:8
  52:11,19 54:2 57:20
  59:19 63:14 66:20
  69:4,16 75:5
acknowledgments
  48:18.20 51:13 57:16
acquired 20:21 21:19
  22:11 321:7
acquisition 21:2,9
acronvm 159:1 296:22
acting 153:12 190:4
action 33:7,15
actual 97:14 137:8
  146:22 147:12 154:4
  157:9 298:5,6 328:17
add 273:5 323:2 324:17
  330:3
addition 5:13 80:14
  118:10 147:15 290:17
  290:20 293:7
additional 51:10 61:21
  63:16 127:7 128:13
  136:1 164:20 244:8
  278:22 284:9
address 36:14 50:5
  60:1 81:11 117:8,14
  271:15 309:19 344:13
addressed 14:18
  140:15 225:5 250:3
addressee 122:3.5
addresses 49:20
  109:18
addressing 205:3
adequate 77:4
Adler 13:8,9,10
admissibility 169:14,21
  272.2
admissible 169:22
admission 30:21 32:19
  103:19 109:8 260:18
  261:2 273:2 278:9
admit 44:9 47:12 80:4
  104:22 111:17 151:17
  155:15 162:8 194:14
  241:21 244:5 260:11
  305:14 315:7 344:14
admitted 19:14 32:14
  33:1 44:15 46:11
  47:16 53:22 54:4
  104:13 112:6 123:2
  123:20 134:19.22
  143:11 155:21 162:13
 164:18 169:18 170:16
```

```
200:16 201:12 203:16
  204:1 206:5,5 208:12
  210:3 213:7 216:3,7
  218:3,7 220:15,19
  231:21 232:2 238:5,8
  239:12,17 242:3
  251:8 252:19 256:10
  259:15,19 260:22
  261:6 271:2,2 273:1
  276:12 279:13,18
  297:16 299:12,13
  304:11 344:20
admonish 326:11
adopted 87:2
adversarial 342:6
advised 231:8
Advisor 141:7
affidavit 34:17 35:1
  182:22
affidavits 170:6
affiliated 265:20
affirm 243:14
affirmed 147:19 243:15
AFI 3:18 202:6,8 203:9
  203:10,10
afternoon 129:7,17
  130:8 132:1,5 141:9
  141:10,12,16 227:20
  280:2,4,7 281:14
agency 110:17 150:14
  152:17 153:5 337:20
agent 24:2 133:5,16
  136:2,6 137:15
  139:20,20 144:9,20
  145:2,5 147:3,9
  150:10 151:1 153:11
  153:12,13,19 154:1
  154:20 156:9,14,15
  156:21 157:20,21
  159:15 160:1,6,7
  174:21 175:1 180:9
  182:5 188:15 189:20
  190:4,11 263:12
  268:3,18,19 269:19
  269:20 282:14,16
  283:4,18 284:1,6,10
  285:3 287:4 295:4,12
  296:2 300:12,20
  301:4,17,19 302:2
  303:10,10,13 320:3
agents 139:8,11,13
  143:18,22 152:11
  174:3 280:18 281:19
  281:22 282:2 284:19
  285:1 337:15 339:19
aggregate 152:6
ago 22:18 29:1 58:7
  70:3,8 73:10 77:13
```

92:5 102:1 124:7 150:1 174:16 191:6 307:21 323:15 agree 41:13 72:10 agreed 129:16 130:3 142:6 261:21 345:7 agreement 4:1 37:2,3,5 37:11,11 51:6,6 61:14 61:16 99:12 100:18 136:11,12,15,18,22 144:20,22 145:4,18 146:11,14,16 189:1 233:21 235:18 236:17 236:22 237:1 239:1,3 258:9 260:6 262:3 263:4 265:12,16 283:10 296:1 299:16 agreements 51:4 101:15 105:8,14,19 106:4,8 107:3 118:20 211:19,20 260:16 263:3 270:1 ahead 5:17 29:7 32:4 62:3,5 64:10 69:22 70:20 108:5 194:17 219:5 225:9 231:18 299:21 Ahh 165:15 aid 272:6 air 233:12 allegation 249:18 alleged 110:11,18 Allied 217:16 319:7,8 allocation 87:4 allow 83:12 234:10 248:6 allowed 26:22 137:3 allowing 273:21 altogether 60:21 ambiguous 301:1 America 2:18 135:15 137:19 245:13 American 184:12 202:11 207:13 219:13 258:13 **Americas** 150:6,7 166:16 173:6,8,11 ample 77:4 and/or 117:8,14 123:15 Andre 195:2 197:3,6 Angeles 2:5 animated 5:5 235:3 Animation 205:11 answer 38:15 62:22 63:1 64:14 71:2 93:22 99:20,22 100:1

172:14 175:3 176:2

192:19 194:16 196:17

102:17 112:22 122:10

123:15 199:3 205:20

229:18 267:7 294:6,8 324:10 answered 71:2,3 101:10 149:2 answering 199:1 answers 111:4 149:11 274:10 Anthony 206:12,22 207:2 anticipated 130:16 anticipating 121:10 anybody 167:9 295:8 314:16 anymore 58:4 120:20 199:3 anyway 52:12 65:22 185:21 **AOL** 53:13 apart 266:6 277:19 apologies 211:8 apologize 32:13 62:20 64:2 79:2 186:15 198:9 213:11 307:20 apology 213:15 apparent 154:20 apparently 81:16 193:6 194:17 230:8 appeal 13:22 appear 84:16 137:12 166:16 178:15 283:12 283:12 292:16,17 **APPEARANCES 2:1** appeared 10:17 71:8 74:18 174:17 184:19 283:17 appearing 208:8 appears 73:21 163:4,12 163:21 164:14 167:5 167:14 171:5 175:11 180:19 184:17 202:19 206:11 209:5 214:5 214:13 216:19 218:13 232:8 250:15 283:21 297:13,14 304:7,18 319:10 append 318:8 appended 293:2 318:8 319:11 325:16 appendices 288:14 290:21 324:19 329:4 329:6,10 337:7,13,21 appendix 183:5 184:18 189:12,18 292:6 293:14 294:2 327:14 329:10,10 330:19 334:4,4,15 336:17,18 applies 305:21

appointment 343:11 appreciate 62:17 130:9 131:3 132:6 approach 41:1 50:8,18 53:2 77:21 165:9 210:22 213:16 appropriate 86:3 188:5 251:7 278:5 approximate 246:15 approximately 143:14 262:12 archaic 336:11 arduous 329:21 argue 245:17 274:17 arguing 340:12,15 argument 85:20 86:9 86:15 146:4 273:11 276:20 341:4,4 argumentative 88:13 89:6 96:19 arguments 274:1,3 Arnold 2:13 34:18 35:4 35:6 Arnold's 34:22 array 252:8 articulate 53:5 325:10 Arts 181:3,5,11 182:11 aside 91:9 asked 5:19 17:2 21:7 27:2,3 47:3 54:11 71:2 82:20 97:21 101:9,10 108:10 112:21 118:19,21 124:19,21 168:13 177:1 260:18 274:4.4 302:4 304:16 308:21 311:22 314:7 329:22 asking 11:14 59:18 64:13,16,21 65:2 70:9 70:13 82:22 92:19 104:12.15 130:10 131:16 193:5 198:15 255:12 257:15 261:1 274:12 278:9,18 279:2 300:2 302:6 313:5,6 327:14 343:19 aspect 16:15 142:13 239:5 assert 76:17 249:9 assertion 56:6 assess 322:14 assist 192:2 assistant 17:16 319:17 associated 137:17 151:6 188:14 209:19 318:7

135:15 137:19 220:2 245:13 assume 7:13 assuming 8:1 **ATAS** 181:14,14 182:10 attach 54:6 attached 75:5 115:12 169:3 175:14,16 220:10 244:12 277:2 310:18 331:8 335:12 attachment 4:16 45:16 103:7,19 104:13,15 104:22 105:3,6,12 115:17,22 120:14 121:3 180:4 298:7 302:9 attachments 103:6 169:20 170:6,10 attacked 185:17 230:12 attempt 61:22 63:12,17 108:3 111:16 123:14 123:14 281:18 attempted 257:20 attempting 31:12 38:7 38:19 62:20 attempts 69:7 attend 141:1 attention 26:8 151:18 196:9 210:15 217:19 220:3 241:7 243:12 252:15 262:6 290:11 304:1 337:22 338:2 339:22 attest 184:16,19 attested 212:1 attesting 148:9 attorney 141:7 177:22 attornevs 36:1 342:4 attributable 219:21 251:1 attributed 146:19 154:3 157:5,17 161:20 168:12 184:12 188:8 196:4 203:8 207:13 212:18 219:14 220:1 221:16,18 222:1 234:18 236:12 243:9 244:17 257:8,19 269:5 280:17 281:19 281:22 284:19 285:1 285:7 296:15 attributing 180:8 181:21 221:19 302:19 302:21 audible 126:4 Audio 156:16 157:11

Australia 153:7,9,13 156:18 159:7 Australian-based 296:19 authenticate 305:18 authenticity 130:4 author 50:1 301:12 authored 49:15 148:6,8 203:10 authority 24:6,15 26:4 26:11 56:8 111:1 198:3 294:11 authorize 116:20 authorized 45:21 91:6 91:11 198:2,6,16 200:2,4,7 201:1 255:9 295:8 300:20 320:3 availability 315:5 available 229:7 Avalon 155:10 156:11 156:21 **AVCS** 156:12,13,16,21 157:14 158:1 168:9 168:15 171:17,19 298:2 Avenue 1:17 2:5 awaiting 275:7 Award 3:18 202:7 awards 181:15,16,17 181:17,17,18 182:8 183:1,2,4,4,16,16 184:7 188:17 190:17 191:12 192:9 aware 6:14 106:2 117:6 120:10 124:11 159:14 188:7 222:3 233:9 261:11 295:21 296:6 awareness 148:11 Azteca 4:5 259:1 260:8 263:15,21 264:3,5,11

В **b** 183:5 191:10 195:2 290:21 292:6 293:14 294:2 324:19 327:14 329:10 330:19 334:4 334:15,20 336:18 337:7,21 back 15:14 42:18 58:10 60:1 70:7 73:6 78:21 84:15 107:5 110:13 110:15 111:14 120:17 128:12 141:14 144:16 149:5 161:15 184:17 219:19 231:21 263:14 267:22 285:9 299:17 306:1 327:2 333:3 340:17

Association 2:18

168:9

| 1. 1140.04 |
|--|
| background 13:21 74:16 75:2 76:9,11 |
| 261:19 |
| backup 51:3 |
| bad 135:7 342:8 |
| baggage 85:15 balance 143:21 |
| ball 320:9 |
| ballpark 225:16,17 |
| banking 20:20 |
| banned 235:2 Barbara 202:7 |
| BARNETT 1:20 |
| BARRETT 5:3,18 6:2,6 |
| 7:1,17,18 8:3,22 9:7 16:3,18 17:2,11 18:18 |
| 18:21 19:3,13 25:15 |
| 26:19 27:2 28:10,13 |
| 29:2,6,20 30:5,12,19 |
| 31:10,15,21 32:3,15 32:22 33:8,22 35:2 |
| |
| 38:3 41:2,10,12,15 42:3,9,12,15,19 43:7 |
| 43:12 44:15,20 45:7 45:13 46:6,9 47:16 |
| |
| 54:11,16 56:20 57:6 62:16,21 63:5 64:5 |
| 67:13,17,20 68:2,19 |
| 71:6 74:12 77:22 78:14.17 79:1 81:1.6 |
| 78:14,17 79:1 81:1,6 82:16,22 83:3,10 |
| 84:11 86:5 87:10 |
| 88:14 89:7,17 90:4,12 90:17 95:4 96:20 98:4 |
| 99:19 101:11 104:12 |
| 104:17,21 108:5 |
| 110:8 111:22 112:5 112:13 117:21 118:6 |
| 120:21 121:2,8,12 |
| 122:3,8 123:16,19 |
| 125:11,14,17,20 |
| 126:2,5,9,13,16,19,22 127:4,7,19,22 128:6 |
| 128:10,14,20 129:4 |
| 129:13 130:14 131:1 |
| 131:9,22 132:8 133:21 134:12 16 19 |
| 133:21 134:12,16,19 134:21 139:16 140:14 |
| 140:19 141:6 142:3,9 |
| 142:17,21 149:4 151:21 155:11,21 |
| 162:13 163:14 165:10 |
| 165:13,18 166:5,20 |
| 167:10 170:12,16 172:13 174:9 175:2 |
| 176:1,10,18 177:9 |
| 182:19 183:10,17,21 |
| 187:1,5,12,18 188:3 |

| 191:4 193:20 194:4 194:15 196:15,21 198:11 199:2 200:10 200:15 201:11 203:22 206:4 207:22 208:11 210:3 211:2 213:6,17 214:7,12,18 216:6 218:6 219:4 220:18 223:11,17 225:9 226:8,19 227:8,15,18 228:2,6,14 231:18 232:1 238:4,7 239:16 242:2 243:18,21 244:6 245:4 246:9 250:21 253:12 256:9 259:18 260:14,17,22 261:3,6 264:17 265:2 265:7 266:18,22 270:11 271:21 272:19 274:15 275:4 276:3 277:18 278:19 279:9 279:18 280:1 282:12 299:11,14 301:13 302:11 306:21 309:18 310:9 313:14,20 314:2,10,22 315:19 316:2,5,10,12,15 320:6,11,16 321:10 321:15,22 322:17 323:14 324:13,16 325:19 326:20 327:5 335:11,15,17 342:11 343:9,14,20 344:4,7 344:19 345:2 346:2,7 346:13,22 347:5,9 base 223:16 321:18 based 41:20 62:2 112:18 127:12 137:1 141:9 146:20 152:7 153:6 154:15 163:20 170:12 174:16 184:5 184:6 209:17 246:2 |
|---|
| 250:15 313:10 315:10 322:10 329:16 334:7 |
| 343:6 basement 314:14 323:8 |
| 324:1 bases 33:5 86:12 87:6 |
| basically 8:9 17:16 20:18 50:1 75:11 115:6 181:14,18 |
| 262:1 266:10 basis 42:4 84:6 85:3,6 |
| 86:7 87:6 126:3 183:11 184:2 199:19 |
| 199:21 222:8 223:19 241:17,18 245:1 294:17 303:6 306:22 |

```
307:4 313:12 337:7
batch 66:21
Bates 305:10
BBC 3:16 150:6,6,12,17
  150:18 166:15 173:5
  173:7,20,22 174:2,18
  177:6,11,20 178:9,19
  179:17 180:2
BCC 173:11
Beast 3:19,20 209:9
  210:18,19
beg 5:4 19:6 135:7
  228:3 270:12 279:10
  308:4
began 25:9
beginning 25:7 129:8
  130:1 131:13 142:1
  238:10
behalf 2:2,7,17 36:20
  37:6 39:5 41:8 44:2
  91:5,7,11,14 92:10
  95:19 96:22 97:6,18
  99:4,11 100:16
  101:13 104:1,3,8
  114:3 119:20 136:10
  139:4 161:12,14
  173:10,20 174:2
  177:12 178:9 179:17
  189:19 190:5,18
  201:2 215:22 236:11
  249:6 263:20 268:15
  305:1 317:17 319:15
  319:15
belief 184:3
believe 5:9 8:5 11:15
  14:2 16:14 17:20
  23:18 48:13 50:8 56:4
  57:21 63:18 71:3
  72:17 73:5 83:1 91:4
  97:3.4 106:6.9 119:19
  124:15 151:13 153:22
  159:17 166:1 174:7
  186:16 187:8 188:5.9
  205:3 231:6 233:14
  242:16 243:20.22
  253:15 255:17 259:13
  261:20 273:21 274:13
  305:9 309:16 310:1
  321:8 325:17 330:13
  331:16 333:18.20
  344:2
Bell-Phillip 305:1
belong 68:9
belt 57:3
belt-and-suspenders
  50:7,18 52:13 53:2
BEN 2:13
```

350 beneficial 274:21 benefit 277:5 benefits 261:21 Benny 9:7,9,10 best 8:21 10:4 37:17 178:10 222:8 246:3 247:17 249:15,20 340:6 342:18 bet 330:5 better 23:14 51:10 63:9 222:11 233:13 346:14 beyond 31:2 153:13 245:16 281:21 332:2 big 11:21 12:1 150:10 312:5,6,9 328:2 329:20 bigger 12:2,10 binder 8:21 26:14 102:20 164:21 166:11 214:10 binders 7:20 8:13 54:21 birth 166:2 bit 18:19 23:12,13 48:2 51:17 77:15 162:7 221:11 280:6 305:21 326:2 338:2 bite 6:20 bits 51:7 322:16 blank 67:22 254:15,19 255:9 blanket 64:14 blanks 44:22 board 113:7 117:3,7,9 117:12.18 Board's 117:13 Bob 101:13 104:1 105:7 105:8,13,19 106:5,22 107:2,20 119:18,21 119:22 120:3,10,12 120:16,17,18,19 219:16 bold 75:3.4 book 298:4 bother 62:12 167:9 bottom 9:8 163:9 237:13.15 238:11 254:13 290:16 **box** 6:5 boxes 14:21 45:2.5 274:11 300:5.8 Boydston 2:4.4 3:3.6.9 5:8,10,20 6:3,10 7:16 7:17 8:4,8,12 9:2,5,11 9:14,19,22 10:3,14 16:4,16,19,20 17:3,4 18:10,16 19:1,4,6,15

bench 309:19

26:15,20,21 27:5 28:5

28:11,13 29:17 30:2,9

| 30:17 31:1,8,13,18,2 | 2 |
|--|---|
| 32:9 33:3,9 34:20 35:19 36:5,9 37:22 38:12 41:6 42:1,5 44:14 47:15 54:5,14 56:18,22 62:8,16,19 | |
| 63:2 64:1 66:2 67:8 67:14,16,18 68:1,12 69:9 71:1 74:9,13 80:6 81:2 82:10 83:7 | o |
| 83:11 84:8 85:22 87: 88:12 89:5,14 90:1 94:20 95:3,7 96:18 98:1 99:14 101:8 103:20 109:9,13 | ŏ |
| 110:4 111:3 116:12 116:13 117:19 118:1 120:7,9 121:1,4,9,16 122:4,9 123:1,9,18 124:2 125:9 127:19 | 6 |
| 124:2 125:9 127:19 127:20 128:1,3,15,18 129:2,10 130:2,16,19 131:2,3 132:8,10 134:1,20 135:4 | 3 |
| 142:11,20,21 143:7 149:8 151:22 154:6 154:13 155:14,19 156:3 160:9,16 162:6 | ò |
| 162:17 163:5,11,15 163:16,18 164:9,22 165:8,15,22 166:5,8 166:18 167:3,7,11,12 167:21 168:17 169:1 | |
| 170:7,15,20 171:7 172:5,18 173:3 174:10 175:5,22 176:7,11,20,21 177:15 180:12,18 | |
| 183:18,19 184:1 185:1,15 187:10,14 188:6 192:12 194:12 194:21 195:8 196:13 | |
| 196:16 198:5,9,22 200:1,21 201:7,15 202:2 203:15 204:5 204:13 205:18 206:9 206:19 207:21 208:1 | |
| 208:16 209:1,21 210:7,22 211:4 213:2 213:15,18,20 214:9 214:13,16,19 215:4 | |
| 216:2,11,18 218:2,11 218:19 219:6 220:14 221:1 222:13 223:9 223:15,18 225:17 | |
| 226:18,22 227:7,16 227:22 228:1 231:19 231:20 232:3,7 235:7 | |

235:14 236:15 237:10 237:20 238:2.5.16.20 239:11.21 240:3 241:20 242:7.15 243:20.22 244:4 245:2.5 246:5.10 248:9 250:9,18 251:14,19 252:18 253:5 255:17 256:14 258:5 259:14 260:1,9 260:15,20 261:1,10 264:17,19 265:8,9,15 266:19 267:2,9 270:8 270:12 271:10,14,21 274:19 276:16 278:13 278:21 279:5,8,10,22 299:10 301:8 305:16 306:22 307:2 308:4 308:10 309:11 310:4 310:10.15,20 311:4 311:22 313:2 315:13 315:14.18 316:12 320:4 323:9 326:15 326:22 327:3,7,9 333:11 343:7,12,15 343:16,21,22 344:6,9 344:16 345:7,22 346:9,18 347:8 Boydston's 235:13 313:18 branded 174:22 break 6:17,19 79:7 140:20 227:17,19,20 230:4 264:18 breaking 79:2 Brian 2:4 36:11,19 96:8 114:6 116:10,12,13 brief 235:20 278:4 Briefly 28:2 briefs 274:2 325:8,10 bring 6:18 186:8,9 210:14 217:18 220:3 229:21 241:6 243:11 252:14 bringing 79:3 brings 7:10,12 144:7,11 broadcast 189:4 221:5 221:7 321:6 broadcaster 181:12 182:6 188:7 202:17 203:8 204:22 207:9 209:13 212:14.22 215:19 217:13 219:11 221:5,7 256:18 broadcasters 180:8 broadcasting 104:4

215:21 217:17 219:13 219:22 221:16 222:1 319:15 broke 10:15 143:9 265:10 brought 33:17 85:16 123:11,12 196:7,8 Buck 158:2,4,7 Building 1:16 bullet 156:20 bunch 6:1 78:5 340:1 **business** 15:9 61:2 112:15 113:4,7 142:1 308:20 312:13 **C** 305:12 C.B 319:7 **CA** 2:5 cable 1:6 3:12 4:10 11:8 11:13 43:1.3.4.21 44:3,10 47:4,6 106:11 108:19 126:10 175:12 178:20,21 183:5 191:11 292:7 305:13 317:5 318:15 calculated 150:20,21 151:5 calculation 151:20 152:4,5,20 229:3 256:19 257:13 calculations 257:1.14 calendar 37:8 56:9 173:21 184:11 196:5 207:14 215:15.21 319:20 320:1 California 21:14 call 42:22 48:18,19 51:19 53:16 60:9 114:2 128:15 141:16 207:16 333:16 called 10:9 15:13 16:7 58:10 240:8 326:1 calling 116:4 calls 22:8 29:18 38:1 61:22 63:17 66:5 69:17 99:15,17 129:2 campaigning 309:10 capacity 198:16 318:10 caps 75:3.4 caption 254:12.14 capture 191:20 captured 293:10 car 16:11 17:15 care 52:11 337:14 careful 26:1 91:5,10 101:1

201:1 207:13 212:17

carried 114:14 carrying 238:9,11 case 11:3 23:1 60:3.8 81:20 112:9 116:22 118:4 120:5 130:18 138:3 142:1 145:22 146:1 147:13 164:6 168:14 229:10 230:8 234:20 241:10 249:8 346:12 cases 49:3 135:22 189:3 255:15,15 catalog 13:3 61:7 category 102:16 104:4 caught 262:5 293:15,16 **CBS** 182:3 184:13 191:1 196:5 201:1 212:17 215:21 217:17 221:15,15,15 222:1 319:15 CC 121:21 **CD** 1:6 cell 15:12 century 344:5 **CEO** 319:8 certain 16:7 24:14 136:20 147:20 149:14 149:14 150:7 158:17 171:14 174:17 180:10 185:22 254:1 258:16 281:2 313:4 314:6 certainly 7:4 23:4 26:5 58:4 59:12 88:19 96:10 199:8 203:4 222:15 223:22 248:12 272:2 273:10 288:18 Certificate 300:3 certification 185:12 193:18 248:21 289:9 293:8 298:20.22 301:16 304:22 306:6 308:9 310:19 317:1 318:9 319:6,14 320:1 325:1 329:17,19 334:5.15 certifications 4:12 191:18 276:22 277:3 286:1 288:3 289:6.13 289:15.21 290:5 291:4.6.7.19.21 292:20 294:2 298:2,5 298:6,11 299:3 301:21 305:4 306:17 308:12 309:8 310:2 310:15,16,17 312:10 314:9 317:2 318:21 319:2 325:15 328:15 331:7,8 334:21

184:13,14 196:5

335:13 336:5 340:11 340:17 certified 192:5 320:2 certify 339:2 certifying 24:1 cetera 10:20 234:15 CFR 117:12 chagrined 333:22 chain 150:13 236:7 chair 326:6 challenge 225:7 challenged 110:2 149:16 319:3 challenges 26:3 128:16 225:6,10 challenging 146:3,6 149:20 Championship 219:15 219:21 chance 6:20 27:20 276:7 304:13 Chandra 8:16 change 117:8,9,18 changed 14:18,19 53:14 **changes** 117:16 character 33:14 characterization 50:10 87:7 148:21 206:3 280:19 characterize 76:15 characterized 84:4 205:21 321:9 charge 279:12 chart 163:21 229:2 331:11 chase 320:7 chat 13:20 check 8:2 300:5,8,12,16 checked 7:21 183:7 293:13 302:2 checking 164:9 checks 301:17,19 chickens 345:15 chief 181:2 child 166:2 Children 3:13 4:9 26:17 34:7 36:2,21 37:20 39:5 40:8 41:9 46:2 47:7 92:14,20 94:16 94:18 95:12,20 96:5 96:16 122:18 124:12 chips 342:10 Chiu 173:6,14 174:13 174:15 177:2,16,19 178:4,6,13,22 179:22 choice 342:2,4 Chrysler 219:16

circumstance 67:12 136:9 149:17 153:12 159:19 221:12 302:2 circumstances 10:22 63:22 69:21 70:11 102:7 119:10 122:15 133:12 144:6,6,7,11 145:1 146:6 149:14 161:16,17,19 180:11 188:20 189:2 221:6,8 221:10 251:7 257:18 269:3 283:2 284:5,8 303:11 340:6 City 122:6 259:4 claim 4:10,11 23:16 24:1,3,5,8 25:1 31:3 37:6 40:9 43:1,21 44:2,10 46:19,22 47:4 47:5 62:6 75:6.12 91:14 92:7,15,22 93:5 96:22 97:6,17 99:4,11 100:16 101:5,13 102:13 103:22 104:8 106:11,22 107:7,10 107:13,17,20 113:18 115:17 117:16,17 133:1,10 136:2,19 144:8 151:5 161:8,12 161:14 173:19 174:2 177:11,12 182:1 183:14 184:4 185:3 186:4 188:21 189:14 189:20 190:6,12 191:6 193:19 201:1 202:18 209:14 212:15 215:19,22 217:4,13 219:12 234:16 239:8 243:5 244:11 245:15 245:20 249:5 265:20 266:11 267:19 295:7 307:7 318:11,12,15 318:19 341:9 claimant 24:3,7,15 26:9 34:8 47:8 58:18,20 59:2 62:6 63:20 64:9 64:17 65:9,9,18,19 67:7 70:1,19,20,21,22 71:14,17 75:17 91:15 97:1 99:12 100:16 104:8 115:1 117:4 133:11,14 151:7 152:9 155:2,3 157:2 158:11,12 171:13 181:6 189:2,19 190:5 191:7 192:5 202:6,12 204:19 205:10,16,17 209:11,20 222:1

245:19 252:1.2.4 277:15 282:16 287:4 287:13 299:4 318:10 328:4 329:8 332:15 claimant's 115:7.15 claimants 2:8 3:12 4:6 4:14 10:18 11:16 12:4 20:15 22:2 45:20 46:3 48:9 49:9 50:17,19 52:4 58:1,3 59:9,13 60:20 68:8,9,11,15 71:9 72:11,15,19 73:2 73:18 77:5,5 79:9 80:9 81:21 88:4 89:13 91:3,6,11 92:11 109:17,20,21 110:11 110:15,18,18 111:1,9 116:20 117:7 119:1,4 119:5 125:2,6 137:22 139:4 143:15,22 150:22 169:2 256:16 257:2 269:10 277:2 282:8,9,11,13 293:5 294:12 318:6 319:3 324:21 327:17,17,18 327:19 329:2,3,13,18 330:22 331:22 332:16 339:1 340:18 claimed 4:6 94:18 95:13,15,16,19 96:16 136:10 137:10 139:7 139:10,12 144:2 145:16 147:13 150:9 150:12 171:17 179:16 184:8 186:9,10,12 188:14 190:17 192:8 193:16,17,18 207:8 221:22 236:10,12 252:11 256:17 257:3 257:4 264:2,4 267:6 268:2 269:4,10 281:18 283:1 285:16 286:2 287:14 293:5 296:13 325:15 claiming 111:2 183:3 185:10,13 191:7 204:22 244:19 291:18 340:18 claims 22:10,13 23:7,20 24:11,16 25:2 26:2,10 33:20 39:5,15 40:4 41:7 45:17 56:9 76:17 89:4 91:5.10 92:10 99:10 102:10 110:1 115:12 119:20 124:3 124:14 126:9 128:8 128:17 135:17 137:18 137:21 138:1 139:5

146:9,19 148:3 149:19 151:1 152:6 152:17 155:7 168:22 173:10 177:6 178:9 179:17 185:19 208:8 226:10 249:3 268:16 277:8,22 278:1 288:1 294:10 317:4 337:18 clarification 68:22 162:10 189:6 247:4 284:18 300:18 336:4 clarify 24:22 100:15 133:6 138:6 163:20 165:3 179:7 190:19 190:20 223:16 288:22 334:14 clarifying 25:5 228:17 **Classic** 219:16 clause 238:10 clear 12:22 32:14 33:4 50:16 112:14,22 116:6 123:20 129:19 134:15 145:10 155:17 178:18 186:21 253:6 262:20 279:14 280:12 289:7 293:21 301:3 329:9 clearing 130:14 clearly 52:20 61:8 84:9 87:11,18 91:19 98:9 309:1 333:15 clerk 42:13,14 43:5 104:22 165:19 clerk's 43:13 client 92:1 113:3,13 114:4,9 181:6 207:4 219:9 233:19 309:14 327:1 342:5 client's 37:13 342:5 clients 14:15 49:19 58:9 92:3 114:1 158:8 259:2 279:6 CLIFFORD 2:9 clock 255:18 close 131:8 closed 299:19 closer 6:21 18:19 co-copyright 205:16 coach 62:17 Cochran 3:17 180:20 180:20 184:10 coffee 79:3 colleagues 112:1 278:20 collect 90:15 153:7.11 159:7 160:1 204:22 263:20 330:14

224:3 233:8 242:21

collecting 120:11 162:2

collection 150:16 156:16 168:9 296:14 322:15 Collections 136:11 138:16,17,17,18 collectively 37:4 collects 159:10 colored 317:11 column 136:1,5 154:22 155:6,9 156:7 284:3,9 columns 189:16 combination 155:2 combinations 151:5 152:9 155:4 163:2 257:6 combines 303:9 343:3 come 91:21 128:12· 129:16,21 130:8.9 132:3 138:14,20 142:7 150:3,11 186:1 196:1 243:2 244:21 279:7 306:9,10 311:18 313:3 329:5 333:7 340:12 345:8 346:5,11,15 coming 76:15 345:6 command 178:15,17 commencement 129:15 comment 30:4 130:22 214:15 comments 168:5 271:17 309:19 common 125:7,7,8 communicate 331:2 communicated 14:11 15:22 77:10 168:10 177:2 178:4,5 197:6 206:13 communicating 72:11 92:2 communication 62:4 125:22 241:18 295:1 326:16.17 338:21 communications 13:12 69:4 70:18 72:18 73:1 178:8,14 217:16 241:5,13 252:13 319:7,8 Compact 133:20 135:8 136:11,15,18,22 137:3,13,14 138:15 138:16,16,17,18 145:14,17,18 146:11 146:15,21 147:3,10 147:11,17,20 148:2,5 148:10,18,18 284:7 companies 184:13

207:13 242:18 243:1 companion 314:14 company 12:10 15:2 53:15 82:8 108:16 158:7 200:4 205:11 217:8 219:14 242:16 283:10,10,12,14,16 283:18,20,21 307:14 323:21 342:14 company's 75:6 249:6 comparable 159:5,6 204:11 compare 337:17 compared 87:13 267:22 comparing 338:10 comparison 292:10 compel 190:2 278:10 279:2 286:6.14 compelling 276:10 340:21 compendium 332:15 competing 244:11 compile 314:9 342:4 compiled 321:5 compiling 291:15 complete 168:22 176:5 179:21 308:1,9 336:9 completed 128:7 298:20 345:8 completely 72:19 77:16 77:18 79:9 201:14 276:8 289:7 337:4 completeness 175:19 complied 339:16 complies 339:17 comply 336:14 339:6,9 339:12 compound 64:2 comprise 203:12 compromised 87:22 computer 306:15 310:18 320:13 328:11 computer-wise 224:22 concept 328:18 concern 59:12 271:15 277:20 concerned 59:4,11 142:10,18 341:13 conclude 297:3 concluded 236:1 Concluding 297:8 conclusion 38:1,14 99:15,18 129:22 237:14 243:3 244:21 311:19 conclusions 273:9 condition 322:14 conduct 120:5

conducted 321:4 confer 111:22 conference 6:14.15 140:22 confident 324:14 confidentiality 299:16 configure 321:18 confirm 48:21 144:17 241:9 246:19 confirmation 49:8 50:9 50:15.20 57:10 70:19 confirmations 48:4,8 48:14 56:14 confirmed 207:19 241:11 confirming 152:17 318:10.12 conflict 249:4,10,11,18 conflicting 208:7 277:8 277:21,22 conformity 8:22 confused 98:2,3 283:5 332:20 confusing 138:7 confusion 129:19 244:15 Congress 1:16 connect 137:3 328:2.3 connected 312:7 connecting 190:22 connection 22:18 33:14 33:19 110:12 111:10 171:11 181:12 241:15 270:2,4,5,7 consequence 253:8 consider 76:20 274:20 consideration 323:10 considered 52:22 53:6 53:8 56:14,15 273:1 consistency 98:15 consistent 12:14 211:18 235:18,22 244:1 246:7 consistently 99:7 constant 338:21 constitutes 340:22 consultation 114:11 contact 14:21 15:8 52:5 53:15,17 58:21,22 59:3 60:10 62:11,13 62:13,15 64:19 65:6 69:8 96:14 97:4 98:17 109:17 contacted 14:14 70:6 contacting 59:13 108:17 Conte 2:5 contemplate 67:4

content 174:7.8.12 175:4 182:4.5 184:15 255:4 256:1 contention 105:7,13 contents 3:1 133:22 222:6 223:7 228:21 248:2 249:21 250:2 context 196:1,2 200:5 250:15 291:21 294:7 296:4 327:13 continuation 105:18 106:7 continue 110:2 142:22 176:15 237:6 313:6 continued 83:20 214:16 261:22 308:17 continues 236:11 continuing 237:15 247:11 277:14 contract 4:4,5 17:6,9,9 18:2,2,13 22:20,21 61:2 67:11 92:18 97:2 97:7,14 98:22 99:6 101:5,6 104:9 110:16 113:16 114:8 138:2 178:19 212:20 217:10 234:4,11 257:11 259:8 261:22 264:7 266:11 268:22 269:1 contracted 143:18 145:21 149:15 150:7 159:15,18,20,22 160:5 174:1 177:13 178:12 contractor 341:20 contracts 100:5 109:20 173:7 258:16 261:13 264:6 contractual 144:20 148:10,11 207:3 233:16 266:14 267:5 283:13,19 295:1 contradict 271:4 302:5 contradicted 312:18 contradicts 56:6 contrary 202:15 contrast 283:15 control 309:2,14 311:17 336:10 337:15 controvert 313:21 convenient 270:21 conveniently 229:1 conversation 168:6 186:17 256:4 333:14 conversations 255:10 331:17 332:22 coop 163:12 cooperated 77:12

cooperating 52:20 77:11 copied 227:10 copies 5:16,19 6:7 43:7 191:13 288:17 290:21 291:6,7 314:8 318:5 copy 5:22 10:4 18:1,2 30:8 44:2 46:21 122:21 164:13 166:13 167:4 169:4 175:14 175:19 180:3 191:16 229:20 230:1 250:6 275:6,17 288:2,14 293:7 313:14,15 340:10 343:17 copying 229:8 copyright 1:1,22 3:19 3:20,20 108:18 117:2 117:6,9,15,17 133:1,5 135:18 136:6 137:8,8 138:3,21 139:3,12 140:4 145:5,7,8 152:12,12,14 153:17 155:6 156:10 157:6 157:11 161:20 202:5 202:6,12 203:12,19 205:6,10,16 209:5 210:18,20 212:5 214:21 257:10 258:2 282:2 283:1 284:4,13 285:2,7 287:4 294:16 294:18 295:2,4,11,12 296:2,15 297:5,9,11 302:16,18,20,21 303:9,20 306:12 338:7 copyrighted 144:2 copyrights 337:19 339:19 corporation 156:12,15 156:21 300:9,13 correct 10:2 20:4,10,11 20:22 21:1,18 22:13 22:14 23:3,7,8,17,20 24:3,4,8,9,12,13 25:9 25:11 29:14,15 34:8 36:6,9 37:21 38:11 40:3,6,10 44:1,4,5 45:22 46:4,21 47:9 48:4,9 49:1,9 50:3 62:7 67:4 68:11 71:22 72:1 73:4,22 74:22 79:21 93:7,12 94:11 95:10,12 105:20 106:16 107:13,22 108:1,13,20,21 116:14 118:13 119:1 119:2 124:4,5,9,10

125:4.5 128:6.9 137:4 137:5,11 138:5 140:13 143:20 144:22 145:3 147:6 160:3.6 161:8,9 162:3 164:2,3 164:7 171:21 174:20 177:6 191:2,3 204:15 209:15 211:21,22 212:6,7 216:21 225:13-236:20 237:9 242:17 244:2 254:17 257:20 265:14 271:10 277:4 281:1,15,21 284:14 285:3,12,13 285:17,20,21 286:3,4 286:7,8,11,12 287:8,9 287:12,17,20 288:3,4 288:8,11,12 291:8 294:13,14,19,21 295:14 296:15,16 300:6,7,11,14,15 302:16 303:1 315:8 315:12,14 317:19,20 327:10 328:6,7 330:9 343:9 correctly 61:13,19 114:18 correlate 342:22 correspond 20:17 correspondence 22:7 51:2,8 65:14,17 70:3 74:18 97:2,10,13,15 97:16 98:7,17 110:13 110:14 120:16 146:14 146:17 257:12 283:19 303:4 corroborate 249:14 Cosgrove 3:21 213:13 213:13 216:20,22 217:2,3,21 Cosgrove's 217:8,18 cost 331:19 counsel 3:13 5:16 6:8 7:21 10:9 20:1 30:7 67:15 94:17 114:11 116:13,18 124:12 177:21 271:17 275:5 280:5 304:9 307:12 307:13,19 308:20 309:3,14 311:10 312:14,14 315:1 320:18,21,22 324:8 326:12 329:16 330:9 331:2,4,14 344:1,3 count 86:15 225:15 Countdown 219:17 counted 151:8 counter 76:15

counting 7:6 counts 316:8 couple 53:22 262:8 264:21 345:13 couples 133:10 **courier** 330:14 course 34:13 76:3 142:18 190:3 264:5 268:22 276:6 court 6:15 16:22 169:16 173:17 191:5 321:12 327:5 331:12 Court's 54:8 165:16 courtroom 315:17 cover 54:21 228:10 318:8 332:1 341:6 covered 278:14 covering 320:5 Cowan 122:5 Craig 212:9,12 CRB 1:6,11 85:19 87:2 CRB's 86:22 create 154:14 155:17 160:22 161:2 162:10 269:11,14 271:7 293:1 315:12 322:9 335:6 342:16 created 132:19 134:8 134:11 154:15 161:3 179:13 191:17 192:1 255:6 273:14 279:15 291:10 309:2 312:4 317:21 318:1,2 320:8 322:21 328:21 331:3 331:4,9,13 342:20 credibility 87:22 credit 237:6 credited 236:19 241:2 criminal 87:21 critical 324:19 Critter 233:12 234:7,9 234:17,18 236:5,17 236:19 237:3 239:9 cross 3:2 17:7 128:7,13 253:13 280:10 309:18 327:8 cross-examination 19:20 83:20 90:21 112:8 131:5,10,18 345:9.19 cross-examine 230:11 cross-reference 223:13 cull 270:18 322:7 culled 281:2 curious 54:14 Current 156:9 currently 165:20

curtain 338:1 custody 337:15 cut 29:9 39:3 141:8 320:7 cyber 322:15 cyber-judge 320:20 cyber-lawyer 321:21 323:11 D.C 1:2 2:22 14:6 74:

D.C 1:2 2:22 14:6 74:19 229:22 d/b/a 2:3 daily 181:16 275:6,10 275:17 dam 342:12 damage 323:3,6,12 data 11:8 49:15 52:1 181:20 279:9 283:17 284:2 321:5,6,13,16 323:21 324:6 332:11 334:13 342:15.22 database 58:18 65:21 66:13,14 68:8,10,16 68:20 69:15 113:5,6 137:1,2 286:15 287:11 306:15 307:5 307:8,10 308:19 309:12 311:6 312:12 312:17 321:3 322:10 328:21 332:4 333:21 339:4 340:9,11 databases 336:13 338:18 date 93:13 124:6 127:1 228:12 246:11.11 247:11 253:8 254:18 262:20 dated 17:10 29:12 35:14 39:10 121:18 123:4 174:11 247:4 253:3 David 1:21 211:7,14 212:2 day 17:21 49:17 92:3 141:15 274:10 299:18 days 307:21 336:7,11 **Daytona** 219:17 DC 1:17 2:11,15 deadlines 72:8 deal 20:15 96:5 197:9 266:7 308:8 334:4 dealing 48:3.8 96:9 192:2 197:14 292:4 295.6 dealt 11:18 198:18 Dear 36:19

DeBaets 122:6

debate 310:10 debating 296:11,12 decade 178:6 233:14 December 1:14 decide 338:3 decided 325:22 deciding 53:1 338:6 decision 86:22 declarants 277:21 278:11 declaration 3:15,15,17 3:17,19,21,21 4:2,3,3 8:16,17 16:14 35:3,4 35:6,10,13 53:21 54:2 54:3,6,13 55:1,3,7,14 55:14 56:13,13 103:5 103:7 151:9,14 158:14 162:22 165:4 167:15,16 168:16 169:4 170:8 171:6 172:4 173:13.16 174:8 175:21 179:1.7 180:19 183:9 184:10 184:19 195:2,18,20 197:17 198:1 199:7 199:20 201:12,14 206:11 213:13 214:11 216:19 217:22 218:13 220:7,10 240:7 242:10 243:19 244:2 246:12,16 247:4 250:1,4 251:17 252:17 253:16 254:2 254:3,4,13 255:5,12 256:2 257:5 277:16 278:3 310:6 327:15 330:20 declarations 169:17 240:15 276:12 277:1 277:3 278:9 defective 299:15 defense 127:21 deficiencies 341:3 defies 87:2 definitely 45:5 142:8 definition 94:22 delayed 16:8,17 18:8 delete 66:18 deliberations 272:6 delivered 6:4 123:13 308:13 312:2 336:5 338.19 **Deloss** 98:13 demanded 308:22 309:12 311:6,12 312:16 demonstrate 145:15 205:8 281:18

demonstrated 177:5 demonstrates 295:6 demonstrative 272:21 272.21 denied 190:2 Denise 3:3 10:8 55:14 deny 243:14 340:4 depended 51:14 dependent 145:17 depending 131:6 135:22 316:15 depends 60:22 92:18 113:12 depicts 156:5,6 deposition 21:13 derived 133:6 134:9 146:11 190:15,16 280:22 288:19 292:4 311:5.20 335:20 describe 79:14 86:22 307:3 described 9:1 87:17 170:2,2 226:7 246:14 270:15 276:6 describing 80:9 323:6 332:19 description 3:10 227:5 281:20 designated 82:8 108:16 108:22 designation 133:18 desire 80:18 desk 261:18 262:16 desktop 227:4 despite 85:16 212:19 237:5 detail 57:3 169:20 detailed 115:19 details 102:7 233:22 determination 114:11 114:13.16 124:22 129:11 324:2 determine 21:8 52:22 58:14,17 69:2 137:7 138:9 230:11 251:9 devices 331:10 Devotional 2:7 4:14 91:3 died 17:17 difference 144:3 275:14 338:12,12 different 6:12 8:13 11:16 13:18 33:16 52:3 64:12 68:15 71:13 72:3 85:13

111:8 138:20 143:14

159:8,9 179:12

181:21,22 210:20

212:9 249:4 273:16 282:18 284:3 differently 77:16 135:21 138:14 differs 273:10 difficult 257:16 digging 227:21 digitization 290:3 digitize 191:17 digitized 288:13,15,16 325:17 digitizing 289:5 dilute 138:8 dire 3:5 81:5.7 196:20 196:22 316:13 direct 3:2 10:13 28:7 74:4 80:12 130:17 131:5 132:9 143:6 149:15 151:17.18 152:15 184:18 185:7 185:9 189:10 191:9 192:11 200:20 231:9 251:2 285:11.12.14 285:19 286:2 287:22 290:10 292:6,21 304:1,15 316:17 326:11 directed 70:16 197:16 287:10 directing 40:7 directly 82:3 110:20 138:2 145:21 150:8 159:18 174:1 180:3 280:17 281:19 283:14 director 317:3 directs 39:4 dired 255:20 disadvantage 313:17 disagree 144:10 241:10 disallowed 233:4 discourse 7:14 discoverable 342:9 discovered 190:1 236:9 314:13 discovery 40:13,17 123:5,10 192:13 193:9,13,15,16 203:2 222:14 227:10,13 228:9,13 229:12 246:4,7 247:11,16 264:1 272:8 279:2 285:20,22 286:5,9 303:19 305:7,8 307:15,16 308:21 311:7.13 313:13 322:22 325:11 340:22 340:22 341:2,9 342:6 discrepancies 273:6

340:9,13,16 discrepancy 7:20,22 8:1,15 293:7,11,17,18 discretionary 53:8 56:16 discuss 114:6 167:22 168:3 171:14 173:13 174:12 177:19 178:22 195:20 207:15 220:6 278:19 discussed 71:20 142:12 158:15 245:7 256:20 281:14 discusses 122:16 discussing 120:18 122:17 221:3 226:13 267:15 discussion 5:5 272:13 disk 229:21 dismissed 76:18 77:7 77:13 99:10 display 161:14 disposal 332:12 dispute 41:9 82:12 286:10 287:7 disputes 286:6 disregarded 85:20 86:10 disregards 142:18 disservice 72:16 distill 157:9 distilled 138:22 269:17 distinct 335:10 distinction 56:11 139:8 288:16 distinctly 308:2,6 distinguish 58:17 178:20 distinguishing 53:5 distributed 236:5 329:1 329:3 distribution 1:5,10 108:19 233:2 distributor 153:16 160:7,8 162:5 182:7 213:1 235:22 236:6 269:6 divided 225:3 divorce 262:1 266:10 **Docket** 1:5.9 document 19:16 27:1,9 27:11,17 28:4,15 30:1 30:3,8,16 32:12 34:2 34:11,15 35:4 41:5 42:8 44:7,16 47:9,18 56:19 57:18 64:8 65:7 67:3 78:11 79:12,14

83:16 89:6,15 90:2,7

105:3 112:11.16.16 121:14 123:22 132:17 132:19 133:9 134:10 135:2 149:21 154:8 154:10.14 155:12.18 155:22 160:13,20,22 162:11,15 167:18 169:8 170:18 171:1,3 172:16,22 175:15 179:21 180:15 187:21 189:12 193:8 194:19 195:5 200:18 201:18 201:20 204:3,7,9,12 205:5 206:1,2,5,7,16 208:14,20 209:3 210:5,10,12 213:9 215:1 216:9,15 218:9 218:16 220:21 222:20 223:7,8 225:1 230:14 232:20 234:22 237:2 238:14,22 239:19 240:5 242:5,11 248:4 250:2 251:12 253:3 256:12 259:7,21 261:8 270:14,15 271:22 272:3,8,20,20 273:8,14,20,21 274:12,16,19 275:16 275:16 276:13,18 278:10 279:20 282:4 287:3,20 294:22 298:1 304:6,17 305:5 310:21 311:14,20 312:16 317:21 318:1 325:13 326:9 338:1 344:22 documentation 336:22 documented 284:12 294:17 295:10 documents 7:19 41:21 43:8 46:10 51:14 56:5 56:10 63:18,19,20 64:3 65:13,14 77:4 90:16 93:9 153:21 158:17 169:3 192:3 193:10 203:11 207:6 210:20 229:11 230:16 234:14 247:19 248:2 249:4 276:21 278:22 280:20 293:2 295:5 297:13 304:7.19.20 315:11 325:12 337:14 338:9 dogs 345:14 doing 18:6 27:4 38:18 54:1 61:2 72:16 308:20 dollars 331:20

door 278:17 299:20 dot 181:17.17 doubt 76:22 88:20 draft 199:10 255:6 drafted 151:14 199:6.6 199:14 255:13 256:2 drafting 179:1 Dragonball 3:18 204:12 204:14,17 205:1,12 draw 332:4 drive 307:11,13 308:20 309:2 312:13 314:11 314:13,17 320:12,17 320:18,21 321:13 322:3,4,20 330:7,18 332:9,13 334:8,13 335:2,4,5,18,20 337:17 343:17 344:4 drives 323:3 **Droppers** 240:20 241:2 241:16 DTG 252:13 due 39:16,16 113:16 271:16 duly 10:10 45:21 143:4 duly-authorized 24:2 dumped 341:14 duplicates 229:7 Dutch 150:14 159:20 duties 26:2 266:6

E-G-E-D-A 159:1 296:22 earlier 24:22 68:7 122:18 141:13 262:19 296:18 314:4 337:3 345:7 earliest 158:8 early 224:4 227:20 earner 160:7 easier 13:7 226:20 282:20 332:6 eat 6:20,22 economics 5:6 effect 38:20 202:10 271:9 273:20 275:11 290:4 328:9 333:19 346:17 effort 321:12 333:5 efforts 58:15,16 59:7 EGEDA 4:7 144:21 150:15 159:1,5,15 160:5,7 161:10,11,14 161:19,22 163:22 174:19 175:1 177:5 177:11,12 179:16 263:3,5,6,7,9 265:11

265:13.17.18 266:5.7 266:11,12,20 267:18 268:1,11,12,17,20 269:1,5,11,20 270:2,4 285:5,5 296:19,21 297:1 302:15.17.22 303:3,4,12,20,21 eight 163:10 164:11.12 either 6:19 26:20 40:20 69:12 98:22 148:9 156:14 175:22 223:7 223:11 271:1 274:13 318:13 elected 36:22 electronic 132:12 191:13,16 287:2,11 287:11,20 288:16,18 289:5 290:21 291:11 293:14 297:14 307:5 307:7 308:15 311:6 312:11 322:9 333:17 333:21 342:15 electronically 188:19 227:6 229:15 270:20 312:4 eleventh 273:14 eliminate 59:7 else's 163:7 166:11 email 4:11,17 10:20 13:5 20:17 35:14,18 36:4,8,12,14,18 37:18 38:6,18 39:14 40:13 40:15 48:21 49:7,11 49:12,15,19 50:5 59:1 59:18,22 60:1,11,19 61:19,20 62:5 68:20 69:3,14,15 70:22 73:1 75:16,20 76:2,6 79:15 79:17 80:8 81:11,15 81:18,22 83:4 88:1,2 89:10,21 103:9,12,17 105:21 108:10,14,15 109:18 110:3 113:6 119:3,11,15 122:18 emailed 49:11 emails 11:3 53:8,11,14 57:10,18,22 60:15 61:22 63:16 66:21 68:14,18 69:17 71:9 71:14,16 72:2 82:4 110:11,19 115:6 118:22 119:8 embarrassed 326:2 333:17 embezzled 17:17 Emmy 181:15,17,17,17 181:18 182:7 183:1,2

188:17 190:16 191:11 192:9 Emmys 186:11 190:22 **employee** 171:9 180:22 empty 265:11 ended 179:10 210:16 ends 163:8 engaged 72:4 engagement 48:4.8 57:10 enlighten 226:1 ensure 114:22 ensuring 114:12 enter 258:16 263:2 entered 19:8 27:1 173:7 178:19 208:2 209:22 213:3 233:21 234:4 235:19 237:11 259:9 260:7 263:4 265:12 283:9 Entertainment 206:13 206:22 207:2 209:10 entire 77:12 272:7 entirely 294:20 entities 57:9 68:10 111:8 144:15 149:12 149:15 161:8 181:21 217:15 234:16 257:8 260:2 265:19,22 266:14 267:11,17,18 267:18,22 268:3,11 268:15 269:2,17 270:3,5,6,7,18 274:8 282:21 317:17 entitled 4:6 35:3 117:15 232:9 233:11 249:5 277:11 Entitlement 298:20 300:4 301:16 318:9 entity 31:4 33:7 62:6 65:9 69:21,22 75:20 104:1,3 117:16 135:11 138:20 144:13 147:10 148:19 150:15 150:16 152:22 156:17 157:16 158:22 159:3 159:5,21 169:1 195:9 215:14 219:2,2,7 240:8 241:1 243:4 244:14 245:7 251:21 256:18 266:20 269:21 300:19 303:5 307:10 312:3,4 320:2 entity's 219:12 entries 281:7 **Equator** 217:16

183:4,4,16,16 184:7

erringly 10:20 11:1 58:8

errantly 214:3

erroneous 94:10 error 76:3 109:6 especially 168:20 **ESQ** 2:4,9,9,10,13,13 2:19.19.20 Esquire 122:5 essentially 10:19 17:10 33:13 232:11 287:16 establish 29:19 112:16 183:19 246:8 324:4.5 established 111:13 establishes 295:1 establishing 199:21 estimate 6:3 129:9 130:11 131:10 estimated 131:12 et 10:20 234:15 etcetera 191:1 ether 271:16,19 Europe 258:14 345:16 eve 324:1 evening 346:22 event 117:7,14 136:21 events 17:19 174:20 eventually 194:16 everybody 77:1 147:11 166:11 everyone's 264:20 evidence 16:15 19:8,19 26:16,20 32:14,21 34:5 41:21 42:8 44:19 47:21 53:22 74:11 77:4 83:19 84:5 85:8 93:10 103:21 104:7 105:3 110:6,9,22 111:6,10 112:11 123:12,22 134:5 135:2 144:1,5,10 146:17 147:16,18,22 148:14,17 152:15,15 156:1 162:15 164:19 168:18 170:18 172:6 172:16 175:8 177:10 185:3,21 186:8,10 187:9,15 192:16 194:19 200:18 201:12 204:3 205:19 206:7 208:2,14 209:22 210:5 213:3,9 216:9 218:9 220:21 222:8 222:20 237:11 238:15 239:20 242:6 246:3 247:17 249:16,17,20 250:19 251:13 256:13 259:22 261:9 263:19 263:22 266:13 267:4 272:12 279:20 291:22 297:3,6 299:12

309:10 310:14 313:10 342:3 344:22 evidencing 64:8 evidentiary 73:21 74:19 74:21 76:21 111:12 247:16 exact 186:2 252:22 283:15 309:2 exactly 32:5 49:14 82:5 86:15 94:8 95:7 100:4 100:6 101:16 169:5 169:10 185:16 186:22 198:12 209:16 244:20 314:21 325:9 329:21 337:2 341:7 343:19 examination 10:9.13 17:8 28:7 81:7 118:15 130:17 132:9 134:3 143:6 200:20 229:8 253:13 280:10 316:17 327:8 examine 276:15 278:11 345:20 examined 10:11 143:4 229:1 322:2 345:20 examining 5:8 example 73:16 77:9 136:4 138:15 145:13 149:10 150:2 155:10 157:10 190:16 280:13 280:16 283:7 285:4 291:17 293:8 294:2 examples 284:21 Excel 11:5 12:3,16 52:9 58:8 133:6,12 135:14 138:7 140:1,11 152:7 154:1,15 156:9 161:3 164:19,20 166:12 184:5,20 188:11,18 190:9 191:17 192:17 193:1 196:3 203:6 207:11 209:17 221:20 225:19 226:5 229:11 245:11 246:19 247:1 268:14 269:15 280:14 280:22 281:5,10 282:22 285:9 287:19 288:5,7,9,19 289:1 290:2 291:16 292:4 293:4,9,22 297:14 298:10 303:6,8,15,18 322:6 325:3,6 334:17 336:21 337:6 Excellent 9:14 exception 149:13

excerpt 224:21 225:19

246:3,6,21 247:12

277:10,11

excerpted 224:8.10 230:12 excerpts 229:5 230:22 245:11 excess 125:3 exchanges 280:7 exclude 170:4 exclusively 96:9 215:16 293:3 excuse 24:20 93:8 120:21 132:16 134:2 137:21 147:17 148:5 148:15 150:21 169:16 188:4 191:4 219:4 250:21 260:3 280:12 342:12 excused 129:1 346:21 execute 75:5 179:7 197:16 255:12 318:10 executed 153:21 173:6 298:3 300:4 317:2,18 319:14 executing 220:6 254:21 executive 195:12 197:14 exemplars 257:21 exercise 337:4 exhibit 3:10 4:16 5:15 8:4 10:16 19:7,13,17 26:14 27:4 30:21 34:3 35:10,13 43:9,17,17 43:20 44:9,17 45:15 45:18,19 46:15,15,17 47:12,19 54:6,19 55:13,19 73:7 74:10 78:12 79:10 80:4 82:15 83:17 84:1 90:5 90:8 94:14 102:20,20 103:19 104:13 105:4 106:10,21,21 107:12 107:16,19,19 108:4,7 109:8 112:6,12,19 115:12 116:17 121:2 121:14 123:2 124:1,7 132:16 135:3 140:15 143:10 149:12 151:11 151:13 154:7,10 155:15 156:1 157:8 160:10,13 161:18 162:8,16 164:9,11,13 164:14,15 165:7 166:11,13,19 167:2,5 167:13,18 168:18 170:14,19,22 171:3 172:6,13,17,19,22 175:8,10,14,16,17,20 176:1,2 179:21 180:13,15 187:2,8,15

187:18,21 188:3 191:10 194:14,15,20 195:1,5 196:17 200:15,19 201:17,20 203:12,16,22 204:4,6 204:9 205:19 206:5,8 206:11,16 208:2,11 208:15,17,20 209:22 210:6,9,12,16,17 211:6 213:3,6,10,22 214:1,8,20 215:1 216:3,6,10,13,15 218:3,6,10,12,16 220:15,18,22 228:11 231:20 232:1,5,8 237:11,14 238:7,15 238:18 239:12,16,20 240:1 241:21 242:2,6 242:9 244:5 251:8,13 251:16 252:19 256:8 256:9,13 259:6,15,18 259:22 260:4.11 261:9 269:9 271:1,7 279:11,13,21 280:13 280:15 281:16 282:5 282:7,19 284:11 289:10 298:4,15,19 298:21 300:2 302:9 302:10 304:3,5,8 305:14 310:1 311:20 316:20,22 319:4,12 344:13,19 345:1 exhibits 26:22 126:6 130:4 141:18 150:18 169:17 228:20 230:8 277:2 280:13 281:13 281:16 284:18,22 291:16 292:3 293:2 297:16 298:13 302:5 302:7 305:22 309:8 334:19 exist 264:8 291:11 307:18 309:13 311:7 311:8 312:17 existed 307:8 existence 56:5 exists 8:9 149:18 339:13 expect 331:14 expensive 330:4 341:15 experience 141:10 340:3 expert 99:21 expiration 104:9 expire 99:17 237:3 expired 99:13 100:4 101:15 105:9,14

107:3 109:21 explain 12:3 16:21 65:1 96:4 135:10 145:3 146:5 154:20 156:4 174:15 192:16 235:5 235:9,16 325:8 342:7 explained 16:5 18:5 177:16 214:6 250:19 252:7 263:15 344:11 explaining 143:12 303:15 308:3,7 333:12 explains 17:4 156:6 explanation 111:19 135:9 170:8 expressing 114:18 223:20 expression 84:9 86:2 expressly 37:14 212:20 extension 37:3 51:5 extensive 252:8 323:16 345:5 extent 22:22 62:10 79:8 80:17 186:8 190:18 193:3,4 230:9 253:19 263:9,10,11 273:6 275:14 321:8 323:18 extra 26:8 131:17 214:10 extract 320:22 321:12 321:17 extractable 322:19 extracted 322:4,18 Extracting 331:22 extraction 331:21 332:7 332:8,8 extraordinarily 87:1,13 eyeballing 144:12 F 4:16 103:7,19 104:15 104:22 105:4,6,12 121:3

F
F 4:16 103:7,19 104:15
104:22 105:4,6,12
121:3
face 167:14 237:2
302:10
fact 14:12 17:8 21:6
23:15 25:6 38:2 83:4
83:13 84:10 86:2
89:20 91:10 92:7
93:16 94:7 96:2,13
105:17 143:17 147:3
158:16 162:4 176:4
181:20 184:3 185:4
185:11 193:7 212:19
221:5 237:18 244:18
245:11 259:2 264:10
265:11 268:4 269:20
273:9 274:22 278:15

293:6 307:17 309:13 323:4 342:13,20,21 factor 53:6.10 factors 52:22 facts 111:6 factual 86:12 87:6 failed 294:11 failure 75:4 fair 3:17 25:4,22 27:5 31:18 50:10 195:2.3 195:21 197:3,7,12,16 200:22 222:21 235:14 280:18 281:19 293:6 295:13 338:5 340:15 Fair's 196:9 199:21 fairly 11:21 319:20 faith 335:13 fall 6:17 102:16 333:2.2 333:2 342:10 falling 224:13 falsely 296:14 falsity 80:16 fame 217:4 familiar 12:16 13:8,17 14:10 15:21 88:4 109:2 116:4 117:1 152:21 154:8 158:3.5 158:6,22 160:11,19 167:15 170:22 172:20 195:2,17 204:7 209:2 210:9 214:21 216:22 218:14 232:12,14 238:21 240:4,9,17 241:13 242:11,12,13 251:20 324:3 familiarity 12:5 168:8 168:13 familiarize 27:10 Family 205:4 far 14:3 66:10 67:1 85:1 178:16 252:7 fault 272:4 Feder 1:21 9:19,21 10:1 24:20,22 114:2,10,21 115:11,21 124:21 282:8,11 Federal 268:9 feed 3:13 4:9 26:17 34:7 36:1,20 37:20 39:5 40:8 41:8 46:2 47:7 92:14,20 94:16

94:17 95:12,19 96:4

96:16 122:18 124:12

345:14

86:11

feedback 70:9

feel 62:14 299:18

feeling 84:17 85:4,14

fees 43:22 44:10 46:20 93:20 106:12 107:18 107:21 126:13 feet 325:20 felt 50:12 51:9,12 53:3 77:3,14 85:15 86:8 Ferguson 212:12 figure 53:4 315:20 338:22 339:6,9 340:3 figures 87:1 file 13:3 29:18 39:5 40:8 91:5,6,10,12 97:6 99:4 101:13 104:8 113:18,19 114:17 115:1,7 178:8 191:22 226:4 228:12,18 334:17 filed 22:11 25:2,6 29:13 29:16 30:1,16 39:17 40:1 41:7 44:2 47:1 91:14 92:7,10,15,21 93:5 96:22 97:17,20 98:3,16 99:9,11 100:16 101:5,5 106:16 117:2 124:3 124:14 173:10.16 176:4 179:9 180:2,2 185:8 191:6 232:21 247:6 285:10,11 318:11 files 97:2,8 99:6 115:13 115:16 132:12 231:1 322:14 filing 23:7 25:3,9 26:2 37:6 98:12 101:18 109:22 110:3 114:3 117:16,17 filings 20:18 26:9 98:11 99:2 fill 67:21 256:5 filled 58:11 127:1 filling 44:22 Film 184:15 202:11 Films 155:10 156:12,21 217:16 218:22 268:6 final 233:2 324:18 finally 15:10,13,19 16:6 70:5 financial 181:2 find 14:15 15:3.4.10 26:9 73:2 83:13 93:22 97:14 226:20 272:4 309:5 330:16 341:10 finders 274:21 findings 88:9 273:9 fine 27:15 32:9 52:19 56:21 67:20 88:5

finish 39:2 88:5.7 142:12,16 198:20 199:1 230:19 297:21 310:17 339:15 Fintage 4:4,5,6 150:14 150:19 159:20,22 160:2,5,6 161:8,12 174:22 177:11,13,18 258:7,9,15 259:9 260:7 261:19 262:1,9 262:11 263:1,19 264:4,6 266:5,8,9,13 267:4 268:2,18 269:1 269:2,11,18 270:6 305:1 317:3,4,17 fired 66:9 firm 50:15 122:7 314:16 322:18 first 3:14 10:19 14:14 37:19 55:19 56:1,3 61:20 63:14 76:14 82:7,9 86:20,21 94:16 98:3 108:15 112:18 114:7 118:18 121:17 122:12 123:7 128:15 133:17,19 134:4 135:5,8,10,11 136:4,7 136:9,13 137:3,7,14 137:15,16 138:3 139:17 145:14,14,16 145:20 146:7,15,18 146:20 147:1,13,16 147:17,18,22 148:8 148:15,18 149:9 154:22 155:5,9,10 156:7,11,19 175:10 182:13 210:17 211:8 211:13 233:13 237:13 238:8,10,11 247:10 250:12,13 276:4 284:7 290:11 294:5 299:6.8 300:3 345:11 345:11,20 firsthand 188:22 197:20 fit 6:5 274:11 five 7:10 86:20 183:8 245:18 250:4,7,9,10 250:14 five-day 74:19 flatly 274:13 flip 27:9 34:16 35:9 55:7 86:19 Floor 2:21 207:8 flown 163:12 focus 52:15 146:12 focusing 144:16 149:9 215:8 258:2

274:18 281:8

follow 112:20 follow-up 126:2 followed 253:22 306:6 following 86:21 114:22 115:1 164:12,20 166:12 237:16 246:20 254:16 256:4 259:3 261:16 285:18 follows 10:12 143:5 306:1 followups 66:22 fore 48:7 foreclose 176:6 foregoing 37:9 78:20 251:1 foreign 182:7 296:14 forever 331:19 forfeiting 75:13 forfeiture 75:7 forget 315:4 forgetful 166:4 forgot 118:17 form 29:3 45:17 63:3 75:5 115:17 199:8 229:2 253:17,21 254:1,11 255:3,8 277:16 289:6 301:11 310:19 318:9 322:6 322:10,11 324:6,6 325:18 337:5 formally 36:21 format 179:2 224:11 328:13 formatted 224:13 forms 48:9,10 49:8 126:17 forth 63:8,10 100:17 101:6,14 161:16 189:17 forward 34:17 35:10 39.9 found 5:22 15:6,11 27:6 33:16 87:21 89:11 188:20 256:21,22 268:4 322:3 324:1 foundation 108:3 199:19,20 200:13 245:3 246:8 313:10 315:11,16 326:8 four 35:9 86:20 152:2 169:12 181:21.22 189:16 190:17 248:21 Fourteen 170:15,16 fourth 213:12 290:15 306:4 frame 275:7 frankly 264:9 free 14:6 299:18 342:6

342:7 Freewheelin 218:22 Friday 166:3 front 8:18 43:16 46:15 108:8 223:3.12 247:20 310:17 frustrating 332:3 340:3 frustration 333:1 FTC 36:21 37:1,7,12,14 full 37:13 61:7 111:6,12 296:20 fully 77:10 functioned 162:1 fundamental 272:11 fundamentally 273:22 Funds 1:6,11 Funimation 204:19 205:12.17 further 18:16 19:1 41:16 54:7 60:3 90:10 118:14 125:10 127:9 127:18.20 143:4 169:19 174:4 245:3 253:2 270:10 344:16 future 37:8 39:6

G gadget 344:5 Gal 270:9 Galaz 3:6 4:12 21:10 116:8,9 118:11 125:18 127:15 128:11 129:3,5 130:18 131:5 131:11,19 132:11 134:11 135:5 143:2,8 155:12 156:4 163:19 183:1 184:2 186:19 193:22 197:2 201:13 205:21 211:11 221:2 222:5,17 223:19 226:21 227:1 232:6 235:11,17 238:17,19 240:2 242:13 244:3 251:18 253:15 256:3 256:15,22 260:6 265:1 270:9 272:14 340:8 346:10 274:6 277:8 279:12 goal 142:16 280:3 292:1 302:11 goes 50:4 56:7 110:20 326:4,11 345:9 111:20 115:19 157:7 Galaz's 44:21 87:21 163:5 164:11 169:2 182:14,15 271:4,9 169:14 229:6 278:3 272:22 273:7 326:9 306:1 317:14 345:19 aoina 5:14 13:21 15:6 15:15 39:1,9 42:17 gazillion 222:20,22 43:5 59:4 68:22 74:4 gears 276:20 gee 312:11 82:11 83:12 85:1 88:20 100:22 129:20 general 11:15 34:10 129:21 130:5 133:22 177:21 182:18

generalize 153:8 generally 230:7 266:3 generate 306:16 321:7 generated 247:12 307:5 307:14 329:7 getting 13:1 15:20 51:22 88:21 110:13 174:7 175:3 264:16 275:6 279:3 giant 312:5,6 Gitters 233:12 234:7,9 234:17,18 236:5,17 236:19 237:3 239:9 give 6:7 13:21 64:14 83:11 130:19 150:2 166:2 199:10 223:19 226:2 227:4 249:13 251:6 278:4 283:7 294:5 306:21 339:22 343:16 given 18:3 61:7 63:21 100:20 131:17.22 135:9 176:8 179:8 181:19 190:22 222:5 246:22 249:13 252:13 269:2 309:6 331:7,11 331:11 341:21 glad 337:1 glancing 304:14 glasses 118:17 Global 240:8,9,12,12,18 241:1,12 go 5:17 12:11 14:5 20:16,17 29:6 30:15 32:4 42:17 46:1,14 55:18 58:2 60:3 62:3 62:5 64:7.10 66:20 69:22 73:6 76:8 80:18 84:1 107:5 108:5 115:6 131:15 141:14 149:4 157:13,14 166:18 194:17 219:5 220:11 225:9 231:18 257:17 279:11 299:21 305:3 316:16 317:9

134:7 140:20 142:12 150:3 151:16 194:15 194:16 201:13 208:6 222:7 225:4 227:18 227:19 228:8 230:3 233:1 246:2 247:18 248:11 254:18,21 258:1 262:2 263:9,12 263:14 264:18,21 317:6,8,10 327:11 340:17 343:10 347:2 good 8:19 9:2 10:3 19:22 46:12,12 50:14 60:1 66:10 67:1 78:14 91:1 280:2,4 338:3 gotten 11:7 77:13 95:22 187:10 235:7 graciously 130:3 grammar 318:17 grant 212:21 granted 56:8 176:19 186:6 301:13 grapes 84:17,18 great 12:9 Greg 20:1 280:3 **GREGORY** 2:19 ground 305:19,20 320:5 grounds 80:7 103:21 109:10 175:19 311:14 group 2:2,3 4:1 121:22 239:4 242:19,20 243:4,5 244:18 245:17,22 257:2 Group's 37:1 guess 33:12 49:18 65:11 98:8 100:2 115:14 224:13 225:3 273:18 317:8 343:12 guessing 81:20 317:7 guys 27:18

H
hair 331:18 341:16
half 141:13,14
halfway 149:3,5
hamstrung 230:20
hand 5:14 12:18 220:13
287:21 315:6
handed 79:10 125:14
125:16 308:15
handled 284:2
hands 330:16 343:4
handwriting 45:1 47:4
47:5
handwritten 44:6,21
45:1 191:19,20

192:19

Hang 219:4 happen 261:15 happened 16:8 91:17 91:19 101:4 308:18 312:11 happening 142:19 happens 124:20 hard 101:16 288:2,17 291:6.7 293:7 307:11 307:13 308:20 309:2 312:13 313:14.15 314:11,12,17 318:5 320:12,17,18,20 321:13 322:2,4,19 330:7,18 332:9,13 334:8,13 335:2,3,5,17 335:20 336:20 337:16 340:10 343:17 344:4 **HARRINGTON 2:9** harshly 262:7 264:15 **Hawthorne** 241:5,13 head 202:17 209:16 heading 156:8,13 174:22 284:15 heads 345:16 Healthy 243:8,15 245:15,16,21 249:1,1 hear 59:4 271:18 297:7 315:20 327:6 heard 31:16 64:18 90:5 94:17 116:18 168:14 271:11 313:18 hearing 1:19 23:9 73:21 74:20,22 76:15,21 80:10 111:12 129:15 132:5 168:22 229:1 233:2 347:10 hearings 95:6 323:20 hearsay 16:13 174:6 196:11 199:19 201:6 201:7 207:20 243:17 Heart 171:17 Heather 180:20,20 heavens 315:21 316:7 heck 255:20 held 20:9 261:22 help 18:22 54:6 192:2 helpful 272:5 273:15,16 Hinn 9:7,10,15 hired 241:14 history 87:21 98:18 323:19 hold 12:18 70:5,14,17 129:12 317:6 holding 95:6,14 holes 341:2 **HOLMES** 2:19 home 333:1

honest 72:15 278:18 Honestly 39:11 Honor 5:10 6:11 7:16 10:6 18:17 19:2,7,15 25:5,20 26:15 28:5,11 29:17 30:2,9,17,20 31:7 32:13 33:2,3 34:1 37:22 38:12 41:1 41:6,11,18 42:1,2,7 47:13 54:5 56:18.22 62:8,19 63:2 64:1 66:2 67:8 68:12 69:9 71:1 74:9 77:21 78:19 79:5 80:5,6 81:4 82:10,14 83:2,7 84:8 85:22 87:8 88:12 89:5 89:14 90:1,11,14,20 94:20 96:18 98:1 99:14 101:8 103:18 103:20 104:6,15,20 108:2 109:7,9,15 110:4 111:3,18 117:11 118:4 121:4,5 123:1,3,8,9,18 125:9 127:10,12 129:2,6 130:19 131:4,14 132:7,11 134:2,20 141:2,17 142:11 143:8 151:16 152:1 155:14 162:6,18 163:5,16 164:8 165:8 165:12 166:10 168:17 168:19 169:13 170:20 172:5,7,12,18 174:11 175:5,7,18 176:7,17 183:14 185:1,6 186:15 187:7,14,17 193:15 194:13,21 196:13,16,19 198:7 199:18 200:1,6 201:15 203:15,17 205:18 206:9 208:1,4 208:16 209:21 210:7 211:1 213:2,16 214:4 214:17 216:2,11 218:2,11 220:14 221:2 222:4,13 223:1 226:18 227:1,7 228:1 228:16 229:13,16 230:17 231:6,12 232:3 237:10,13 238:16 239:11,15,21 241:20 242:7 244:4 245:2 246:1 247:3,9 248:9 249:19 251:14 252:18,20 253:5,10 255:17 256:8,14 259:14 260:1,10,21

265:9 266:19 270:8.9 270:12 272:9 276:4 277:13 278:7.13 279:8.10.22 301:6.8 302:13 304:10 305:15 305:16 307:2.22 309:5.11.21 310:14 313:16 320:4 321:14 323:1.9 324:15 325:21 326:1.15 333:9 335:8 340:2 346:4,9 347:4,7 **HONORABLE** 1:20,21 1:21 Honors 44:13 274:4 hope 25:5 219:16 hopefully 142:13,15 272:16 hoping 131:20 346:10 horrible 16:11 horses 345:15 hosts 212:3,9 hour 7:6 131:4,7,13,20 131:20 141:13,14 273:15 hours 7:8,9,11 132:1 141:11 345:13 house 4:6 17:18 159:22 160:2,5 161:8,12 262:16 269:11,18 housekeeping 7:19 344:15 345:2,4 Hoyt 4:2 240:8,9 241:7 hundred 11:16 hundreds 82:6 hunt 14:22 hunting 158:9 Hurricane 17:18 hurt 51:9 Husband 17:17 hypothetical 67:9,19 68:3

I
i.e 162:2 283:19
Iberica 268:10
ID 3:10
idea 23:4 50:14 91:22
102:11 158:21 177:18
178:22 303:3,17
identical 164:15 167:5
identification 19:18
34:4 43:11 44:18
47:20 78:13 83:18
90:9 121:15 148:12
154:11 160:14 167:19
171:4 173:1 180:16
195:6 201:21 204:10

206:17 208:21 210:13 215:2 216:16 218:17 257:11 297:20 identified 28:15 42:10 47:8 52:2,16 57:19 134:13 138:22 145:9 154:5 156:7 157:21 161:17 163:2 170:10 187:21 205:14 267:3 268:3 283:22 284:4 285:15 287:13,15 296:18 297:10,18 300:19 303:9,12 320:3 identifies 139:10 148:18 154:22 156:10 190:10 239:7 248:22 297:17 298:8 identify 12:12 43:19 46:17 139:2 144:19 149:18,19 190:5 224:8 226:9 227:2 285:1 299:4 333:13 identifying 11:11 53:10 155:12 202:5 268:16 268:17,18 identity 265:18 266:21 **II** 1:5,7,10,12 Ike 17:18 illuminate 272:17 illuminates 275:20 illustration 169:15 illustrative 272:20,22 imagine 11:21 12:4 **IMDB** 15:5 immediate 62:11 immediately 62:15 impeach 32:2 80:18 83:5 336:17 337:12 impeached 230:13 impeaches 31:14 impeaching 31:9,11 impeachment 31:6,19 82:19 86:4 104:7 112:7,18 123:11,14 123:15 338:3 imply 110:16 important 51:1 52:12 67:12 importantly 279:5 improper 237:17 in-house 341:19 342:1 inaccuracies 272:5 inaccurate 292:12 inadvertently 58:6

incarceration 261:17

include 60:19 62:5 65:8

262:22

65:12 69:22 175:20 185:19 included 65:13 70:20 165:3 175:16 177:4 298:13 324:7 includes 35:18 94:15 159:10 including 37:1 110:11 111:9 220:9 330:18 337:16 incomplete 67:18 68:2 169:8 175:17 244:22 253:4 incompleteness 176:3 incorporate 323:19 incorporated 322:20 incorrect 188:9 incredulous 342:14 incumbent 12:17 Independence 1:17 independent 2:3 36:22 121:22 140:12 indicate 133:13 136:1.5 136:8 140:3 188:13 189:18 209:13 215:18 217:12 219:11 305:10 indicated 56:9 100:21 135:13,17,20 168:11 184:7 203:7 207:10 207:12 235:17 240:22 241:14 245:14 246:18 268:15 280:22 283:17 305:9 307:9 311:8 indicates 156:13 189:11 200:3 202:11 211:7 212:17 239:9 indicating 152:16 196:3 204:21 212:14 224:4 225:2 indication 207:7 234:16 263:18 individual 114:8,9 164:1 166:1,7 178:11 206:12 individually 332:13 individuals 189:3 198:18 indulgence 228:3 inexplicable 76:16 infomercial 241:16 information 14:22 15:8 20:16,19 49:19 53:17 61:6,9 70:8 71:17 73:3 109:17 113:22 143:13 161:7.13 177:4 178:8,16,18 185:4 190:2,9,13,14 190:15,21 192:22

204:21 212:14 215:17 217:12 226:6 237:5 240:22 243:18 244:1 244:11 246:18 249:6 267:15 269:19 270:17 281:2,12 287:15 312:22 313:4,8 328:22 335:6,12,20 336:20 337:6,17,18 338:9,10,14,16,19 339:17,18 342:4,8 informed 72:7 158:20 initial 119:14 186:18 197:14 199:10 initially 199:14 255:14 327:22 input 45:5 inquire 41:16 Inside 219:15,15,20 insisted 307:17 instance 61:4 136:7 147:9 148:6 155:1 156:11,19 173:22 221:12 284:6 285:4 290:6 instances 77:3,10,14 91:20 136:3 138:19 145:22 180:7 221:3 302:1 Institute 202:12 instruct 346:5 instructed 320:22 instruction 330:12,13 Intelisando 3:19 Intelisano 206:12 Intelsiano 206:14.18 intend 104:10 130:7 272:15 274:8 intended 37:9,12 50:3 50:19 57:16,20 58:2 59:8 69:5 119:4 intensity 131:6 intent 147:4 165:1 intention 65:22 66:4 69:2 91:8,9 119:6 131:16 273:13 intentionally 119:7,11 119:12,15,17 interact 22:2 interchangeably 68:21 interest 7:5 20:21 21:3 22:11 111:8 116:19 117:4 258:12,13 342:17.18 interested 334:9 interesting 205:15

Internacional 268:8.9 internal 113:5 internally 114:12,16 125:1 International 182:4.6 184:15 243:10 245:14 Internet 203:13 205:6 interpret 251:6 301:11 interpretation 145:10 325:11 interrupt 139:14 325:22 341:12 introduced 202:20 293:3 intuitive 76:16 invent 343:18 invite 299:17 involved 23:6 26:17 65:20 205:9 226:12 involvement 21:3 241:19 IPG 3:11 7:9 10:10.18 19:17 20:3.22 21:4.9 21:20 22:12.21 24:2.6 24:15 25:6,10 26:10 33:17 34:8,12 36:12 37:6,12 38:19 39:4 40:12 41:7 45:20 46:2 47:1,8 56:4,7 58:1,3 58:18,20 59:2,8,13 62:6 64:8 65:7,9,17 65:19,20,21 66:9 67:7 68:9,11 69:6,22 70:20 70:22 71:9 72:4 74:18 75:21 76:1 77:5 80:9 84:15 85:21 86:15 89:2,11 91:6,12,15 92:11,15 94:15 96:2 96:16 99:13 104:3 105:14,17 106:3,21 107:3,12,20 108:22 109:16 110:10,12,14 110:17 111:9,18 113:1,3,4,7 116:13,20 117:4 118:11 119:3,7 119:10,15,20 120:11 120:17 121:6,14 123:22 124:3,13,20 125:1,1 127:21 128:7 129:2 132:16 135:2 139:18 142:7 146:6 147:2 150:21 154:10 155:21 156:1 158:12 160:13 162:15 164:16 167:18 169:16,19 170:18 171:3,11 172:16,22 173:7,10 175:17 178:12 180:15

181:4 187:21 188:22 194:19 195:5.13 199:15,16 200:18 201:20 204:3.9 206:7 206:16 207:1.4.12 208:14,20 210:5,12 211:20 213:9 215:1 215:13 216:9,15 217:7 218:9,16 219:3 219:8 220:21 233:5,7 233:15,18,21,22 234:5,11 235:18 236:16 237:13 238:15 239:20 240:13 242:6 242:20 245:18 249:8 251:13 252:1,4 255:22 256:13,16 258:7,8,15 259:22 261:9 263:2 266:5.8 266:12 267:3 270:16 279:20 286:7 296:13 309:6 310:3 319:3 325:18 331:12 341:6 **IPG's** 4:10.10 23:7.16 24:11 26:4,10 33:16 37:19 44:2,10 48:21 59:19 71:21 72:19 80:9 88:10 89:22 97:2 97:8,17 105:8 106:11 107:17 110:22,22 112:15 118:20 120:5 125:2 128:3,16 247:7 274:1 294:10 337:11 IPG-Fintage 265:11 IPG-represented 60:20 73:17 75:16 189:1 204:19 209:11 219:9 ire 234:21 235:5,10 irrelevant 33:6 80:14 104:5 183:13 247:13 irrespective 84:5 85:7 issue 33:20 95:18 120:4 150:10 222:9 252:9 255:21 291:13 295:16 295:22 314:11 315:6 323:4 332:2,16 issued 85:19 287:7 issues 72:8 246:17 274:9 343:2 item 46:1 135:6 157:4 157:22 257:12 items 205:3 **IWV** 15:4 97:6,14,17,18 97:22 98:7.12 99:4.6 242:16,18,20,22 243:4,5 244:18 245:17,22

309:6 341:12

interests 258:14 263:13

J 2:9 Jackson 197:15 Jacobs 3:21 218:14,14 218:20 220:4 Jane 3:8 185:7 189:13 290:22 306:2 **January** 81:14,15 Jaw 240:20 241:2,16 Jean 81:17.18 82:3 108:11 111:7 Jennifer 171:6.8 **JESSE 1:21** job 11:22 12:1 John 213:13 216:20 joining 21:20 joint 4:10 23:19 24:1,3 24:5,7,16 26:10 43:21 44:2,10 46:3,19,22 47:8 106:11 107:17 259:9 260:7 265:12 282:15 jointly 20:18 258:16 joking 166:9 Jonathan 4:3 251:17 Jones 37:2 Judge 5:3,18 6:2,6 7:1 7:17,18 8:3,7,9,22 9:3 9:7,9,12,16,19,21 10:1 16:3,18 17:2,11 18:18,21 19:3,13 24:20,22 25:15 26:19 27:2 28:10,13 29:2,6 29:20 30:5,12,19 31:10,15,21 32:3,15 32:22 33:8,22 35:2 38:3 41:2,10,12,15 42:3,9,12,15,19 43:2 43:7,12 44:15,20 45:7 45:13 46:6,9 47:16 54:11,16 56:20 57:6 62:16,21 63:5 64:5 67:13,17,20 68:2,19 71:6 74:12 77:22 78:14,17 79:1 81:1,6 82:16,22 83:3,10 84:11 86:5 87:10 88:14 89:7,17 90:4,12 90:17 93:8,13,16,21 94:5,9,12 95:4 96:20 98:4 99:19 101:11 104:12,17,21 108:5 110:8 111:22 112:5 112:13 114:2,10,21 115:11,21 117:21 118:6 120:21 121:2,8 121:12 122:3,8 123:16,19 124:21

125:11.14.17.20 126:2,5,9,13,16,19,22 127:4,7,19,22 128:6 128:10,14,20 129:4 129:13 130:14 131:1 131:9,22 132:8 133:21 134:12,16,19 134:21 139:14,15,16 139:17 140:5,10,14 140:17,19 141:6 142:3,9,17,21 149:4 151:21 155:11,21 162:13 163:14 165:10 165:13,18 166:5,20 167:10 170:12,16 172:13 174:9 175:2 176:1,10,18 177:9 182:19 183:10,17,21 187:1,5,12,18 188:3 191:4 192:22 193:5 193:12,20 194:4,7,15 196:15,21 198:11 199:2 200:10,15 201:11 203:22 206:4 207:22 208:11 210:3 211:2 213:6,17 214:7 214:12,18 216:6 218:6 219:4 220:18 223:11,17 224:6,16 224:20 225:7,9,10,14 225:18 226:8,19 227:8,15,18 228:2,6 228:14,19 229:14,17 230:3,19 231:8,18 232:1 238:4,7 239:16 242:2 243:18,21 244:6 245:4 246:9 248:19 250:21 253:12 256:9 259:18 260:14 260:17,22 261:3,6 264:17 265:2.7 266:18,22 270:11,15 271:6,18,21 272:19 273:5 274:15 275:2,4 275:5,13,20 276:3,19 277:18 278:19 279:9 279:18 280:1 282:3,8 282:10,11,12 292:11 292:14,18 299:11,14 301:13 302:11 304:8 306:21 309:18 310:9 311:2,18 312:20 313:9,14,20 314:2,10 314:22 315:6,9,15,19 316:2,5,10,12,15 320:6,11,16 321:10 321:15,22 322:11,17 323:14 324:13,16

325:2,5,19 326:20 327:5 334:2,7,18 335:1,5,9,11,15,17,19 336:16 337:9 338:8 339:8,15 340:19 341:11 342:2,11 343:9,14,20,22 344:2 344:4,7,19 345:2 346:2,7,13,22 347:5,9 Judge's 133:8 135:16 154:18 161:5 184:21 191:14 192:1 209:18 227:13 246:20 247:21 judges 1:1,22 5:5 6:13 29:14 87:20 88:9 89:11,21 96:12 112:22 149:22 190:3 190:8 225:22 226:9 227:11 228:15 232:22 251:9 270:20 271:2 272:5,6 276:6 286:10 287:7 290:6 291:12 295:22 296:6 322:22 324:9 326:10 333:6 336:15 judgment 51:18 170:3 judicial 117:12 118:1 July 25:15 35:14 37:3 37:20 39:10,18 40:1 41:7 44:3 55:15 93:3 93:5,5,14,17 94:2,2 106:16 121:18 123:4 124:3,8,14 133:8 135:16 154:18 161:5 184:21 190:7 227:13 246:20 286:10 287:7 297:15 324:9

K
keep 100:22 109:22
113:20 227:18 264:12
264:21 303:15
keeping 225:15
kept 309:10
Kessler 308:8,13 312:9
314:9 318:5,8 320:9,9
328:14 329:3 335:22
336:2 338:21
Kilborn 212:9
killing 315:22
kind 6:12 51:21 53:9
58:19 157:7 304:21
King 319:15

jump 320:6

junk 340:1

June 4:11 74:18 79:16

234:13 236:1 237:3

239:10 339:5

knew 6:16 21:20 107:2 know 7:15 9:16 12:9,10 12:20 13:2,3,16,21 14:16,20 15:1,7,11,15 18:5 28:14 29:9 30:7 30:10,13 31:8,15 35:22 36:2 39:1,12 40:14,15,19,21 49:20 50:13,14 51:4,5,7,18 52:7,9,15,17 53:10,13 54:9 58:3,12,13,22 59:1,5,11,14,15,21 60:7,9 61:1,3,3 64:11 64:17 65:14,22 66:3 76:2,5,22 77:2,9 80:21 83:8 84:22 85:1 85:10,13,14 86:10,14 91:17 92:1,14,17,21 93:3 96:4,5,7,9,19 97:4,13 98:9,10,10,12 98:14,14,15,15,17,18 98:19,19,20,22 99:1 100:3,6,7,8,9,9,10,20 100:21,21 101:7 102:2,9,10,11,12,13 107:7,8,9,11,11,14 113:10,14,21 115:3,4 115:5,7,18 116:2,3 124:6 132:3 136:17 136:18,21 144:13 146:12 153:6 156:14 159:17 162:4,20 164:5 169:10 171:8 172:3 176:12 179:16 179:18 180:20 185:7 188:22 190:3 194:4,8 194:10 195:9 197:2 197:11 198:2,12,15 198:17,19 203:3 204:17 215:9 223:2 224:6 226:14 227:8 228:16 233:14 244:17 244:20 246:11 248:14 248:16,17,18 251:4 254:1,17,17,20 257:20 258:2 262:17 264:8 277:22 278:21 279:4,5 285:5,10 305:2 306:9,17 314:17 321:4 322:3,7 323:13,18,20 324:3 324:18 326:3 328:1,8 328:10,17,19,21,21 329:1,2 330:1 331:3,5 331:6 334:12,16,18 341:8 343:1,20,21 344:7,7 345:18 347:1 knowing 340:6

knowingly 92:12 100:19 101:9 102:17 104:8 knowledge 22:12 26:12 116:21 118:20 127:15 127:17 140:12 144:13 148:1 150:19 153:3 159:4 162:1 164:5 179:14 197:20 199:21 200:9,12,14 211:16 223:16,20 320:13 321:19 324:11,12 331:9 known 92:8 152:22 156:17 158:22 285:3 knows 13:18 323:12 Knupp 2:20 Kowalski 4:17 103:5,10 103:14 Kyle 49:18 lack 253:7 315:10 landlord 33:16,17 language 100:9 172:9 252:22 254:5 258:20 laptop 229:20 230:2 large 141:18 largely 271:15 larger 144:4 Larri 37:2 Larry 13:10 late 5:4 33:17 212:1,2,2 212:5,5,8,8 273:17 279:1 344:5 Laughter 5:7 7:3 8:11 9:18 14:8 118:2,8 231:4 271:20 276:2 316:1 334:1 346:19 law 122:7 273:9 296:11 322:18 Lawrence 171:9,12 lawyer 7:2 86:16 288:10 lawyers 330:14 331:17 341:8

lay 108:3 245:3 330:16

leading 120:6 177:8

leave 59:14 116:17

128:21 343:10

Leaving 245:15,16

left 15:11,12,13 67:10

left-handed 125:15,18

legal 38:1,13 42:3 67:21

learned 314:14

learning 104:9

lecture 5:6

264:22

Le 2:5

99:15.17.21 117:8.14 183:11 237:14 300:19 306:22 307:4 311:2,4 legible 5:19 legitimacy 120:4 legitimate 80:17 length 141:8 lengthy 131:17,18 319:20 331:16 let's 20:19 42:22 45:9 46:14 60:5,13 66:9 73:6,6,12 76:8,9 78:17 86:18 120:21 133:17 189:5 219:14 226:16 227:19 283:9 285:9 289:10 293:21 296:10 298:15 317:8 letter 3:12,13 10:17,22 11:1 19:8 91:22 92:5 93:2,4 121:17 122:2 122:12,14,15,20 123:4,8,9 124:13,17 228:10 290:11 341:6 Letterman 211:7,14 212:2 letters 23:2 52:8,11 69:18 91:21 261:18 letting 132:2 Library 1:16 licensees 220:13 lie 80:21,22 life 16:8 80:22 202:6 lift 60:21 light 69:7 limited 138:16,17 157:11 179:2 283:2 limits 100:7 line 28:14 47:10 82:7,9 84:2 85:18 106:20 107:19 108:15 112:18 121:6 139:18 187:3.5 187:12 231:10 254:13 290:15 331:14 lined 193:22 194:7 lines 81:10 224:9,20 315:4 328:1 link 192:4 linked 221:21 Lisa 98:13 list 3:14 4:6 11:5.6.8 13:3.5 16:10 45:20 46:3 52:1.3 57:9 58:5 59:7 61:16 67:6 109:16,17,18 113:21 116:3 132:22 137:21 137:21 138:6 139:1

267:22 269:10,12,18 277:8.10 285:15.16 307:5 311:5.15 318:6 318:14 319:11,21,21 324:21 325:1,16 328:2 329:12,20 339:1 listed 24:7 109:18 158:16 183:6,8,8 208:9 219:22 282:7 327:15,16 listing 288:1 306:4,5,6 lists 4:13 57:14,15 185:7 224:2 306:10 307:14 308:11 309:3 312:1,5,6 321:8 328:12 329:15 330:22 331:8,22 listserv 68:21 113:6 literally 146:13 148:6 148:13 189:17 236:7 257:9,12 258:2 267:13 295:9 330:15 little 18:19 23:12,13 48:2 51:17 58:7 77:15 84:21 85:1 94:22 115:15 134:3 149:1 162:7 166:3 189:5 227:21 261:18 279:1 280:6 283:5 296:21 326:2 332:19 Litton 4:1 233:15,17,22 233:22 234:1,5,8,11 234:11,19 235:19,19 236:11,12,12,20,22 237:1 239:4,8 live 345:12 lives 14:2 332:5 living 3:20 215:6,8,10 215:12 216:1 243:9 243:15 245:21 249:1 249:1 262:16 333:2 334:9 341:17 LLC 240:18 241:12 LLP 2:4,14,20 LM-403 1:15 long 14:16 15:3 16:10 18:8 29:1 36:2 77:13 134:14 140:22 155:16 228:4 231:21 233:7 262:8 279:14 327:5 336:6 338:16 long-time 181:6 longer 53:15 141:13.14 264:10 346:10 longest 96:10 look 6:8 27:3 54:19,22

72:22 73:6,12 74:16

76:9 83:22 102:19 106:10,20 107:5,16 107:19 114:7 132:15 133:17 150:5 151:10 152:2 154:6 155:9 156:22 157:22 160:9 167:13 170:21 172:19 180:13 183:4 184:17 191:9 194:22 201:16 204:5 206:10 208:17 210:8 214:19 216:12 218:12 232:4 238:17 239:22 242:8 251:5 251:15 259:5 260:4 269:8 289:10 291:18 292:5 301:21 319:4 319:12 340:7,17 341:7 looked 73:9 124:7 174:4 looking 10:16 29:4 107:15 115:14 135:5 143:9 161:6 162:20 165:4 192:18 235:1 243:3 257:5 260:18 267:14 298:10 306:3 316:19 336:18,20,21 looks 27:11 29:15 248:17 Los 2:5 losing 96:1 loss 289:18 lost 17:18 186:16 lot 11:3 12:21 15:5 17:7 20:15 52:4,16 55:11 70:5 84:17 85:15 92:2 99:8 151:4 191:18 220:9 222:5,5 303:14 lots 16:11 20:16,17 267:13 Louis 158:9 lousy 226:15,15 low 23:13 lower 156:22 **LUCY 2:19** lunch 6:17,19 129:22 142:12 143:9 273:4 346:6,11 Lutzker 2:13,14,14 LYNCH 2:10

M 1:20 ma'am 16:22 165:2 machines 328:20 MacLEAN 2:9 3:4,7 6:11 7:4 15:17,20 16:13 19:11 32:13,16

154:19 169:12 185:9

186:14 191:11 192:10

| 32:20 41:11,12,13,18 44:12 47:14 81:3,4,8 82:14 90:13,14,19,22 91:2 94:13 95:2,8,9 96:21 99:3 100:12 101:12 103:18 104:6 104:14 105:5 108:2,6 109:7,11,15 110:7,9 111:18 116:5 117:11 117:21 118:3,9,14 120:6 123:7 127:11 127:14,18 129:6,18 132:2,6 134:17,18 140:21 141:2,15,17 142:15 155:20 162:12 163:9 164:8 165:11 166:10,22 167:4,8 172:11 174:6 175:18 176:17 177:8 182:12 182:20 186:15,21 187:7 196:11,19 197:1 198:7,14 199:5 199:18 200:6,13 201:6 203:21 207:20 208:3 210:2 213:5 214:4 216:5 218:5 220:17 222:4 223:1 231:10,11,12,16 235:6,12 239:14 242:1 243:17 246:1 247:9 249:19 250:12 253:10,14 255:22 256:7 259:17 260:13 275:1 276:3,4,17 277:4,7 278:7 279:17 |
|---|
| 325:19,21 326:21 346:1,4,14,16 MacLean's 111:5 112:14 Madison 1:16 magic 50:2 main 48:3 52:15 59:11 207:8 Mainframe 209:10 majority 175:15 making 102:10,13 107:10,13 110:21 111:21 137:22 144:8 152:17 161:8,12,14 177:6,11,12 182:1 184:4 186:3 187:2 188:21 189:13,19 190:6 209:14 212:15 215:19,22 217:13 219:12 234:16 239:8 243:5 245:15,20 267:19 268:16 271:14 278:17 282:6 295:7 |

1

| 322:17 332:14 337:3 | ł |
|--|-----|
| 341:4 | Ì |
| man 13:14 | |
| managing 317:3 | |
| mandate 37:2,5,11 51:4 | 1 |
| 51:6 | |
| manifested 147:3 | |
| manipulate 224:21 manipulation 323:22 | |
| manner 224:22 286:16 | |
| 287:16 | l |
| March 20:22 74:5,6 | ĺ |
| 103:16 105:21 106:2 | |
| 106:18 | ١. |
| margins 45:2 | |
| Marian 18:3,6,12 98:12 mark 121:5 206:12,21 | |
| 207:2 272:1 | |
| marked 19:16 34:2 | |
| 42:10 43:9 44:16 | |
| 47:18 78:4,7,12 83:16 | 1 |
| 90:7 121:14 132:16 | l |
| 151:11 154:7,10 | |
| 160:10,13 167:13,18 | |
| 170:21 171:3 172:19 | |
| 172:22 180:13,15 195:1,5 201:17,20 | l |
| 204:6,9 206:10,16 | l |
| 208:17,20 210:8,12 | |
| 214:20 215:1 216:12 | |
| 216:15 218:12.16 | ŀ |
| 238:18 240:1 242:9 | |
| 251:16 259:6 260:4 | |
| 269:9 271:1 | |
| markets 220:12 marking 94:10 | |
| Marsha 312:9 328:14 | l |
| Martha 3:20 215:6,7,9 | l t |
| 215:11,12 216:1 | |
| Martin 319:17 | 1 |
| Mary 35:14,21 37:17 | 1 |
| 122:5 | İ |
| Maryland 14:3 mass 49:11,12 53:11 | ı |
| 71:9 81:22 82:4 | ١. |
| massive 125:22 | ſ |
| match 312:9 | ľ |
| matching 286:15 | |
| material 144:2 180:6 | r |
| 203:2 209:12 336:18 | r |

339:12

materials 159:12.13

212:13,16 215:18

181:9.10 202:15.20

217:11.12 219:10.11

220:9 240:22 243:3

245:7 246:14 250:11

202:22 204:21 209:13

| 263:17 |
|--|
| matter 1:4,9,18 7:19 |
| 14:12 26:18 78:20 |
| 85:21 112:2 132:13 |
| 141:3 185:5 265:4 |
| 338:13 344:15 345:3 |
| 345:4 |
| matters 171:14 201:2 |
| Matthew 2:9 91:2 |
| Maureen 4:3 14:10 15:4 |
| 15:10,22 17:5 18:9 |
| 77:8 98:22 242:10,12 |
| McBride 81:17,18 82:3 |
| 108:11 111:7 |
| McKay 319:7 |
| McNeely 3:15 158:2,4 |
| 158:15 167:15 168:1 168:4,4,13 169:5 |
| McNeely's 172:2 |
| MDs 186:4 |
| mean 28:12 31:22 |
| 33:19 39:10,11 44:22 |
| 48:10 51:1,21 52:19 |
| 55:19 58:12,20 65:13 |
| 65:16 75:10,12 77:8 |
| 78:8 82:11 85:10 86:8 |
| 88:19 91:19 92:6,12 |
| 95:5 97:3 98:8 100:4 |
| 101:1 107:6,7 113:14 |
| 136:18 139:20,21 |
| 145:19 171:19 197:4 |
| 199:13 200:7 203:17 |
| 203:18 226:13 228:15 |
| 229:19 231:13 254:7 |
| 254:12 256:22 258:4 |
| 305:2 310:8 324:6 |
| 329:10 |
| meaning 203:5 248:1 312:14 |
| meaningless 337:4 |
| means 7:7 8:20 75:12 |
| 110:15 113:16 283:22 |
| 308:15 |
| meant 51:17 99:16 |
| 140:18 337:3 |
| Media 97:7,17,18 99:5,6 |
| 242:19,20 243:4,5 |
| 244:18 245:17,22 |
| meet 278:16 343:2 |
| melding 332:10 |
| memorialization 271:8 |
| memorialized 275:15 |
| memory 311:9 327:11 mention 87:20,22 88:8 |
| 89:1,4,10,20 |
| mentioned 16:17 22:17 |
| 58:7 |
| Mercury 268:6 |
| 10.01 0 di y 200.0 |

merely 52:21 123:14 201:8 272:19 merge 49:19,21 merged 49:16 mesh 306:11 messages 15:11,12,13 messed 214:14 318:17 Messinger 319:17 met 197:5 221:8 methodological 88:9 methodology 88:22 Meurer 213:13 217:4 Mexico 259:4 mic 130:22 214:15 microphone 18:19 mid-August 246:20 middle 345:18 midst 130:20 miffed 237:8 Miles 8:17 9:5 Millen 4:3 14:11 15:22 16:6 17:5 18:11 22:18 22:21 77:8 242:10,12 242:14 245:6 246:14 248:14,16 Millen's 16:14 243:11 mind 249:2 262:21 347:1 mine 8:22 45:10 163:3 163:5,8,12 164:11 165:11 175:22 211:8 213:16 minuets 128:4 minute 78:18 122:11 124:7 139:15 174:16 317:10 minutes 7:7,8,9,11,11 7:13 22:18 132:1,4 141:11 223:14,21 265:3 323:15 MIPCOM 220:12 Miracle 3:14 133:19 135:8,10,12 136:4,7,9 136:13 137:3,7,14,15 137:16 138:3 145:14 145:16,20 146:7,15 146:18,20 147:1,13 147:16,17,19 148:1,9 148:15,19 149:10 252:11 284:7 mischaracterization 308:1 mischaracterizes 148:22 misdated 124:8 misleading 73:3

misrepresentation

170:11

misrepresentations 169:19 170:9 misrepresenting 62:9 missing 51:8 163:4 212:12 311:19 misspoke 236:21 misstated 57:1 misstates 68:13 mistake 8:20 10:4 192:14,16 mistaken 341:22 Mister 207:15 misunderstanding 272:14,18 misunderstood 129:14 273:22 274:14 Mitchell 2:20 mixed 95:22 moment 62:11 70:3 90:15 199:11 326:4 moments 191:6 money 17:17 119:22 120:12 month 39:22 morning 19:9,22 78:15 91:1 345:11,21 347:4 347:6 mother 20:10 motion 2:17 135:14 137:18 245:13 286:6 286:14 **motions** 278:17 Mountaintop 219:17 move 19:7 32:18,18 44:9 47:12 80:4 86:18 103:18 109:7 123:2 134:5 151:16 162:7 165:16 168:18 172:6 175:8 187:15 196:17 203:16 205:19 208:2 209:22 213:3 216:3 218:3 220:15 235:12 237:11 239:12 241:21 244:4 250:7.13 252:19 259:15 260:10 276:10 279:11.13 294:9 296:10 301:6 305:14 344:14 moved 14:18 32:20 190:2 309:7,16,17 310:2,5 movies 162:3 moving 139:3 Moyer 232:11,12,19,22 234:22 236:3 237:8 MPA 132:13 MPAA 4:8 7:7,7 20:1 26:14 34:3,12 40:20

43:9 44:17 47:19 57:8 57:19 78:12 83:17 90:8 94:14 106:10,21 107:12,16 108:4,7 109:8 110:10,21 112:11 116:17 127:21 128:4,8,16 133:1,7,9 134:9,10 136:10,12 136:15,21 137:2,11 139:5 140:1 142:6 143:12,19 144:22 145:9,18,21 146:18 146:19 147:4,11 148:2,4,11 149:15 150:8,13,14,22 152:6 153:22 154:2,17 155:17 156:8 159:12 159:15,19 160:1,1 161:4 162:10 169:17 173:20 174:1.21 177:14 180:6.7 181:9 181:20 183:3,15 184:3,8,21 185:22 186:3 188:12,21 189:9,10,19 190:8,17 190:22 191:6 192:4 202:15,19 203:7 204:20 207:6 209:12 209:14 212:13,15,16 215:18 217:12 219:10 221:21 222:6 228:11 234:14 237:4 240:22 243:3 244:17 246:17 246:19 247:2 252:13 256:18 257:4,10 263:17 264:6,7 267:14,19 268:2,16 269:5,16 270:7,18 271:3 274:8,11 279:15 280:6,16,17 281:1,6,10,18 282:22 283:2,9,14,17,20 284:2,12 285:10,16 287:10 288:2 290:20 291:18 294:10.19 295:3,12 297:15 302:19,21 303:8 306:13 308:16,21,22 309:4 311:7,11,17 312:2,14 314:15,22 320:18 329:1,17 333:19 336:5 337:15 338:19 342:16,16,20 344:22 MPAA's 136:11 148:22 170:6 247:5,8 268:13 292:5 324:8 342:21

293:4 MPAA-represented 137:22 143:15,21 287:3 multiple 226:5 304:19 304:20 317:17 322:12 museums 14:4 Mysteries 217:5 249:2 mystery 222:16

Ν N 2:10,11,21 N.W 2:21 name 19:22 60:10 71:8 79:21 80:1 117:8,14 133:17 228:4,12 254:22 255:1 280:3 284:5 296:20 named 250:21 names 138:10.14 244:16 267:10,16,17 narrative 15:21 83:11 307:1 narrow 262:14 296:4 **NATPE 220:12** nature 168:5 263:6 NBC 182:3 184:14 necessarily 31:20 51:16 57:4 144:8 222:21 230:6,20 254:1,20 282:14 284:16 342:22 necessary 51:13 53:7 56:5,15 57:4 62:14 224:19 253:19 254:5 339:18 necessity 51:21 need 30:4 42:13 61:5,9 61:16 62:17 68:22 90:16 111:22 114:16 116:18 131:2 134:3 138:5 140:5 142:7 166:18 175:6 198:22 199:3 222:19 225:19 230:6 248:6 278:22 319:9 323:17,18 324:4,5 346:5 needed 50:9,13,20 53:3 needs 254:2 **Neely** 158:7 neither 153:15 network 189:4 221:7,15 never 82:2 92:3 106:7 120:4 123:6 168:14 186:12 191:6 192:8 254:17 307:4 343:2

122:6 153:9,13 219:1 278:17 nice 13:14 14:9 night 7:20 10:19 nine 132:3 163:12 165:5 347:5 Ninety-nine 20:6,7 non-claimant 119:11 119:13,16 non-claimants 119:8 non-IPG 68:15 118:22 non-responding 60:18 **NONNETTE** 2:20 normally 114:5 North 258:13 Nos 43:10 notations 318:13 note 75:4 105:1 142:3 165:19 noted 10:18 74:17 86:5 87:10 176:19 notes 44:6,21,22 45:1 60:10 115:4,5 191:19 191:20 192:6,19 299:15 326:11 notice 1:19 3:22 4:9 28:18,21 29:10,11 38:21 94:15 113:2,8 117:3,12,22 118:1,5 120:15 164:13 166:14 166:21 173:4 232:9 262:4,11 263:16 noticed 165:4 notices 264:7 notified 117:18 notify 36:21 117:8 November 28:22 29:12 number 11:14 15:12 16:6 42:11,12,20 51:14 60:10 101:18 101:21 102:22 111:8 119:21 125:6 133:18 138:9 139:7,9 144:4 145:11 157:2 169:16 256:16 257:18 272:1 306:7 310:1 numbered 168:20 172:9 244:9 numbers 42:21 87:3.4 numerous 188:20 268:5 **NW** 2:11,14

O 2:19 228:3,4,4 o'clock 132:3 346:3 347:4,5 oath 129:4 316:3

MPAA-produced 196:3

347:1

new 53:17 61:5,9,17

| object 28:5,17 33:13 42:1 62:8 66:2 67:9 80:6 88:12 99:15 103:20 148:20 169:7 169:21 175:18 198:7 199:18 205:20 222:8 245:1 250:7 253:2 305:18,21 310:21 objected 26:16 186:22 objecting 63:3 120:11 222:9 310:11,12 311:1,14 |
|--|
| objection 15:17 16:13 19:10,11 28:9 29:17 30:2,9 31:1,19 32:21 33:21 37:22 38:12 42:4 44:12,14 47:14 47:15 56:18 67:21 71:1 81:3 82:15 84:8 86:1 87:9 89:5,7,14 89:15 90:1,2 99:17 101:8 104:17,19 109:9 111:4,5,14,15 |
| 111:17 117:19,20 120:6 123:3,16 134:15,18 155:16,20 162:11,12 168:20 172:8,10,11 174:6 175:9 176:18,22 177:8 182:12,12,13 182:14,18 183:12 186:18 187:2,16 196:11,18 199:22 |
| 201:6 203:18,21 206:2 207:20 208:3,5 208:6,10 210:1,2 213:4,5 216:4,5 218:4 218:5 220:16,17 223:10 231:3,9,13,17 235:6 237:12 239:13 239:14 241:22 242:1 243:17 244:6,9 246:2 247:15,16,17 248:15 248:20 250:3 252:21 |
| 253:1 256:7 259:16 259:17 260:12,13 261:4 272:11 276:5 277:14 278:8 279:15 279:17 306:1,22 310:7 311:3,4 312:21 315:10 333:9 344:17 345:22 346:1 objection's 170:13 objections 33:4,5 131:6 |
| 131:8 252:21 obligations 247:11 observe 165:22 227:16 observed 180:7 |

```
obtain 178:8 189:7
  203:11 205:5 229:19
  229:22 233:22
obtained 246:6
obvious 85:21 146:12
  235:4
obviously 179:12
  235:13 236:14 256:15
  344:18
October 246:12 247:5,6
  253:7 254:18,19
offer 32:1 42:9 54:7
  133:22 134:4 194:13
offered 26:19 80:15
  112:17 141:19 152:15
  172:3 175:3 187:8
  220:9,11 274:17
  303:21 326:9
offering 82:17 313:9
office 108:18 121:18
  166:6 202:5 203:20
  205:6 209:6 214:21
  321:12 330:15 333:1
Office's 203:12
officer 181:2 300:16,18
  300:19 301:3,4 317:3
  320:2 321:11
offices 229:22 259:3
official 36:12 117:22
  118.4
oh 19:6 23:4 26:5 32:11
  32:22 42:19 45:11
  50:11 58:19 60:5 78:3
  86:9 88:2 93:18.18
  101:22 107:14 116:9
  121:9 129:13 135:6
  140:17 176:22 214:12
 248:9 260:10 270:12
 275:4 299:14 315:21
 319:21 325:21 329:12
 333:8
okay 8:3 9:16,20 16:2
 18:20 20:5,12,21 21:6
 21:16,17,22 22:10,15
 23:6,11,19,22 24:10
 24:14,18 25:14,18
 26:6.13 27:16.20 28:1
 29:6.16 32:11 34:16
 35:9.12 36:4,15.17
 37:16.18 38:22 39:3
 39:19 40:11.22 41:10
 41:15 43:2.14 44:1
 45:7 46:5.8 47:7.17
 48:17,20 50:6 54:16
 54:20 55:5,12 56:11
 57:22 59:22 60:14
 61:18,18 62:21 65:4
```

```
72:14 73:8.20 74:8.13
  76:8,13 77:20 78:10
  79:17.20 80:3 82:16
  86:11,18 87:16 88:3,6
  88:17 89:1,18 103:3,8
  113:15 116:15 121:12
  122:13 125:13,17,20
  126:2,8,19 127:4,22
  128:10,14,22 129:18
  137:20 140:19 142:3
  142:9 144:16 146:2
  149:4 160:18 166:22
  167:7 179:20 180:17
 200:15 206:4 208:22
 214:12 225:18 228:14
 238:4 243:21 253:20
 254:11 255:16 261:3
 261:6 264:19 266:22
 276:4 277:18 278:19
 280:9 281:10 283:7,8
 284:11 285:14 286:5
 287:6,10,18,21 288:5
 288:13 289:11 290:12
 291:2,9,9,15 294:9,21
 296:10 297:22 298:15
 298:16,19 299:1,2,21
 300:22 301:20 302:12
 303:2 304:4 305:6
 306:19 314:10 315:19
 316:11 317:13,16
 319:18 321:15 324:3
 324:13,16 327:7
 328:8 329:9 334:5,18
 334:18 340:19 342:19
 346:2
Olaniran 2:19 3:4,7,9
 19:5,21 20:1 25:4,8
 25:18,20,21 27:7
 28:16,20 29:5,8,21
 30:6,14,20 31:6 32:8
 32:17,18 33:2 34:1,6
 34:22 35:5,8 38:4,16
 41:1,3,16,19 42:6,17
 42:21 43:15 44:9 45:8
 45:14 46:6,8,12,13
 47:12.17.22 54:10.17
 56:21 57:7 63:8.11
 64:6 66:6.8 68:5.6
 69:1.13 71:4,7 74:15
 77:21 78:3.5.9.16.19
 79:4.5.6 80:4 82:17
 82:19 83:2.6.14.21
 85:2 86:6 87:15 88:16
 89:9,18,19 90:10
 104:18,19 123:3
 127:9 128:9,11
 129:10 131:9,12
 270:11 272:9 273:18
```

274:18 275:21 280:1 280:2,3,5,11 282:17 292:19 299:13,22 300:1 301:10,14 302:13,14 304:10,12 307:22 308:6 309:5 309:15,19,20 310:13 310:16 313:11,12,15 313:22 314:4,19 315:3,8 316:10,16,18 321:10,14,20 322:1 323:2,11 324:14,17 325:4,7 333:9 336:3,8 336:13 337:1 338:5 338:15 339:14 340:2 341:5 344:11 345:3,4 346:20 347:3,7 Olaniran's 111:16 old 320:5 336:12 omitted 42:8 Omnime dia 215:12 once 15:4 42:9 92:4 124:20,21 189:7 236:16 one-by-one 58:19 ones 8:6 56:15 183:7 192:18 225:11 256:20 279:6 ongoing 113:3 online 202:4 open 219:15,21 278:16 opened 226:5 operation 321:9 336:9 336:10 338:17 operations 321:5 opinion 80:9,16 84:10 85:10,11,19 86:2 114:19 237:18 opinions 85:13 opportunity 6:8 123:5 230:10 271:3 273:2 276:15 opposed 148:2,4,5 180:9 325:2.4.6 **option** 340:5 oral 223:14 orally 248:1 orange 54:21 order 55:15 133:8 135:16 142:6 154:18 161:5 164:15,15 184:22 190:7 191:14 192:1 209:18 224:11 227:14 246:21 270:16 276:10 287:7,10 297:16 321:7 322:22 324:9 331:12 333:6 333:18 335:7 336:15

66:12 67:2,3 70:15

339:7,9,18 340:20,21 342:9 ordered 190:8 orders 291:12 ordinarily 223:5 organization 333:20 organizational 113:11 organize 337:5 organized 157:1 original 17:9 111:4,14 111:15 239:3 originally 6:4 178:11 179:13 327:13 originals 229:7 Oshita 18:3,12 22:17,19 98:13 ought 323:10 outcome 80:10 outdoor 158:9 Outdoorsman 158:2,3 168:12 outside 28:8 80:12 106:3,4 263:11 299:17 333:10 341:20 overcome 31:19 overnight 273:3 overruled 17:12 28:10 30:12 31:21 32:3,21 33:22 62:21 63:5 84:11 88:14 98:5 123:17 170:13 177:9 owned 143:15,16,16 203:10 209:10 211:16 234:1 245:21 328:4 337:20 owner 20:3,5,13 117:15 118:11 133:5 136:6 137:8 138:2 140:4,9 144:8,9,14,15 145:6,8 145:8 147:12 152:12 152:13,14 153:17 154:3,4 155:6 156:9 156:10,20 157:6,18 157:20 158:1 160:8 161:20 162:5 164:1 168:12 188:14 189:21 190:10 205:12 206:21 209:7 236:6 257:10 268:17 269:5,6 282:15 283:1,3 284:4 284:13 285:8 287:4 294:18 295:3,4,11,12 296:2 297:5,9,11,18 298:7 300:9,13,20,20 301:5,17,19 302:16 302:18,20 303:10,12 303:20 320:3 Owner/Agent 3:14

owners 133:1 135:19 138:22 139:12 157:9 267:6 282:2,13 286:16 294:16 306:11 312:7 327:16 332:1 339:20 ownership 144:1.5

ownership 144:1,5 147:19 180:10 181:21 188:8 203:8 205:9,22 212:18 219:14 221:16 222:2 241:11 283:11 284:19 285:2 296:15 302:22

owns 137:16 204:17 215:9,11 233:10 338:6

P 2:13 P-016 139:18 P-17 164:16 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S p.m 141:4,5 265:5,6 347:11 pack 345:14 page 3:5 30:15,16 32:6 32:6 55:19,22 56:1,3 56:7 81:10 84:15 86:21 87:5 121:21 150:5 152:2 157:2,22 163:4,10,12 164:10 164:11,12 165:5,6,16 165:20,21 166:14 189:15 210:17,19,21 211:8,14 212:4 213:12,12 214:2,10 214:11 222:20 237:13 237:15,16 238:8,9,11 238:12 239:6 246:22 259:13 278:4 290:11 299:7,8,9 300:3 302:10 306:3,5 310:17 page's 311:5 page-long 189:12 pages 34:17 35:10 164:20 166:12 211:5 214:5 222:22 225:3,5 291:9 331:5 paid 119:22 paired 287:3,13 Pants 211:18,19,21

212:18,20

paper 6:1 191:15 192:2

193:10 257:9 306:14

308:7 314:5,8 317:11

328:13 332:13 335:21

336:1 343:6 papers 139:3 320:8 335:22 paperwork 20:17 paragraph 55:18,21,22 76:10,17 84:1 86:19 86:20,21 87:12,18 151:19 152:2 162:21 168:20 169:12 172:9 183:8 200:11 208:7 240:16 244:10 248:21 250:4,6,14 251:3 252:22 255:7,8 277:14 290:13,16 paragraphs 250:7 Paramount 81:17,19 82:1 108:11 109:1,4 111:6,7 116:19 117:3 paraphrase 314:2 pardon 5:4 19:6 36:7 57:17 75:18 79:22 95:11 135:7 270:13 276:17 279:11 308:4

276:17 279:11 308:4 parent 139:21 156:14 156:15 Parent/Agent 139:19 parentheses 133:20 139:18 284:5 parenthetical 135:9

Parenting 245:16

parted 261:19

part 17:5 60:20 66:12

112:15 123:13 164:13 166:10,16,21 174:18 176:18 178:7,10 179:9 185:8 192:10 192:14 210:15 221:14 228:21 233:13 255:5 255:7 283:6 288:21 320:19 335:21 346:14

particular 49:3 53:1 59:7 75:14 82:5 92:1 95:17 114:3 115:7,9 115:10 133:10,11,14 135:18,18 144:13 146:10 147:12 148:7 149:18 151:6,7,7

155:8 157:4,5,18

161:18,21,21 168:21 181:19 188:14,15 189:4 197:17 202:13 202:18 203:9 209:19 209:20 221:22 224:14 225:6 228:9 229:10 247:1 248:22 255:11 259:1 264:13 269:4 283:3 287:5 295:5,9

297:19 303:11 304:15

306:12 314:20
particularly 225:6
250:3 326:12
parties 129:15 139:10
161:11 222:15 229:9
247:2,10,20 254:1,15
254:21 270:20 272:4
273:2 287:6 331:1
partner 300:17,19
301:3,4 320:2

partnership 300:10,13 party 33:7 144:7 177:13 247:1 255:11 272:12 274:4 295:6 342:6 pass 121:6 326:11

pass 121:0 320:11 passing 120:12 passive 253:20 paste 141:8 Patoce 268:9 pause 55:9 90:18

165:14 211:3 213:19 221:9

pay 337:22 338:2 339:21 paying 101:20 102:4

pen 135:7 penalty 24:1 254:16 pending 155:13 194:13 people 12:11 14:17 15:1,6 35:15 36:9

59:14 70:16 77:11 91:20 96:6 110:11 116:3 197:8

percent 20:5,6,7,9 118:11 152:20 323:6 percentage 87:14 150:20,22 152:19

perfectly 223:5 period 11:13 49:3 52:6 107:9 142:6 178:15 235:21 317:6 342:15 periodically 197:9

perjury 24:2 254:16 permissible 326:19 permission 100:21 165:16

permitted 223:6

perpetual 100:11 person 49:16 53:15 60:11,12 64:3 75:20 117:16 197:5,14 198:5 251:20 322:15 personal 13:11 22:12

144:12 164:5 200:8 200:11,14 personally 11:18 197:2

254:8,9,10 personnel 14:18

persons 57:9 pertained 330:17 peruse 226:20 **PGA** 220:2 phase 1:5,7,10,12 14:17 74:22 130:21 131:11 phases 13:19 phone 53:16 61:22 63:17 333:15 photographs 228:22 Pick 2:4 346:15 picture 2:17 8:18 9:6,10 9:12 135:15 137:18 245:13 261:17 Pictures 82:1 108:11 109:1.4 116:19 117:3 piece 110:9 120:15 146:13 pieces 51:7 piles 308:7 Pillsbury 2:10 Pittman 2:10 place 8:21 10:4 20:20 26:18 78:14 229:9 322:13 332:19 333:12 345:13 placed 248:20 plain 219:16 plan 131:15 planning 129:7 131:13 264:20 pleading 29:3,13,16 please 5:3 17:1 24:20 26:13 27:8 28:3 30:15 36:17,18 43:19 46:17 54:18 62:18 67:17 68:4 75:4 79:1,14 109:14 112:5 135:10 141:6 151:10 152:1 154:6 160:9 163:15 167:12 170:21 172:19 176:15 201:16 204:5 206:10 208:17 210:8 214:19 216:12 218:12 221:11 223:19 238:17 239:22 242:8 251:15 260:3 265:7 299:16 309:18 319:13 pleased 77:1 plenty 77:9 123:5 Plov-nick 228:5 Plovnick 2:19 19:10 42:22 43:3 78:1,4,7 78:10 117:20 134:6 134:14 142:5 148:20 149:5 155:16 162:9

163:8 167:1 168:19 170:5 172:7 175:9 182:17,21 183:11,13 185:6 186:12 187:1,3 187:16 188:1 191:5,8 192:17 193:3,10,14 194:9 196:18 203:17 205:20 208:5 210:1 213:4 216:4 218:4 220:16 228:2,5,6,7,8 228:16 229:13,19 230:17 231:2,5 237:12 238:1 239:13 241:22 244:8 247:3 248:11 252:20 259:16 260:12 261:5 271:11 275:9.19 277:13 279:14 290:2 321:21 321:22 322:2,12 323:1,7 324:12 334:12,14,21 335:3,8 335:14,16 336:1,7,11 Plowman 4:3 251:17 252:15 253:16 point 9:14 11:7 25:4 41:16,19 52:10 60:7 61:10 68:21 74:10 83:14 101:19.21 102:2 104:22 185:13 186:2,9,10 202:21 222:7 224:1 230:5,7 231:16 234:6 240:21 243:2 246:2,13 247:4 247:10 258:6,8 260:2 260:9,15 261:11,12 261:20 262:17,17 272:12 273:10 287:22 296:11 310:5 320:14 321:19 324:18 328:14 336:3 337:2 338:5 340:15 341:1,8 pointed 141:7,11 220:8 276:1 pointing 211:11 points 110:20 111:20 185:22 274:18 PolyGram 268:10 poor 113:11 Pope 330:12 Pope's 330:15 portion 130:17 141:18 237:22 posed 67:14 position 177:20 197:11 197:19.21 198:13 336:19 337:11.11.12 positions 37:13

possibility 176:6,8 possible 59:16 67:6 68:14 157:19 176:5 186:16 271:15 345:18 Possibly 39:10 post-dated 262:21 post-termination 67:11 practice 113:7 practices 112:15 pre-marked 304:11 319:5 pre-ordained 84:5,7 85:7 preceded 305:11.12 preceding 200:11 251:2 precise 120:22 Precisely 308:10 preclude 310:22 predicated 240:15 predominantly 267:20 prefacing 188:10 prefer 42:7 48:17 226:1 preferring 71:21 prejudiced 169:8 275:22 prejudicial 273:19 274:14 275:21 premarked 26:14 41:5 43:17 46:15 79:11 premature 149:1 prepare 115:11 149:22 169:9 254:2,4,7 271:22 prepared 79:17 84:13 145:10 252:17 253:16 253:21 280:21 288:10 329:15 prepares 115:21 preparing 48:7 115:16 234:22 292:3 present 95:5 130:7 223:8 237:19 257:20 presentation 88:10 89:22 presented 84:6 85:8,17 138:10 212:7 229:2 297:4,9 302:16,17 presenting 310:3 President 319:16 press 8:12 presumably 268:21 presume 136:20 147:2 293:12 presumed 292:8,12 pretty 52:5 53:10 87:17 131:8 previous 26:21 87:5,12 87:18

previously 10:10 26:16 143:4 226:7 313:1 318:4 Prime 183:2,3,15,16 191:11 192:9 principal 217:3 218:22 232:19 principle 110:17 print 224:11 310:18 print-out 300:21 printed 246:22 255:1 312:8 printing 225:5 printout 202:4 209:6 212:5 214:21 prior 11:2 21:2,6,9 120:10 157:8 176:4 178:3,14 210:16,17 priority 51:18 private 153:6 privilege 341:10 Pro 15:5 probably 18:21 50:14 53:22 59:3,10 74:5 76:1 91:16 128:12 131:4,8 134:3 142:8 150:4 255:8 262:7 346:13 Probative 251:10 problem 102:4 189:10 192:12 275:21 325:9 problems 88:10 89:21 113:11 procedural 72:8 procedure 276:5 proceeding 31:3,4 34:13 48:7 73:22 74:22 77:17 84:7 85:7 94:18,21,22 95:4,5,13 95:19 96:17 103:22 112:9 131:11 138:1 148:13 153:15 158:17 159:14 176:4 177:3 179:4,13,17 183:15 186:13 190:21 191:2 192:8 226:15 252:10 291:1 295:16,17 314:15 323:15 324:2 332:2,17 336:6 proceedings 4:11 13:14,15,18 14:1,13 14:17 15:18 72:3 79:16 84:4 98:21 108:18 137:11 146:8 147:5 150:12 153:20 159:16 171:15 173:18 179:14 231:14 232:21

possession 222:16

234:21 236:13,13

279:1 312:15 323:5 330:17 342:18 proceeds 236:10 process 12:18 60:16 63:8,10 64:7 65:5 66:21 69:5,17 70:19 113:4 114:12 331:18 332:14,18 processes 124:19 323:18 328:20 Producciones 268:9 produce 40:12 123:5 133:9 191:13 225:22 231:6 250:16 272:3 287:11 296:1 333:16 341:9 produced 23:3 40:16 40:19 132:12 134:9 134:10 135:14 143:13 154:17 161:4 169:6 184:20 192:3 203:2,7 208:9 209:18 217:5 221:21 222:14 227:9 227:12 228:10,11,12 231:7 246:19 247:2 247:12.14 264:1 274:16 276:14 285:22 297:15 303:5,9,22 307:4 311:13,15,16 313:13 318:5 322:6 324:8 336:14 340:10 340:20 341:2 producer 153:15 Producers 2:3 36:22 121:22 producing 162:3 290:21 product 341:10 production 149:1 202:8 228:9 241:15,16 245:17 246:7 276:10 278:10 Productions 3:14,22 133:19 135:8,12 136:4,8,9,13 137:4,7 137:14,15,16 138:4 145:14,16,20 146:7 146:15,18,20 147:1 147:14,16,18,19 148:1,9,15,19 149:10 158:8 195:12,14 197:9,12,22 198:4 205:13,17 213:14 217:4 232:10,15,20 233:6,10 236:4 245:18 284:7 319:16 proffer 109:11 112:14 112:17 270:14 271:6

271:15 278:16 program 4:13 11:8 13:6 52:1,3 61:15 71:19 133:10,15 135:17 137:22 139:4 151:5.6 152:6,8,16 155:2,3 157:2,4 158:2,3 163:1 168:22 171:16 181:15 190:6,11 196:4 202:18 203:9 204:15 205:9 208:8 209:14 209:19 215:20 224:3 226:3,4 233:11 234:1 234:6,17 236:5 240:19 243:8 244:11 244:18.22 252:10 257:6.19 268:16 269:4 277:22 278:1 283:11,16 287:5,14 297:19 303:11 308:11 311:15,15 312:5,7 327:16 331:8 Programing 3:22 232:9 programmer 49:18 programmer's 58:5 programmers 258:17 258:19,20 programming 11:6 20:16 148:12 153:16 162:5 180:10 212:19 217:14 219:12 233:9 240:17 243:5 252:6,9 264:1 269:7 programs 11:8,11,13 12:12 52:2,16,18 61:4 61:11 137:10,17 143:15,16 144:15 147:12,20 154:3 157:18 161:21 164:1 169:10 186:5 188:9 188:13,21 189:14,17 212:15 219:22 221:5 221:21 239:7 250:22 256:17 257:3 267:21 283:1 293:5 295:7 297:17 300:21 306:4 306:5,7,12 307:6 312:6 327:15 328:3,3 328:11 330:21 project 133:15 projects 308:9 promotion 118:1,7 prompted 290:5 promptly 6:19 142:14 pronounce 296:20 proof 32:1

properties 160:2 266:7 property 267:5 proposed 273:8 prospective 220:13 prospectively 39:12 prove 249:9 provide 69:6 72:2 163:14 190:8 217:21 245:6.10 255:5 266:12 270:19 271:3 274:5 278:22 315:16 325:12 339:1 provided 22:19,20 27:19 41:4 53:20 57:8 57:12,15,19 71:13 73:17 133:7 137:2 144:18 152:8 159:13 168:16 180:6 181:9 184:6 188:12,19 189:9 193:8,14 207:12 215:18 226:3 227:5,11 229:12 245:12 246:3,13 249:22 263:18 264:8 267:3 268:14 269:16 270:17 274:5 276:6 276:11 281:1,6,10 293:8 302:1 307:11 309:3 313:7 325:15 339:5 provides 339:18 providing 270:14 provisionally 83:12,18 271:1 public 30:21 104:4 203:20 Publishing 258:7,9 pull 18:18 227:3 230:1 pulled 280:14 281:11 **pulling** 341:16 punishment 175:7 purport 132:21 215:10 purported 133:4 136:6 150:16 155:6 156:10 156:20 257:9 266:14 268:17 282:1 295:2,3 295:4 297:11 purportedly 174:2 purporting 144:15 152:11 163:22 174:22 188:13 301:2 purports 45:20 132:22 173:4 195:1 240:7 242:9 251:16 purpose 82:18 purposes 82:19 112:7 146:4 215:7 228:17 252:10

pursuant 1:19 120:1 133:8 135:15 142:5 161:5 184:21 189:1 190:7 191:14 192:1 192:13 203:2 209:18 211:22 227:13 265:16 286:9 297:15 331:12 335:7 342:9 pursuing 148:2 193:19 pushing 186:6,7 put 13:6 33:12 115:5 130:5 140:2 166:6 182:20 222:19 224:12 253:9 254:12 257:1 275:9 284:5 291:20 299:18 328:15 337:1 343:4 putting 38:20 115:17 289:5 Q qualification 162:19 quality 342:3 quasi-governmental 153:5 Questar 251:17,18 252:1,3 256:5 question 15:21 25:12 62:22 63:1,3,7,13 66:3,7 67:14 68:4

27:3 28:16 54:12 57:1 69:10 70:16 78:9 93:9 93:22 98:9 99:22 100:1,3 118:18 119:14 120:8 126:6 127:12 140:14 152:19 155:13 168:7 176:16 176:20 183:21 185:2 186:18 190:20 194:12 196:14 197:18 198:21 223:15 229:11 247:13 248:13 249:15,16,20 282:5,18 292:1 293:13 294:6,8 302:12 306:8 310:20 313:5,7 315:9 327:22 334:3 337:13 341:13 auestioned 307:8 questioning 26:22 28:14 187:4.6.13 231:10 316:9 auestions 28:12 31:17 90:10,13 118:14 125:12 126:3,3 127:8 127:9,18 149:11 176:9 183:20 245:3 246:8 264:22 272:15 272:17 274:10 308:18

proper 80:22

properly 213:22 236:19

344:15 quickly 270:13 quite 11:20 13:13 101:18 250:5 264:9 309:6 333:21 340:3 auote 21:10 quoting 95:2 R R 1:21 raise 244:13 295:15 310:7,8 326:2 raised 26:4 120:4 234:21 235:5,9 246:17 295:18 raises 341:12 ran 320:9 randomly 76:18 Rasenberger 35:15,21 rate 250:6 ratification 37:10 Raul 3:6 14:20 15:11,12 17:20 20:19 21:10 36:10,19 77:13 79:19 84:13 85:15 96:8 114:6,10 115:19 116:1,7,7,9 118:11 129:3 143:2 Raul's 44:8,8 re-ask 120:7 react 277:16 reaction 171:22 177:17 207:18 read 27:12,20 36:17 105:11 122:11,13 201:8 222:22 247:20 247:21 248:2 325:7 reader 170:3 reading 170:13 ready 90:20 real 7:1 8:2 realized 102:14 really 26:18 50:7,8,12 50:22 56:12 61:5 68:8 82:2 96:7,14 100:3 149:2 203:20 205:22 284:11 329:21 330:3 337:19 345:17 reason 16:17 17:5 18:7 66:13 67:9 87:2 95:1 264:11 341:18 reasonable 229:9 reasons 176:3 rebut 249:10

327:1 344:17

quick 8:2 42:6 334:3

142:6 169:9 185:20 246:17 247:5,8 rebutts 110:19 recall 10:21 17:7 53:20 57:11 101:16.17 117:5 122:2.15.20 126:22 143:19 179:20 194:8 202:16 203:1 209:16 212:10 233:1 246:13 256:3,4,6 258:22 261:16 262:15 264:12,13 265:13 267:10 286:17 288:21 291:21 304:2 308:2,6 310:1 314:19 315:1 327:18,19 332:21 333:4.5 recalled 18:12 119:20 143:3 **RECD** 3:10 receive 113:8,21 114:15 237:6 311:21 received 19:18 34:4 40:16 44:18 47:20 75:20 83:19 93:4 94:15 105:3 112:11 123:22 133:2,13 135:2 138:7 156:1 162:15 170:18 172:16 194:19 200:18 204:3 206:7 208:14 210:5 213:9 216:9 218:9 220:21 238:14 239:19 242:5 251:12 256:12 259:21 261:8 262:4 262:10 279:20 287:19 305:6,7,11 344:22 receives 110:14 113:1 receiving 179:20 recess 78:15,18 recipient 35:18 48:21 48:22 51:14,15 53:2,3 58:17 60:19,19 62:5 66:1,4,9,18,22 67:7 69:16 70:21 75:16 recipients 50:3,19 57:16,20 59:8,17 recitation 83:9 reciting 301:9 recognize 27:17 79:11 132:17 249:22 259:7 260:5 267:17 304:5 recognized 304:16 recognizes 84:16 recollection 94:6 126:17 151:19 152:3 162:21 186:17 234:10

327:12 330:6 reconsider 278:5 reconvene 140:21 record 7:22 30:22 33:4 35:2 36:18 43:20 46:18 78:21.22 86:1 105:17 112:3,13 116:6 123:20 134:6,9 141:4 144:18 148:15 148:17,22 149:6,7 172:8 173:17 179:10 182:20 187:2 203:20 203:20 223:2,4 235:2 248:17 265:5 269:22 270:1 273:19 274:22 318:22 322:9 324:22 333:17 347:9.11 recorded 7:6.9.11 recordings 228:22 records 18:4 62:2 174:17 177:3,5 202:5 223:4 247:22 332:13 344:9 recovery 17:16 recreated 17:10 RECROSS 3:2 **RECROSS-EXAMINA...** 127:13 **REDIRECT 3:2 118:15** redundant 213:21 reference 34:21 181:20 244:10 251:2 282:6 289:13,15,20 referenced 169:11 175:20 184:10 240:16 276:22 references 154:19 175:13 212:5 referencing 181:10 282:19 referred 93:10 137:2 153:5 169:18 289:4 referring 48:15 54:10 54:15 83:8 87:3 116:7 116:11 154:16 222:12 225:11 237:21 239:2 239:6 266:20 270:17 276:18 282:13 289:2 289:8 refers 250:10 reflecting 163:22 reflects 237:1 refrain 37:6 refresh 151:19 162:21 234:10 refreshes 152:3 refreshing 257:4 268:5

regard 11:11 54:2 67:19 71:18 96:4 113:5 119:18 120:5 125:2 126:6 128:7.16 177:3 181:13 185:17 188:17 244:14 257:14 258:15 273:12 286:15 291:17 297:2 298:9 303:19 306:3 325:14 regarding 3:22 79:15 112:14 166:15 171:15 172:9 173:5 182:22 208:7 209:7 232:9 244:9 247:22 326:13 Regional 319:8 registrations 258:3 rehabilitate 123:15 rehabilitation 112:8 reject 6:9 rejected 90:6,9 187:19 187:22 188:4 relate 215:5 related 175:12 relates 230:7 relating 110:21 164:8 relationship 110:17 113:2,4 148:10,11 152:18 181:4 195:13 207:1,3 215:13 217:7 219:3,7 232:17 233:5 233:16 235:20 240:13 283:13,16,20 284:12 294:18 295:10,11 relationships 267:5 274:7 295:2 relevance 31:5,20 80:8 103:21 108:4 109:12 109:16 175:11 182:13 183:17 222:10 338:14 relevant 16:18 17:3 28:8,9 31:2 32:1 33:21 49:2 111:20 151:4 185:2,14 338:18 relied 249:7 291:16 293:1.3 rely 67:3 130:12 273:13 292:20,21 297:3,6 321:6 324:20 relying 188:11 remain 129:4 316:3 remainder 255:4 remained 65:21 66:12 remains 344:17 remedies 37:14 remedy 60:8 remember 21:11 33:10 54:1 63:7 102:6 127:3

refused 188:4

257:5 266:1 268:5

rebuttal 127:21 128:3,8

176:22 262:12 299:17 330:2.20 332:22 333:15 remembered 18:14 remembers 18:6 remind 169:15 removed 213:21 removing 166:12 render 241:14 renew 111:17 172:8 187:16 194:13 208:6 246:2 252:21 rent 33:18 reorganizing 130:20 repeat 17:1 188:2 323:17 rephrase 66:6 replace 34:12 report 73:20 reported 268:1 reporter 16:22 327:6 reports 73:2 329:17,20 represent 24:7,15 26:11 45:21 56:8 58:4 91:2 111:1 120:19 132:21,22 147:4 150:16 152:11 155:5 175:1 200:4 263:9.13 266:15 267:6 294:12 representation 3:16 4:9 26:4 34:12 37:1.20 48:22 59:19 64:9 65:8 71:21 89:3.12 99:12 100:18 101:15 105:8 105:14,18 106:4 107:3 133:2 147:1 166:15 173:5 287:2 290:1,3 292:9 321:11 322:18 324:22 representations 89:3 129:14 146:21 202:14 representative 82:8 108:16,22 198:2,3,16 200:2 255:9 280:18 282:14 293:19 294:1 represented 69:5 70:21 75:21,22 133:5,15 143:18,22 145:2 150:14,15,22 169:1 174:18 180:8 181:7 188:22 191:5 211:13 215:15 217:9 233:8 233:18 242:22 245:19 252:4 256:16 257:2 264:10 268:11,12 269:18 281:3 282:1,2

283:4.18 289:20 337:21 representing 153:22 171:13 174:21 186:4 191:7 192:7 195:15 200:3 258:11,12,13 258:14 337:19 represents 147:17 148:19 154:21 274:8 request 6:18 37:5 149:22 153:10 194:14 226:9 232:22 275:10 326:10 requested 17:8 190:1 286:15,17 311:17 requests 49:7 278:16 require 342:16 required 133:9 224:21 335:7 342:13 requirement 296:1 requires 117:7 reserves 37:15 residence 345:14 respect 22:10 26:2 48:7 92:19 301:15 317:4 326:8 329:8 respond 28:6 59:18 60:2 66:22 170:5 224:1 276:7 308:17 responded 11:2,10 21:9 58:10 63:21 124:13 308:22 344:3 respondent 69:3 responding 25:5 65:6 105:7,12 176:14 247:8 response 16:9,17 18:7 42:6 55:15 59:21 60:17 61:20,22 62:1 63:15,16,17 69:6,20 111:16 123:11 126:4 154:17 188:10 196:9 196:12 227:10 240:8 240:10.12.13.18 241:1,12 246:16 252:16 286:13,18 287:6,18 301:7 322:21 324:8 329:16 333:6 340:20,21 responsibilities 20:13 48:3,6 responsible 166:1,7 responsive 123:10 344:10 rest 255:10,13

restaurants 14:5

rests 127:21

restricted 299:10,11

result 152:3 resulted 234:22 286:6 resumed 10:11 112:3 143:6 200:20 265:6 retired 314:12,18 320:12 323:22 330:7 retransmission 37:7 43:21 46:20 47:1 72:4 106:12 107:18,21 108:20 126:11 159:11 178:21 317:5 318:15 318:16 319:22 330:11 retrieval 332:11 return 141:1 returned 328:13 329:17 revealed 102:1 173:18 174:5 review 115:13,16 174:17 180:5 234:14 253:18 273:3 303:19 304:13 reviewed 132:12 168:22 169:5,11 188:18 244:11,21 248:14 277:7,21 278:1 reviewing 7:19 29:22 159:12 181:8 207:6 263:17 revised 254:22 revision 253:18 revisions 255:2 right 6:10 21:20 24:16 25:17 26:11 27:4.15 29:6 34:13 43:6 45:21 47:2.11 48:16.22 50:4 50:21 55:12 62:15 67:5,11 68:10 71:10 71:14 72:5,6,8,16,20 73:3,13 75:1,1 79:10 86:13 90:19 91:7 92:6 92:19 93:6,11,15,19 95:7 97:11 100:13 101:2 103:10 104:21 105:9,15,19,22 106:5 106:8,13,18,19,22 107:4,14 108:5 110:8 118:12 119:14 121:9 121:11 125:14,16 126:12,15 127:4 134:4 140:15 151:12 152:5 154:20 167:6 204:22 209:8 215:3 216:17 225:12 231:11 232:6 238:19 240:2 263:10,20 266:22 268:6 275:1 284:20 285:16 287:1 289:22

290:14 291:3.9 300:10 303:7 310:10 315:16,17,18 326:6 329:14 332:19 342:12 right-handed 125:18,19 rights 37:13 75:13 137:9,13,16 146:6 153:8 159:8 179:8 212:22 234:3,9,12 236:1 237:2 263:11 266:14 282:14 Road 3:22 232:10,15,20 233:6,10 236:4 roar 131:7 Rodney 218:13,14,20 room 1:15 5:5 333:2 334:9 341:17 Ropes 219:15,16,20 Ross 101:14 104:1 105:7,8,13,19 106:5 106:22 107:2,20 119:19,21,22 120:3 120:11,12,16,17,18 120:19 roughly 139:2,3 246:13 **Roxanne** 197:15 royalties 37:7 47:1 87:14 108:20 117:15 153:9,11 159:8,9,11 162:2 175:12 205:1 250:22 263:20 317:5 318:12,16 319:10,19 319:22 royalty 1:1,6,11,22 23:17 25:7 39:8,16 40:4,9 43:22 44:3,10 46:20 72:5 106:12 107:18,21 117:3,6,9 117:17 126:13 318:19 330:11,18 332:1,14 rubber 125:21 127:16 rule 79:2 117:7.13 228:20 229:6 325:11 ruled 278:14 295:22 296:6 rules 247:21 ruling 33:11 77:2 86:8 272:2 286:10 296:9 rulings 76:16 running 223:22 336:9 338:16,20 runs 140:22 S

s 105:7,13 107:2 120:18 305:12 S.A 268:7,7,9,10,10 SA 263:14,21

| safe Sansate 11 46 10 17 29 31 31 Saul 18 32 34 34 Saul 28 Save saw 19 24 26 Sayin 50 68 11 15 18 27 29 30 31 33 says 39 75 10 13 15 16 19 20 25 30 | 132:2 ly 130:10 dra 330:12 llite 1:11 4:10 11:6 :12 43:1,3,4 46:19 :22,22 47:5 107:17 7:21 126:10 178:20 8:21 183:6 191:11 2:7,7 305:11,12 7:5 318:16 319:10 9:19,22 nders 3:8 183:5 4:18 185:7 189:13 1:10,16 285:11 6:19,21 306:2,8 7:9 308:2,7,11 2:1 313:6,19 314:5 4:7 315:17,20,21 6:2,4,7,11,14,19 6:7 327:1,10 1:13 345:5,8,10,20 6:20 nders's 287:22 8:10,14 290:22 1:3 292:6 293:15 4:3 308:1 310:6 1:8 312:18 313:17 4:1,3,20 324:20 5:13 336:17 337:8 303:14 93:2 120:14 181:19 2:18 222:18,22 8:18 250:16,17 4:11 ng 38:10 49:17 :17,17 51:3 59:6,9 :15 80:20 110:5 1:10 120:19 147:7 7:8 160:2,4 174:16 8:10 193:7,7 200:8 6:21 277:21 278:8 0:2 304:18 309:15 9:22 312:20 313:21 3:22 338:10,12 9:11,16,20 9:7,9 11:1 38:14 :12,14 74:17 75:3,4 :11 82:9 84:15 3:17 108:14,15 3:18 135:6 140:9 6:11 157:11,14,15 8:1 166:13,14 8:21 189:13 198:1 9:22 200:1,11 5:15 228:21 229:6 0:6 290:20 298:7 1:9 317:14 lario 186:2 | schedule 239:7 345:6 scheduled 6:16 scheme 72:5 Sciences 181:3,5,11 182:11 scope 28:8 31:2 80:13 333:10 scrambling 336:14 339:5 scrap 330:15 Screenrights 296:19 297:2,4,8,18 298:3,8 298:9,21 300:4 301:17 Screenwrites 144:21 152:22 153:2,4 155:3 156:17 157:5,12,14 157:15,17 158:1,16 158:21 159:7,9 162:1 168:4,8,15 171:20 172:3 scrutinize 146:22 SD 1:11 SDC 4:16 7:12 19:12 40:20 102:19,20 103:19 104:15 105:4 110:21 121:2 142:7 142:14 231:7 271:17 SDC's 222:15 SE 1:17 search 203:19 210:18 288:17,18 searchable 325:17 searches 223:22 seated 5:3 67:17 79:1 112:5 129:5 141:6 265:7 second 34:19 35:12 45:16 55:22 73:9 84:1 121:21 150:5 166:2 175:13 182:14 189:5 210:19 212:4 214:2 214:11 233:19 238:9 238:12 290:13,16 298:1 299:9 306:3 311:5 secondary 153:7,8 159:8 Secondly 247:15 seconds 27:8 130:20 343:8,13 Secretary 15:7 319:17 section 74:17 75:3 76:9 117:13 secured 259:3 see 8:18 14:5 15:1,8 20:19 31:4,13 33:20 34:18 35:15,19 55:16 |
|---|--|--|
| scen | ario 100.2 | 34.16 35.15,19 55.16 |

| schedule 239:7 345:6 scheduled 6:16 |
|---|
| scheme 72:5 Sciences 181:3,5,11 |
| 182:11 scope 28:8 31:2 80:13 |
| 333:10 scrambling 336:14 339:5 |
| scrap 330:15 |
| Screenrights 296:19 297:2,4,8,18 298:3,8 298:9,21 300:4 301:17 |
| Screenwrites 144:21 152:22 153:2,4 155:3 156:17 157:5,12,14 157:15,17 158:1,16 158:21 159:7,9 162:1 168:4,8,15 171:20 172:3 |
| scrutinize 146:22 SD 1:11 |
| SDC 4:16 7:12 19:12 40:20 102:19,20 103:19 104:15 105:4 110:21 121:2 142:7 142:14 231:7 271:17 SDC's 222:15 |
| SE 1:17 search 203:19 210:18 |
| 288:17,18 |
| searchable 325:17 searches 223:22 seated 5:3 67:17 79:1 112:5 129:5 141:6 265:7 |
| 45:16 55:22 73:9 84:1 121:21 150:5 166:2 175:13 182:14 189:5 210:19 212:4 214:2 214:11 233:19 238:9 238:12 290:13,16 298:1 299:9 306:3 311:5 |
| secondary 153:7,8 159:8 |
| Secondly 247:15 seconds 27:8 130:20 343:8,13 |
| Secretary 15:7 319:17 section 74:17 75:3 76:9 |
| 117:13 secured 259:3 see 8:18 14:5 15:1,8 |

```
55:19 59:22 60:3,9,11
  73:14 75:8 76:18 81:9
  103:4 106:21 107:20
  114:8 116:2 121:19
  121:22 136:4 138:14
  150:6 155:9 156:11
  157:3.10 161:7
  163:21 164:10 165:15
  181:9.13 191:18
  206:1 207:7 212:4
  219:14 222:10 230:6
  239:8 240:21 244:15
  250:10 259:12 263:18
  273:6 277:11,12
  282:7 289:13 290:18
  294:17 299:4 313:3
  317:8 338:11,11
  340:9
seeing 122:2,20 257:18
  262:15
seeks 34:11
seen 27:18 91:20 123:8
  144:1,4,10,14,19
  145:4 179:2 202:14
  204:20 209:12 212:11
  212:13 215:17 217:11
  219:10 234:15 237:4
  256:16 267:14.16
 268:22
sees 249:4
self 236:4
self-serving 249:12
send 13:2 49:22 50:9
  52:18 53:1,7,8 60:11
 61:21 63:14,15 66:21
 76:1 81:14,18 119:4,7
  119:11,12,15 140:22
 330:14
sending 11:9,12 53:3
  110:10,19
sends 49:22 50:2
  110:14 119:3
sense 80:15 129:8
 339:20
sent 10:17,20 11:5 36:4
 36:8,10,11 48:9 49:7
 49:10 51:13 52:17
 53:12 57:10,22 58:6,8
 60:1,16 61:19 69:15
 71:14,17 72:19 74:2
 76:5 81:17.21 91:15
 92:4 93:3 103:14
 108:11 109:6 122:19
 124:17 199:8 253:18
 256:5 306:17
sentence 10:19 55:20
 55:21 56:1 76:14
 86:22 168:21 237:15
```

238:8,10 250:14 290:17 sentences 84:22 separate 149:21 277:18 separated 262:9 seguence 174:5,20 series 17:19 217:5 245:21 249:1 251:1 317:2 serve 297:4 served 30:7,11,18 32:10 311:10 services 241:15 serving 146:10 session 132:1 141:9,10 141:12 299:19 set 53:9 63:8,10,21 100:17 101:6,14 113:10 115:10 setting 6:13 **Settling** 2:7 4:14 91:3 seven 7:8 Seventy-seven 9:3 10:1 Seventy-six 9:21 SG 35:19 shake-up 96:6 **Shaw** 2:10 she'll 347:3 sheet 115:12 224:12,14 291:11 sheets 220:11 306:16 309:9 336:4 Sheppard 122:6 shifting 276:20 shop 338:20 short 8:16 17:14 51:21 52:5 129:21 228:4 270:16 296:21 show 104:10 107:8 111:20 158:10 183:1 207:8 209:9 211:7,14 211:15 212:2,2,6,8 221:14 224:14 267:4 272:12 274:12 290:6 293:9 **showed** 277:15 **showing** 77:4 110:13 228:10 266:13 272:11 shown 248:16 249:3 shows 13:4 110:10.10 162:3 181:16 274:19 shred 146:17 side 299:19 sides 185:5 sidetrack 231:13 sign 18:12 24:5 54:12 93:16 94:7 126:20

299:19 339:2

signals 140:22 signatory 56:8 signature 23:22 45:10 125:21 127:16 254:13 259:12 signed 37:2,4 93:11 94:1 105:18 106:8,15 126:17 127:2 299:15 305:1 319:7,16 signees 147:5 significance 153:14 157:16 significant 222:9 signing 23:15 24:11 Silberberg 2:20 silly 42:2 similar 263:8 280:13 309:8 similarly 116:10 simple 138:8 simply 80:20 112:17 151:19 170:1 226:2 248:6 255:1 273:15 284.9 Simultaneous 128:2 140:7 186:20 201:10 231:15 277:6 single 86:15 127:11 226:3,4,4 233:11 240:19 296:1 317:10 332:3 Singsation 196:4 221:13,13,17 222:2 sister 118:12 site 203:13 205:6 sitting 261:18 315:16 326:5 situation 12:15 17:21 17:22 65:3 70:17 101:17 107:6 185:16 188:16 situations 152:13 six 162:21 250:6 Six-zero-two 103:2 Sixteen 160:15 162:13 **sized** 8:13 skewed 87:13 Ski 219:17 220:1 slog 194:17 small 189:16 smoke 140:22 Snowboard 220:1 Snowboarding 219:18 **Snyder** 212:9 Societies 296:14 Society 156:16 157:11 168:9 software 288:19

solely 175:12 solicited 71:17 somebody 59:5 182:15 someplace 59:1 somewhat 76:22 131:17 289:18 301:1 soon 102:14 275:12 sophisticated 333:19 sorry 16:22 23:14 24:21 29:2,9 34:20 35:5 37:17 39:2,3,8 45:11 45:12 48:5 55:2,11 56:2 60:6,7 64:10 69:9,11 70:21 74:6 78:1,6 93:21 102:22 105:10 116:9 118:17 122:4 123:19 129:13 134:21 140:17 175:2 175:5 176:1.13 183:10 188:1 198:13 202:1 203:6 219:19 220:17 225:8 228:3 248:10 260:10,17,20 264:18 266:18,19 275:3,4 276:16 278:14 282:8 289:19 293:13 297:6,21 299:14 307:2 309:20 317:22 319:19,21 329:12 333:3 341:11 342:1 sort 12:18 13:19 14:1 14:19 15:9 22:8 65:15 212:8 290:9 306:11 328:11 sound 109:2 326:3 sounds 177:22 229:4 sour 84:16,18 source 331:13 sources 332:12 Spain 159:6 162:2 266:2,4 Spanish 150:15 258:19 266:21 296:21

Spanish-language

265:22 266:2

286:21 308:5

90:2

speak 30:3 257:21

speaking 128:2 140:7

speaks 56:19 89:6,15

specific 11:14 64:16,17

65:2,3 115:3 126:16

134:10 221:11 239:5

253:8 281:12

specifically 124:21

186:20 199:9 201:10

231:15 253:17 277:6

171:16 184:11 190:10 212:22 234:12 243:8 244:13 255:16 306:2 321:2,3 specifics 88:18 226:10 specified 234:5,12 speculate 140:6 speculation 29:18 30:10 66:5 182:15 split 266:6 spoke 48:1 178:11 179:6 spoken 62:4 82:3 178:3 345:6 sponsoring 141:19,20 141:20 spot-on 328:19 spread 270:16 spreadsheet 11:5 12:3 12:17 58:8,12 133:7 133:13 135:14,16,20 138:8 140:2,11 152:7 154:1,16 156:9 161:4 164:19,20 166:13 184:5,20 188:11,18 188:18 189:6 190:9 193:21,22 196:3 203:1,7 207:7,11 209:17 212:1 221:20 222:7,11,14 223:3,12 223:13 224:1 225:20 226:9,10,13,17 227:2 229:11 234:15 245:12 246:19 247:1 248:12 252:12 268:13.14 269:16 271:12 272:7 277:10 280:8,14,15 280:22 281:5,11,13 282:22 285:10 287:12 287:19 288:6,7,9,18 289:1 290:3 291:16 292:4 293:4,9,22 297:14 298:10 303:7 303:8,16,18 311:21 322:6,21 324:7 325:3 325:6 336:21 337:6 342:21 343:1,3 spreadsheets 52:9 226:11,14,16 274:3 280:20 281:3 **spring** 333:3 squander 132:4 142:4 St 158:9 stack 312:9 314:5 **stacks** 314:8 staff 158:16 stamp 125:21 127:16 305:10

stand 5:12 10:11 31:11 128:19 326:5,14 327:2 standpoint 197:4 stands 111:15 156:16 286:21 Star 245:18 start 5:4 116:4 128:5 141:13 257:17 278:17 started 5:14 14:13,22 19:9 61:8 71:2 88:21 231:22 255:8 341:16 **starting** 14:1 15:19 333:3 346:3 starts 82:7 157:1 290:17 **State 15:7** stated 11:2 20:2 22:19 50:6 59:20 85:19 341.5 statement 37:13 75:19 80:16 83:4,13 86:7,13 94:19 104:11 170:4 184:18 185:7,9,20 189:11 192:11 247:6 247:8 249:12 271:5 285:11,12,15,19 286:2 289:12 292:22 statements 84:21 111:5 200:5 250:1 states 15:8 204:18 205:11 263:12 265:21 266:8 stating 84:7 85:6 stations 45:5 status 6:14,15 72:3 108:17 140:21 299:4 300:6 303:20 344:13 stay 45:15 Staying 146:2 STERNBERG 2:13 Steven 4:2 240:8 Stewart 3:20 215:6,8,10 215:11,12 216:1 stick 131:20 sticker 43:13 stickers 43:5 stipulate 30:18 41:7 130:3 238:2 stipulated 32:10 155:19 235:15 237:20 stipulation 41:14,22 46:9 stone 113:10 **stop** 114:3 189:5 347:2 stopped 308:19 338:16

stops 163:3

story 15:14

straightforwardly 113:1 street 2:11,14,21 142:19 Streisand 202:8 stricken 185:12 198:11 238:3,12 289:8 290:7 Strickler 1:21 8:7,9 9:3 9:9,12,16 43:2 93:8 93:13,16,21 94:5,9,12 139:14,17 140:5,10 140:17 192:22 193:5 193:12 194:7 224:6 224:16,20 225:7,10 225:14,18 228:19 229:14,17 230:3,19 231:8 248:19 270:15 271:6,18 273:5 275:2 275:5,13,20 276:19 282:3,10 292:11,14 292:18 304:8 311:2 311:18 312:20 313:9 315:6,9,15 322:11 325:2,5 334:2,7,18 335:1,5,9,19 336:16 337:9 338:8 339:8,15 340:19 341:11 342:2 343:22 344:2 strike 58:15 109:20,21 118:21 148:16 192:20 235:12 242:11 250:8 250:13 258:7 301:6 stuck 306:17 Studios 217:17 stuff 58:12 78:6 220:10 sub-agent 268:20 sub-exhibits 55:6 subject 75:6 112:7 146:2 255:10 subjective 77:18 84:9 85:5 86:2 submission 285:18 submit 185:1 186:1 254:5 submitted 152:14 158:13,14 179:11 192:10 Subsequent 236:3 subset 139:6 Subsidy 2:2 4:1 239:3 substantiate 89:2.12 substantiation 133:2 substitute 248:5 successor 111:7 116:19 117:4 328:14 sudden 102:1 323:12 Sue 37:2

sufficiency 110:22 sufficient 38:15 130:11 142:1 230:10 274:22 315:11 suggest 272:10 suggestion 179:9 suggests 65:7 Suite 2:15 summaries 73:3 230:12 247:22 summarized 224:2,7 summarizing 230:21 summary 223:4 228:20 229:2,5 230:8,9,12 247:18,19 248:3,7,7 250:16 271:22 272:20 273:7,10,21 274:3 275:16,16 276:11 277:19 summation 222:18 **summer** 96:10 **supplied** 342:22 **support** 111:11 185:22 186:2 249:18 336:5 338:22 supported 309:9 324:22 325:1 supports 249:17 suppose 225:22 253:9 339:10 supposed 214:1 275:15 293:18 294:1 sure 21:12 24:6 27:14 32:5 38:21 39:13 50:16 52:15 59:12 63:7 78:16 82:2,5,6 92:21 95:21 97:20 112:21 115:19 116:6 118:7 130:9 131:14 131:15 136:16 253:7 255:7 278:18 280:2 282:4 288:20 289:14 305:17 surgeries 16:12 surprise 182:9,14 surprised 182:10,16 186:19 suspect 314:6 suspenders 57:3 sustained 16:3 29:20 30:5 38:3 56:20 57:6 64:5 68:3 71:6 89:8 89:17 90:4 96:20 99:19 101:11 174:9 182:19 187:13,18

196:15 244:7

swear 254:16 316:5,8

SUZANNE 1:20

sworn 10:10 143:4 syndicated 221:14 **Syndication** 4:2 171:10 171:12 219:1 Syndications 233:17 234:19 235:19 236:22 237:1 239:4 __T____ tab 55:7,13 73:12 76:8 165:20,21 TABLE 3:1 tabs 226:5 tabulate 337:5 tainted 87:1 take 5:11 6:7,19 9:17 27:8 54:18 78:15 99:20 102:19 106:10 106:20 107:16 117:12 117:22 118:1,4 122:11 132:15 145:13 151:6,10 152:1 154:6 160:9 167:6,12 170:21 172:19 180:12 194:22 201:16 204:5 206:10 208:17 210:8 214:19 216:12 218:12 219:19 232:4 238:17 239:22 242:8 251:2 251:15 258:4 259:5 260:3 262:2,2 264:18 269:8 317:7,10 332:19 342:3 taken 51:17 140:1,3 156:8 269:15 275:11 323:10 takes 52:4 53:18 124:20 talk 87:3,4 230:4 279:3 280:6 309:18 talked 56:12 79:8 80:11 talking 25:2 32:6 35:3 58:11 97:20 179:3,5 189:7 214:7 225:15 229:5 255:16,22 265:10 277:19 282:4 282:15 286:20 294:16 299:6 302:8,9 307:3 330:20 332:7,10 334:16 341:16 347:2 tech-savvy 12:9 **Telefe** 268:8 telephone 22:7 69:17 telephonic 6:15 Televisa 4:4 259:2,10 263:14,20 264:2,3,5 264:11,14 television 76:6 181:3,5

209:8 211:14.15 220:12 268:8 Televison 182:11 tell 13:2,20 28:3 29:22 34:10 74:1 84:14,17 95:21 96:3,7 115:2,3 115:8 129:11 130:12 132:17 152:2 158:19 160:10 170:22 172:20 178:16 199:11 201:13 204:6 238:21 249:7 260:4 275:18 319:9 346:17 telling 80:21 333:16 tells 14:4 38:7 templates 71:13 ten 49:6 343:7,13 term 100:7,17 101:6,14 106:3,4 113:17 124:22 termi 263:15 terminate 36:22 38:8,19 terminated 65:20 92:11 92:15 101:22 102:11 102:14 109:22 113:19 260:16 261:13 terminates 37:19 terminating 38:10 92:9 113:15 termination 23:2 28:19 28:21 29:12 38:2 40:13 67:4 74:1 91:15 91:18,21,22 92:4,13 93:2,4 94:15 102:12 113:2,8,13,15 114:15 114:18 120:15 122:18 124:20 262:5,11 263:16 264:7 terminations 125:7 terms 113:16 114:9 156:5 205:9 territories 153:13 266:3 territory 159:6 testified 10:12 11:4 17:20 68:7 80:19 91:4 96:11 106:15 143:5 164:4 183:1 191:17 209:9 225:12 270:19 274:6 277:1,9 279:12 296:17 302:15 313:19 318:4 329:22 330:6 testify 134:7 172:4 223:6 248:1,6 250:2 345:11 testifying 193:2,21 222:17 224:9 226:21 248:8 249:21 326:7 testimonial 232:11

181:11 185:18 207:8

testimony 21:7,12 57:1 57:2 61:12,19 62:9 63:14 68:13 71:12 90:5 99:20 110:5,20 134:12 139:19 183:6 185:8 189:13 191:9 191:12 222:6 223:14 224:4 230:9,21 233:3 237:18 239:2 247:18 271:9.12 272:22 273:7,13 275:15 286:19,20 288:1,15 290:22 291:4 292:6,7 293:15 294:3 306:2 307:21 308:2 310:6 311:8 312:19 313:17 314:1,3,20 320:19 323:16 324:20 325:12 325:14,16 326:10,13 326:17,18 343:6 text 36:18 49:21 50:1 thank 6:6 7:4 10:6 17:11 18:22 19:3,15 25:20 30:19 33:2 34:1 37:16 40:11 41:10 45:7,13 74:14 78:19 79:5 81:1 82:16 90:12 90:19 94:12 119:18 121:1,4 122:8 123:18 124:16 125:9 127:5 129:5 130:14 132:11 134:16,20 140:19 141:2 143:8 152:1,21 162:18 167:10,12 170:20 172:18 174:9 174:11 176:7 180:5 194:21 201:15 206:4 206:9,20 207:22 208:16 210:7 211:11 213:18 216:11 218:11 221:2 227:15 228:1,6 228:14,19 231:18 232:3 238:16 239:21 242:7 251:14 256:14 258:6 260:1 265:2,9 270:8,9 279:8,22 282:10 292:3,18 295:15,21 299:21 301:20 302:13 315:21 316:7 326:20,21 327:18,19 337:10 344:19 347:6,7,8 Thanks 284:17 their's 254:22 then-Director 330:11 Theoretically 227:17 thereof 222:18

thereon 271:5 thereto 120:1 252:16 therewith 236:1 they'd 241:14 329:20 thing 13:19 14:2,19 15:9 22:8 27:13 29:4 52:14 58:6.19 65:15 85:12 104:2 114:7 115:3.9 138:5 147:8 164:18 185:15 192:13 230:22 288:22 301:9 307:16,17 330:16 343:18 345:11,21 things 6:4 12:5 13:4. 15:5 16:8,9 20:14 37:19 45:4 49:20 51:1 53:18 64:21 109:5 110:5 115:4 131:15 192:20 325:5 328:10 335:10 339:10 344:12 think 16:16 20:2,9 21:7 23:16 27:15 33:11 38:9,15,18 39:4 46:6 48:2 53:21 54:4,11 57:1 62:17 63:8 66:3 66:5 68:12,13,16,19 73:9 77:16 80:22 86:1 86:3 87:17 94:3,20 98:2,2,4 99:15,16 101:10 109:3 111:4 111:15 112:20 117:22 118:18 119:22 120:17 125:3 128:11 131:4,7 132:2 134:1,7,8,12 138:11,15 139:1,2 140:1,2,8,15 141:22 142:8,9 150:1 151:8 157:1 159:19 161:15 163:19 164:4 169:13 170:2 175:6 176:5 177:1 179:8 180:2,22 181:1,2 182:4 185:14 189:16 191:10 196:5 199:2 201:9,14 202:19 203:18 210:15 212:12 217:17 219:20 222:8,16,19 223:9 224:18 226:14 228:17 230:3 233:13,18 235:4,21 236:18 237:17,20 240:14 244:14 246:6 247:7 248:13,15,19 249:20 254:15 255:20 257:1 265:10,22 269:3 272:13 274:21,22 275:10 276:19 277:11

289:22 291:13 294:12 296:22 302:17,18 303:16 309:12,22 314:3 318:17 319:1,2 320:19 321:3 323:4,5 323:7,9,17 326:18 330:1 337:10 340:14 341:15 342:17 343:20 344:11 346:7 thinks 38:14 62:22 third 76:10 83:22 210:20 211:6 233:19 259:13 third-party 306:14 307:9,18 308:13,14 308:19 311:9 312:3 328:5 thought 5:13 32:16 50:13 84:20 95:15,16 134:2 151:4 169:22 191:5 289:19 293:17 312:22 thousands 189:17 331:19,19 thread 186:16 three 5:16 7:8 61:21 63:16 69:17 86:19 100:10 168:20 172:10 208:7 214:5 240:16 244:10 250:4,7,10 252:22 277:14 278:4 290:15 299:3 300:5 thrown 59:15 Thursday 255:19 tied 135:18 ties 288:7 293:4 Timberwolf 158:7,11 time 11:6,10,13 14:7 15:2,3 21:13,21 22:9 49:3,13 51:20,22 52:1 52:4,6 53:19 62:14,15 73:12,22 77:12 84:14 84:18 85:4 92:15 96:10 97:7,17,19,20 99:5,10 102:14 104:1 104:14 108:2 116:2 120:11 123:7 124:13 129:9 130:2,11,17 131:2,17 132:5 140:20 141:8 142:2.4 159:10 174:13 176:3 177:9 178:3,14 179:21 183:2,4,16,16 186:9,10 191:11 192:9 229:9 233:7 234:6 235:21 236:20 246:13 258:6,8 260:15 261:11,12

262:8 263:1 264:18 272:16 275:7 303:15 308:8 313:4,8 342:15 times 8:14 12:21 13:13 26:1 53:22 178:5 tit-for-tat 276:20 title 135:11 154:20 155:7 156:20 183:3 183:15 185:9,11 191:10 193:16 202:6 204:12,14 209:7 211:13 215:5 236:8 269:10 284:19 286:1 319:21,21 titled 48:13 titles 13:6 71:18,19 152:16 185:10 186:13 192:5,10 215:7 244:16 257:11 280:17 281:18 282:6,7 285:16 286:1,16 291:17 292:5,15,16 311:5 318:6,7,13,14 318:18 319:11 325:1 325:14 329:13 today 6:16,19 48:2 57:2 57:2 95:14 96:17 129:22 142:13,14 267:16 270:19 271:9 296:18 324:4 345:7 Toei 205:11 told 13:16 15:15 16:7 21:10 80:21 84:20 130:1,8,9 250:20 264:13 312:17 Tom 212:9 232:11,19 tomorrow 129:12 130:9 130:13 132:4 142:14 142:16 255:19 345:21 346:6 347:4,5 Tony 206:12 top 28:7 81:9 202:17 209:15 238:12 254:12 269:3 298:7 topic 6:12 276:9 topics 167:22 tore 331:18 total 7:8,10,10,12 256:20 totally 142:18 toto 170:4 touchy 84:19 Tour 220:2 town 345:12 track 96:1 trading 157:12 trained 86:16

278:2,13,14,15 289:4

transcript 313:16

323:20 327:12 transcripts 275:6,7 Transworld 243:9 244:17 245:14 travel 345:5,13 Travis 34:18,22 35:4,6 treat 59:17 trial 84:6 85:8,16,17 88:19 Tribute 202:7 tried 14:21 322:7 trouble 23:9 true 22:22 44:1 46:21 75:15,19 76:4 120:1 254:17 310:4 trusted 17:16 truth 80:16 95:21 96:7 115:2 truthful 72:12,14,20 76:20 77:17,18,19 79:9 104:10 truthfulness 83:1,5 try 5:19 17:13 20:15 23:14 52:5 60:8 63:6 91:13 101:3 131:19 142:12 186:2 191:21 316:8 336:17 trying 12:2 14:15,22 38:13,20 52:2,21 53:4 53:4 64:22 93:22 105:10 166:2 185:22 202:16 205:21 255:18 262:14 272:12 301:11 325:8,10 333:13 338:22 339:6 340:3 Tuesday 270:21 turn 26:13 103:6 144:21 160:5 298:15 314:15 314:16 turned 307:19 312:13 314:12 323:22 330:7 330:8,10,12 TV 4:5 162:3 259:1 260:8 263:14,21 264:2,3,5,11 twain 343:2 twentieth 344:5 two 7:9 8:7 33:4,5,9,10 37:18 42:21 61:21 63:15 69:17 70:8 86:19 129:20 130:4,5 130:20 132:1 141:10 150:2 152:2 157:2,22 175:10 210:20 211:5 220:13 232:18 248:22 250:22 252:20 255:8 259:1 260:10 261:13 262:22 284:2 288:8

290:15 292:10 297:13 306:4 309:7 312:7 328:2 335:9 344:12 two-day 7:10,12 two-way 62:4 tying 148:13 type 189:16 254:22 305:4 310:13,21 331:4 typed 255:1 types 71:13 281:12

US 108:18 202:5 205:12,22 220:1 258:11 uh-hum 13:10 18:20 35:17 43:18 66:19 69:19 71:15 Uhh 155:11 ultimately 180:1 184:9 233:3 235:1 272:13 unbelievable 15:14 unclear 95:1 253:4 undated 253:6 underlie 325:12

underlying 133:4,4 139:12 140:4 144:9 145:5,7,8 152:11,12 152:14 154:4 157:21 190:10 230:13,15 276:21 301:5 336:18 336:22 underscored 237:21

underlies 325:13

understand 25:11,12 34:11 38:10 52:21 54:8 61:12,18 67:16 69:10 83:10 88:21 94:1 118:3 139:19 142:20 182:22 201:16 201:18 250:9 276:8 276:17 277:20 279:3 284:17 324:9 326:8 329:7 334:3,19 337:9

understanding 34:14 38:5 63:13 98:8 136:14 137:13 153:18 178:1 197:13,19 211:12 221:4 222:12 277:9 281:17 290:1 293:20,22 296:8

293:20,22 296:8 understands 274:7 understood 6:2 33:8 128:14 131:14 146:5 164:18 285:6,6 303:14

unfortunately 42:4 68:20 163:11 unhelpfully 317:11 unique 17:22 138:10,21 139:3 152:8 unit 306:19 United 204:18 205:11 263:12 265:21 266:7 Universal 184:14 268:7 universe 7:14 unnecessary 201:14 Unsolved 217:5 untimeliness 146:12 unusual 70:4 109:6 unusually 131:18 update 84:16 108:17 109:19 updated 66:15,16 updates 72:3 73:1 upset 236:14 use 81:12 125:22 138:15 142:2 288:15 325:18 332:3 useable 324:6 usual 131:7 usually 145:21 utilized 15:5

vague 94:21 valid 92:13 223:10 318:12 validated 99:1 validity 37:10 Valle 3:15 171:6.8.15 Valle's 171:22 valor 346:14 value 251:10.10 337:12 variety 20:14 153:7 212:3 217:15 various 4:12 15:8 26:3 159:7 188:9 265:19 305:4 317:4 322:3 vein 273:6 vendor 306:15,20 307:10,18 308:14,14 308:19 311:9,10 312:3 328:5 Venevision 268:7 venture 259:9 260:7 265:12 veracity 22:13 118:20 Vernon 3:3 4:12.17 5:9 5:11 10:8,15 19:22 31:14 32:9 34:7 41:4 43:13,16 44:20 45:9 45:15 48:1 54:18

55:15 57:2,8 62:22

٧

70:10,13 71:5,8 79:7 81:9 83:22 90:13 91:1 93:9 95:10 100:15 105:6 108:8 112:20 118:10,19 125:11 128:18 173:6 Vernon's 110:19 version 213:21 214:3,4 280:16 293:14 313:18 versus 53:2,7 56:15 288:18 Vice 319:16 VICTORIA 2:10 Video 268:6.7 view 54:8 177:2 vis-a-vis 136:2,10,12 139:8 145:17 146:11 182:5 Vision 219:1 visit 259:3 Visual 156:16 157:11 168:9 voice 23:13 253:20 voir 3:5 81:4,7 196:19 196:22 255:20 316:13 Volume 1:13 6:5 8:5 volumes 8:10 voluminous 188:20 192:4 223:2,3,4 225:19 228:21 247:19 247:22 248:2 volunteer 18:11

W wading 333:10 wait 6:21 130:12 299:16 302:11 walk 12:22 walking 333:1 **Walter** 103:5 want 27:12 34:16 41:15 42:15 46:10 49:21 59:14 63:6 74:9 78:8 80:20 100:22 111:11 112:13 113:20 126:5 145:2,9 163:20 182:20 210:14 227:21 247:9 264:21 273:11 277:10 278:4 282:3 288:22 289:14 305:3 317:9 318:14 326:22 334:2,19 338:1 wanted 6:13 16:20 19:7 27:14 164:17 262:1 270:13,14 271:4 280:6 287:16 313:3,6 336:16 344:13 345:10

wants 274:19 276:21

warm 220:11 warranties 212:21 Wars 3:19,20 209:9 210:18.19 Washington 1:2,17 2:11,15,22 74:19 wasn't 27:1 32:14 53:9 59:2,10 221:8,10 225:15 231:2 246:21 246:22 279:15 311:13 water 342:11,12 Watercourse 3:22 232:10,14,20 233:6 233:10 236:4 way 6:4 7:14 8:19 13:1 33:12 46:11 62:22 63:9 85:15 151:3 157:1,19 163:6 169:15 191:20 223:13 230:13 231:21 284:3 306:11 322:14 333:10 337:2 338:22 339:6,9 340:7 342:11,12 ways 261:19 262:9 284:3 299:3 we'll 13:6 41:7 132:5 141:1 142:9 158:13 279:3,4,4 294:9 346:2 we're 27:4,15 95:13 113:15 115:14 140:20 142:12 146:3 149:19 155:11 175:3 179:15 179:15 185:13 186:3 189:13 194:16 208:6 212:12 214:7 215:8 215:22 226:13 227:19 230:3 255:16,18,22 262:2 264:18 276:9 277:19 282:4 295:5 345:18 we've 58:20 99:7 149:9 162:6 181:6 189:6 191:22 195:15 221:3 242:22 256:15,20 267:15 270:17 271:11 278:14 280:7 313:18 314:14 website 36:11 209:6 websites 15:7 Wednesday 1:14 129:17 week 150:1 166:3 270:21 weigh 251:9 274:20 weight 169:14 170:1 249:13 251:6 253:9 278:3 weighty 305:21

Welk 171:9.12 well-articulated 274:2 well-maintained 322:13 went 14:20 59:1,5 69:16 70:20 78:20,21 79:20 80:1 82:5,6 112:3 118:22 138:13 141:4 161:15 163:2 170:1 265:5 266:9,10,11 267:22 312:12 322:3 332:15 336:2,14 341:6 347:10 weren't 52:12 88:20 186:7 337:20 Westside 217:16 whatsoever 21:4 28:7 33:15 62:20 110:12 whisper 326:12 **WHITNEY 2:20** Whitt 307:10 312:3,4,12 318:5 320:8,11 321:3 321:4,6 323:3,16,21 328:5 329:7 330:7 331:9 335:14 336:4,8 337:16 338:6,19,20 338:20 Whitt's 307:14 314:11 321:9,13 323:18 328:20 330:18 332:9 332:12 334:8,13 335:19 338:9,13,16 339:4 343:17 Willie 195:11,14 197:8 197:12,21 198:3,17 willing 179:7 willingly 168:16 Wilson 195:11,14 196:8 196:8 197:9,12,15,21 198:4.17 window 51:22 52:5 Winford 8:17 9:21 Winthrop 2:10 wish 296:20 313:16 withdraw 231:17 withdrawn 83:15 179:18 198:10 207:21 witness 10:11 15:18 16:2 17:13 18:20 24:21 25:17,19 27:6 27:11 28:18 30:13 31:11 32:5 33:14 35:7 43:14 45:3 62:18 63:10 67:14 68:17 69:11 74:14 80:18.19 82:13,20 84:12 87:11 88:15 93:12,15,18 94:3,8,11 98:6 100:2

115:2.18 116:1 125:13,16,19 126:1,8 126:12,15,18,20 127:3,6 128:16,22 129:1 139:22 140:8 140:13 141:19,20,21 143:3 149:2,6 151:18 154:12 160:15 165:2 167:2.20 171:5 173:2 176:9 177:10 180:17 183:22 193:2.20 194:2.6.11 195:7 198:12 199:1 201:22 204:11 206:18 208:22 210:14 213:11 214:6 214:10 215:3 216:17 218:18 222:21 223:5 223:16 224:10.18 225:1,13,21 226:16 227:12 229:4,16 230:21 237:18 248:1 265:14 267:7 277:1 280:4,9 292:13,15 305:17 315:15 320:10 320:15,17 326:4,6,13 333:14 334:6,11,22 341:22 342:21 344:17 witness's 343:5 WITNESSE 3:2 witnessed 298:14 witnesses 129:7,16,20 130:5,7,12 132:3 141:16 233:1 248:6,7 276:11 346:5 wondering 129:9 345:17 Woodlief 8:18 9:5,15 10:1 word 9:17 188:5 253:11 254:12 288:15 290:4 332:4 worded 262:7 264:15 words 114:14 190:21 328:17 work 12:3 13:18 20:18 49:14 202:13 217:2 341:9,10 workbook 191:17 192:17 193:1 working 12:19 263:2 works 195:9.11 World 319:16 Worldwide 2:2 4:1 35:19 150:6.6 166:15 173:5,8,11 174:18 205:4 211:18,19,21 212:18,20 239:3 worldwidesg@aol.com

81:11 worried 273:19 worth 57:5 218:20 wouldn't 51:9 64:4 65:17 76:1 102:12 113:19 254:20 298:10 331:14 write 60:9 103:12 writing 36:20 44:8 writings 228:22 written 82:12 97:1,7,9 97:16 98:7 99:5 184:17 185:6,8,19 189:10 191:9 192:11 247:5.7 285:10.11.14 285:19 286:2 287:22 290:22 292:6,21 343:5 wrong 193:8 251:4 274:13 327:11 wrote 105:21 WSG 4:4,5 259:10 260:8 <u>X</u> . **XYZ** 283:10,10,11,12,14 283:16,16,18,20,21 Υ veah 134:1 165:13 167:3 182:21 225:21 228:8 314:10 339:21 342:2 year 23:17 25:1,7 37:8 39:8,16 40:9 41:8 44:3 49:5 74:6 75:14 92:16 98:16,16,16,16 100:8 106:12 109:18 109:19,19 110:1,1,1 113:18,20 114:14,17 114:22 115:1 126:21 135:22 139:11 151:7 152:9 155:2,3,8 157:2 157:5 161:5 163:1 181:19 182:2,2,3,3 184:11 188:15 190:11 196:6 215:15,21 224:3 235:22 236:17 237:7 257:6 258:4 262:22 295:7,9 318:14 319:20 320:1 332:1,14,15 years 34:8 37:8 39:6

112:9 113:9 114:5,20

40:5 49:2 56:9 64:20

70:8 77:6,6,6,6 92:5

95:17,18 100:10,17

101:6,14,18,21,22

102:5 107:7 119:21

| | 1 | T. | I. |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 133:3 136:13,17,19 | 19:17 | 1998 181:7 233:13 | 40:9 41:8 43:22 44:3 |
| 139:13 141:9 145:12 | 118 3:3 | 1999 61:10 107:11 | 44:11 46:20 79:16 |
| 145:16,20 146:10 | 12 3:14 132:17 134:21 | 234:13 236:2 237:3 | 92:16 93:14,17,19 |
| 148:7 149:14,18 | 135:3 140:15,18 | 239:10 323:15,20 | 94:2,9 103:16 105:21 |
| 11 | 143:10 145:11 149:12 | · · | 106:2,12 107:13,18 |
| 150:7,9 161:21 | 1 | 1999-2009 1:10,11 | |
| 173:21 179:15 181:22 | 280:13,15 281:17 | 1st 35:14 37:21 39:11 | 107:21 120:3,10 |
| 181:22 184:8,9 | 282:5,7,19 284:11 | | 124:3,8 126:14 |
| 190:18 195:16 207:5 | 12:00 6:20 | 2 | 174:12 |
| 207:14 217:6,10 | 12:02 141:4 | 2 3:12 8:8 14:17 31:2 | 2014 1:14 28:22 29:12 |
| 221:14,17 234:8,19 | 12:30 6:15,16,21,22 | 55:18,21,22 74:22 | 35:14 39:11,18,18 |
| 237:5 243:1 252:5 | 121 3:13 | 2:31 227:16 | 40:1 41:8 44:3 55:15 |
| 262:8 283:3 284:2,9 | 122 5:15 | 20 3:12,17 195:1,5 | 74:7 81:14,15 93:17 |
| 284:15,16 294:14,15 | 1233 2:14 | 196:17 200:15,19 | 94:2,7 106:16,18 |
| 295:5 318:18,20 | 124 3:13 | 200 3:17 115:14,15 | 121:18 123:4 124:3,8 |
| 319:9 330:18 332:1 | 126 3:13 121:8,10,11,14 | 125:3 | 184:21 190:8 227:13 |
| 332:16 | 123:2,20 124:1 | 2000 14:12 98:21 | 246:12 247:5,6 253:7 |
| yes-or-no 54:12 | 127 3:4 121:6 271:1 | 108:19 173:17,21 | 342:14 |
| yesterday 7:6 10:15 | 12th 103:16 | 175:12 184:12 196:6 | 202 2:12,16,22 |
| 20:2 48:2 49:18 50:6 | 13 3:14 74:18 154:7,10 | 215:16,22 226:5 | 202 2.12,10,22 204 3:18,18 |
| II . | 1 | | |
| 51:3 71:12 131:19 | 155:15,21 156:2 | 232:21 234:20 236:10 | 206 3:18,19 |
| 205:3 209:9 | 246:12 247:5 | 319:20 320:1 | 208 3:19 |
| York 122:6 | 132 3:6 | 2000-2003 80:10 150:12 | 209 3:19 |
| | 135 3:14 | 177:3 | 20th 2:14 37:4 |
| _ Z _ | 14 3:15 93:19 94:4 | 2001 37:3 184:13 | 21 3:18 201:17,20 |
| Z 3:18 204:12,17 205:1 | 167:13,18 168:18 | 207:14 226:6 305:2 | 203:12,16,22 204:4 |
| 205:12 | 170:19 | 317:6 | 210 3:19,20 |
| Z's 204:14 | 15 3:15 78:17 132:1,4 | 2002 37:4 184:14 | 213 2:6 3:20 |
| Zealand 153:9,14 | 141:11 170:22 171:3 | 207:14 226:6 305:2 | 214 3:20 |
| | 172:6,13,17 239:10 | 317:6 | 216 3:20,21 |
| 0 | 247:6 265:2 323:15 | 2002/2003 261:20 | 218 3:21,21 |
| 00 295:17 | 154 3:14 | 2003 66:10 98:21 | 22 3:18 7:11 204:6,9 |
| 0003 73:22 74:22 | 156 3:14 | 108:19 173:17,22 | 205:19 206:5,8 |
| 03 295:17 | 16 3:16 47:10 140:16 | 175:12 232:21 233:14 | 220 3:21 |
| | 141:9 160:10,13 | 234:20 236:10 | 23 3:19 106:20 107:19 |
| 1 | 161:18 162:8,16,19 | 20036 2:15,22 | 206:11,16 208:2,11 |
| 1 20:9 31:1 35:10,13 | 163:2,3 165:5,21 | 20037-1122 2:11 | 208:15 |
| 132:16 | 166:21 | 2004 317:8,14 | 2300 2:11 |
| 1,000 291:9 331:5 | 160 3:16 | 2004-09 1:7 3:12 | 238 3:22 |
| 1,500 138:12,21 157:9 | 162 3:16 | 2004-2009 1:6 | 239 4:2 |
| 1,872 257:6,7 | 167 3:15 | 2005 20:22 319:10 | 23rd 81:14,15 |
| 11 ' | 16th 37:3 | 2006 25:3 262:16 | 24 3:19 208:18,20 |
| 1:00 140:21 | 17 3:16 167:2,5 172:20 | 263:16 | 209:22 210:3,6 211:6 |
| 1:15 141:4 | 172:22 175:8,10,17 | | 242 4:2 |
| 10 1:14 3:3 92:5 128:4 | | 2007 23:16 24:11 25:1,2 | 25 3:20 210:9,12,15 |
| 10:30 78:21 346:18 | 176:2,2 | 25:2,3,7 262:17 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 10:48 78:22 | 170 3:15 | 263:16 298:22 300:4 | 211:8 213:3,6,10 |
| 100 20:5 115:14 189:11 | 171 3:15 | 301:16,22 317:8 | 214:3,8,9,10 221:14 |
| 100-percent 77:1 | 172 3:15,16 | 2008 25:16 298:22 | 251 4:3 |
| 101 1:17 | 17th 174:12 | 301:21 302:1 | 253 3:7 |
| 105 4:17 | 18 3:17 180:13,15 | 2009 61:10 184:15 | 256 4:3 |
| 10786 2:5 | 187:15,18,21 188:3 | 298:22 302:1 317:15 | 259 4:4 |
| 109 74:10 | 194:14,15,20 | 201 3:18 | 25th 28:22 29:12 |
| 10th 121:18 123:4 | 180 3:17 | 2010 233:14 307:19 | 26 3:20 55:7,13 214:8 |
| 11 151:11,13 165:5,20 | 1818 2:21 | 311:9 | 214:20 215:1 216:3,6 |
| 346:17 | 19,527 152:10 | 2011 61:7,8 | 216:10 |
| 11:27 112:3 | 19/84 3:4 | 2012-6 1:5 | 261 4:5 |
| 11:30 112:4 | 194 3:17 | 2012-7 1:10 | 27 3:21 73:12 76:9 |
| 112 134:19 | 195 3:17 | 2013 4:10,11 37:8 39:6 | 213:22 214:1,9 |
| | 1000 | | |
| 113 3:12 10:16 19:7,13 | 1997 87:14 | 39:7,8,12,14,15 40:4 | 216:13,15 218:3,6,10 |

| 28 3:21 218:13,16 | 47:19 93:10 107:17 | 8 30:16 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| 220:15,18,22 231:20 | 126:7 | 80 60:15,17 |
| 232:1 | 358 4:11 78:12 79:11 | 81 3:5 |
| 280 3:7 4:7 | 80:5 83:17 84:1 90:6 | 83 4:11 |
| | 1 | 1 |
| 29 3:22 232:5,8 237:11 | 90:8 108:4,7 109:8 | 8th 2:21 |
| 237:14 238:7,15 | 110:10 112:6,11,12 | |
| | 116:17 | 99 |
| 3 | 36 4:6 269:9 279:11,13 | |
| 3 1:13 8:5 | 279:18,21 | |
| | | |
| 3:02 265:5 | 360.3(c) 117:13 | |
| 3:22 265:6 | 37 117:12 | |
| 30 4:1 55:15 154:18 | 385 163:1,6 269:3 | |
| 161:5 184:21 227:13 | 303:11 | |
| 238:18 239:12,16,20 | | |
| 246:20 286:10 287:7 | 44 | |
| 297:16 | 4 6:5 8:5 | |
| 307 4:9 26:14 29:2 | 4:30 227:19 | |
| | | |
| 30:21 32:7,14 33:1 | 4:40 347:11 | |
| 34:3 94:14 | 40 223:14,21 | |
| 308 54:19 55:8,13 73:7 | 408-7600 2:16 | |
| 73:9 | 43 4:10,10 7:9,11 | |
| 30th 133:8 135:16 | 43,628 152:8 | |
| 190:7 | 44 4:10 248:17 | |
| 31 4:2 44:3 240:1 | 44,000 224:5 225:2 | |
| | 281:7 | |
| 241:21 242:2,6 | 1 | |
| 316 3:9 | 44.75 152:19 | • |
| 31st 93:14,17 | 47 4:10 | |
| 32 4:3 242:9 244:5 | 49 157:2,3,3,10 | |
| 251:8,13 | | |
| 326 3:9 | 5 | |
| 33 4:3 251:16 252:19 | 50 225:3 | |
| 256:1,9,13 | 56 157:13 | |
| 333 228:11 289:10,11 . | 58 7:12 | |
| 341:7 | 1 | |
| | 582 139:9 143:17 144:3 | |
| 337 319:4,5 | 144:16,17,19,19 | |
| 338 298:15,19 300:2 | 294:12 | |
| 310:4,9 312:21 313:3 | | |
| 313:4,4 | 6 | |
| 339 319:12 | 60 46:1 | |
| 34 4:4,9 259:6,15,18,22 | 600 139:2 | |
| 260:18,22 | 602 4:16 102:20 103:19 | |
| 341 4:12 304:3,5,10,11 | 104:16 105:4 121:2 | |
| | · · · · · | |
| 305:14 306:3 311:20 | 624-1996 2:6 | |
| 315:7,12 316:20 | 65 157:22 | |
| 344:14,14,20 345:1 | 650 139:2,3,7,9 143:14 | |
| 344 4:12 | 663-8000 2:12 | |
| 35 4:5 7:6 260:4,11,14 | | |
| 260:19 261:2,6,9 [°] | 7 | |
| 351 163:3,8 | 7 166:13 | |
| 351.10(c)(3) 228:20 | 703 2:15 | |
| | | |
| 355-7900 2:22 | 71 157:15 | |
| 356 4:10 42:14 43:1,2,3 | 76 8:4,15 | |
| 43:4,10,17 44:10,15 | 761 257:7 | |
| 44:17 45:15 93:10 | 77 8:5,17 | |
| 106:11,21 107:12 | 78 4:11 | |
| 126:7 | 79 155:3 157:4 | |
| 357 4:10 42:14 43:1,4,4 | | |
| 43:10 46:15 47:13,16 | 8 | |
| TO. 10 TO. 10 M7. 10, 10 | | |
| | 1 | |

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Phase II Distribution

Before: US LOC

Date: 12-10-14

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mare 1 aus 5